DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR



DOUGLAS MURDOCK CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER

OFFICE OF ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY SERVICES P.O. BOX 119, HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96810-0119

Ph: (808) 586-6000 | Fax: (808) 586-1922 ETS.HAWAII.GOV

January 4, 2022

The Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi, President, and Members of The Senate Thirty-First State Legislature Hawaii State Capitol, Room 409 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 The Honorable Scott K. Saiki, Speaker, and Members of The House of Representatives Thirty-First State Legislature Hawaii State Capitol, Room 431 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear President Kouchi, Speaker Saiki, and Members of the Legislature:

Pursuant to HRS section 27-43.6, which requires the Chief Information Officer to submit applicable independent verification and validation reports to the Legislature within ten days of receiving the report, please find attached the report the Office of Enterprise Technology Services received for the State of Hawaii Department of Education's FMS Modernization Project.

In accordance with HRS section 93-16, this report may be viewed electronically at <u>http://ets.hawaii.gov</u> (see "Reports").

Sincerely,

09

Douglas Murdock Chief Information Officer State of Hawaiʻi

Attachment (2)



FMS Modernization Project

Department of Education (DOE)

IV&V Monthly Status Report – **Final** For Reporting Period: **June 16 – July 19, 2021**

Draft Submitted: August 5, 2021 Final Submitted: December 9, 2021



Solutions that Matter

Overview

- Executive Summary
- IV&V Findings and Recommendations
- IV&V Status
- Appendices
 - A IV&V Findings Log & Priority Ratings
 - B Standard IV&V Inputs
 - C IV&V Details





Executive Summary

On July 19, DOE successfully launched their new Aukahi Financial Management System (FMS) and moved off their failing legacy FMS system. Despite facing multiple challenges, the project team came together to achieve the planned go-live date. Trouble tickets logged at go-live do not appear to be excessive (~80), the bulk of which were for 2-3 more impactful bugs that the project is working to resolve. The project's risk profile has been reduced as a result and IV&V has closed 3 findings and lowered the risk rating for 6 risks/issues.

While go-live is no longer at risk, IV&V remains concerned that the project may still face challenges meeting their post go-live support and system operation objectives. Many tasks such as system maintenance training and development of some functionality have been pushed out in order to prioritize go-live activities, and SME capacity to support ticket resolution could be constrained as they work to resolve system and new procedural issues. Further, there appears to be some confusion over post go-live support processes and IV&V has concerns over the effectiveness of the current support model. If user support needs are not effectively met in a timely manner, users could struggle to perform their job, lose confidence in the project's ability to support them, and could face challenges making timely vendor payments and purchasing teacher supplies. The support team's capacity could be further constrained by the flood of back-to-school activities that have in the past triggered up to 1000 help desk tickets per day during this same time period. There are early indications that the single DOE purchasing SME could quickly become overwhelmed with trouble tickets, and it appears there is no plan in place to manage SME workload. If ticket resolution is delayed, users who will be using the system for the first time, in addition to completing their other standard back to school activities, could struggle with timely completion of their tasks.

IV&V has opened a new issue regarding training challenges that have led to some user confusion and reportedly has inundated support personnel with system usage questions. IV&V remains concerned with the quality of system configurations as a high priority procurement-related bug was discovered at go-live that has resulted in approximately 20 of the 80 go-live trouble tickets and led to delays in user task completion.

IV&V also remains concerned with the lack of clarity around who will lead and be responsible for the quality of user support, the effectiveness of help desk reporting, DOE's ability to manage Oracle quarterly releases, and whether the current security configurations mitigate fraud and fully support separation of duties and the principle of least permissions (PoLP).



Мау	Jun	Jul	Category	IV&V Observations
F	H	L	Cost & Schedule Management	The project was able to achieve their planned July 19 go-live date and has moved off their failing legacy FMS system. While go-live is no longer at risk, IV&V remains concerned that the project may still face challenges meeting their post go-live support and system operation objectives. Many tasks have been pushed out in order to prioritize go-live activities, and SME capacity to support ticket resolution could be constrained as they work to resolve system and new procedural issues. Because go-live was successful, despite some challenges with the project schedule, IV&V has closed issue #4 (lack of a detailed, fully resourced project plan) and lowered this category risk priority to Low. IV&V continues to recommend the project develop a fully resourced project plan for post go-live activities so they can effectively determine and communicate to users when delayed functionality will be delivered, and when workarounds can be replaced with system features.



Мау	Jun	Jul	Category	IV&V Observations
				The project team came together in the remaining weeks prior to go-live and key DOE SMEs demonstrated the ability to mitigate many risks of the SIs lack of skilled expert-level resources. IV&V observed DOE SMEs stepping in to catch important tasks or problematic issues that could have been missed and led to delays in go-live. Without expert-level resources, it remains unclear if the existing SI resources will be able to quickly solution system issues post go-live which could result in end user loss of productivity as they wait for the SI to solution and implement important system fixes. These delays could lead to reduced user buy-in and/or negative press.
	M		Human Resources Management	DOE has indicated their intention to extend the contract for the Gartner PM project resources beyond the original 7/23/2021 completion date to sometime in October 2021. While this should help with management of the significant number of post go-live tasks and planning activities, the division of responsibilities between the SI PM and the DOE (Gartner) PM remains unclear. Effective management of remaining tasks could be critical to ensure DOE SME capacity is managed well and preventing them from becoming overwhelmed with support and other tasks. There are early indications that the single DOE purchasing SME could quickly become overwhelmed with trouble tickets, and it appears there is no plan in place to manage SME workload. Without a plan to mitigate this risk, it is likely that tickets assigned to this resource could go unanswered for extended periods of time and lead to significant user frustration and the longer users wait for assistance with submitted tickets, the more teachers could be hindered from preparing for the new school year and could also lead to delays in paying DOE vendors.



Мау	Jun	Jul	Category	IV&V Observations	
				On July 19, DOE successfully launched their new Aukahi Financial Management System (FMS) and moved off their failing legacy FMS system. Despite facing multiple challenges, the project team came together to achieve the planned go-live date. Therefore, IV&V has lowered this category risk to Medium.	
H	н		Project Management	efficiently and/or effectively planned. It remains unclear whether the SI will fully track project tasks in a full resourced, dependency-based project plan and track the critical path. Given that some SMEs continue to preoccupied with support tickets and resolving system issues, it remains unclear when they will have time complete planned post go-live tasks. Resource management and understanding critical paths should be a component to mitigating against delays in post go-live task completion and meeting user needs and expect Further, it appears the SI PM activities have been scaled back, likely leaving the burden of project manage to fall on DOE SMEs and their PMO. DOE has indicated they intend to extend the Gartner PMO contract of October 2021 which should help to mitigate some of this category's risks. However, there remains some ambiguity around the division of project PM responsibilities between the DOE PMO and the SI PM team.	
			& Organization	On July 19, DOE successfully launched their new Aukahi Financial Management System (FMS) and moved off their failing legacy FMS system. Despite facing multiple challenges, the project team came together to achieve the planned go-live date. Therefore, IV&V has lowered this category risk to Medium. DOE leadership has raised concerns (and IV&V agrees) that the backlog of post go-live tasks are not being efficiently and/or effectively planned. It remains unclear whether the SI will fully track project tasks in a fully resourced, dependency-based project plan and track the critical path. Given that some SMEs continue to be preoccupied with support tickets and resolving system issues, it remains unclear when they will have time to complete planned post go-live tasks. Resource management and understanding critical paths should be a critical component to mitigating against delays in post go-live task completion and meeting user needs and expectations. Further, it appears the SI PM activities have been scaled back, likely leaving the burden of project management to fall on DOE SMEs and their PMO. DOE has indicated they intend to extend the Gartner PMO contract out to October 2021 which should help to mitigate some of this category's risks. However, there remains some ambiguity around the division of project PM responsibilities between the DOE PMO and the SI PM team.	



Мау	Jun	Jul	Category	IV&V Observations
		 Project Management & Organization (<i>cont'd</i>) Project tails and current help desk reporting may be insufficient to determine the effectiveness of user support and leadership with data they can act on. IV&V recommends DOE optimize their help desk reporting in provide greater visibility for DOE executive leadership and better track and optimize help desk performence of the second provide greater visibility for DOE executive leadership and better track and optimize help desk performence of the second provide greater visibility for DOE executive leadership and better track and optimize help desk performence of the second provide greater visibility for DOE executive leadership and better track and optimize help desk performence of the second provide greater visibility for DOE executive leadership and better track and optimize help desk performence of the second provide greater visibility for DOE executive leadership and better track and optimize help desk performence of the second provide greater visibility for DOE executive leadership and better track and optimize help desk performence of the second provide greater visibility for DOE executive leadership and better track and optimize help desk performence of the second provide greater visibility for DOE executive leadership and better track and optimize help desk performence of the second provide greater visibility for DOE expectations. Users complained that training should have included mappings between legacy Aukahi. This has led to an elevated number of support tickets for basic system tasks, the bulk of whe related to purchasing which, for the most part, are being managed by a single DOE SME. Additional project has also identified a significant purchase order related bug that this single resource will be related to purchasing which. 		There also appears to be some confusion over who would be responsible for solutioning overall support issues and current help desk reporting may be insufficient to determine the effectiveness of user support and provide leadership with data they can act on. IV&V recommends DOE optimize their help desk reporting in order to provide greater visibility for DOE executive leadership and better track and optimize help desk performance to ensure customer needs are effectively being met.
H	H		Risk #14 (regarding training material development challenges) has been overcome by events and is closed, though a new issue regarding training challenges that have led to some user confusion, frustration, and overwhelmed support personnel has been opened. Many stakeholders have reported that training has not met DOE expectations. Users complained that training should have included mappings between legacy FMS and Aukahi. This has led to an elevated number of support tickets for basic system tasks, the bulk of which were related to purchasing which, for the most part, are being managed by a single DOE SME. Additionally, the project has also identified a significant purchase order related bug that this single resource will be responsible for resolving.	
				IV&V has lowered this category risk priority to Medium, given the successful go-live.



Мау	Jun	Jul	Category	IV&V Observations
M	M	×	Quality Management	The project team was able to overcome risks associated with introducing functionality late into the production build. SI resources appear to have implemented more disciplined release management procedures prior to go-live such that go-live was not impacted by violations of this process. DOE has stated their intention to hire a release manager to manage and support Oracle quarterly releases which could help to mitigate this risk for post go-live Oracle quarterly releases that will now need to be supported by DOE personnel. The next quarterly release is slated for 8/6/2021. This release presents an opportunity for DOE to assess their ability to manage these releases with existing DOE resources given that SI resources have managed these releases in the past. The SI has reported existing SI project team resources will be available to assist as needed until they are rolled off the project between now and October 2021. IV&V remains concerned with the quality of system configurations as a significant procurement related bug was discovered at go-live. It remains unclear how this bug was not identified through the various testing phases or through SI experience with this Oracle platform for their other education customers.



Мау	Jun	Jul	Category	IV&V Observations
				DOE FMS support staff have reported the previously reported time zone bug has mostly gone unnoticed by most users; however, it remains unclear whether users are unknowingly utilizing inaccurate data for reports and other queries. The project is still contending with required workarounds due to Oracle limitations that may have created confusion for some users and reduced user buy-in. DOE leadership has indicated their frustration with unresponsive Oracle leadership with regard to obvious platform bugs that have been characterized by Oracle as enhancement requests that have no clear implementation date.
M	M	M	System Architecture & Design	Knowledge Transfer (KT) activities continue to be delayed due to prioritization of go-live and current user support activities. The bulk of go-live tickets related to security were special user requests that give users additional permissions beyond their existing auto-provisioned roles. It remains unclear whether the current security configurations mitigate fraud and fully support separation of duties and the principle of least permissions (PoLP).
				Despite some challenges, project efforts to address risks associated with interfaces to antiquated systems appear to have been mostly successful, however, some interfaces have been delayed until post go-live because of unexpected complexity and other potential external stakeholder challenges. Though the remaining interfaces were not critical for go-live, the level of effort for one interface has the potential to be significant.



IV&V Findings and Recommendations

IV&V identified 10 findings (9 issues and 1 risks) for this reporting period, close 3 findings, and open one new issue. The following chart breaks down the findings by type/category/priority.



0.5

0

1.5

2

Summary of IV&V Open Risks/Issues Criticality

Category	Туре	#	Finding Title	Criticality
Cost &	lssue	3	Adoption of an aggressive schedule could lead to poor system quality, user frustration, stretch DOE resources beyond their capacity, and bad press.	Medium
Schedule Management	Issue 📕	4	Delayed finalization of the Project Management Plan (PMP) and schedule could lead to stakeholder confusion and less than informed planning and ultimately lead to reduced productivity and project delays.	Closed
Human	Issue	2	Over reliance on a few skilled and overtaxed DOE project resources could lead to significant project disruption.	Medium
Resource Management	lssue	5	SI staffing challenges could reduce project productivity and system design quality, and lead to schedule delays.	Medium
Project Organization &	Risk	8	Inefficient project management practices could lead to overall lack of productive project activities and ultimately schedule delays.	Low
Management	Issue	11	Insufficient knowledge transfer (KT) and M&O planning prior to go-live could lead to project delays and diminished quality of post go-live support.	High
	Risk 📕	14	Training material development may be extensive and could lead to project delays or reduce the effectiveness of training	Closed
	Issue	15	<new> Training challenges have led to some confusion, user frustration, and overwhelmed support personnel.</new>	Medium
Quality	Issue	10	Inadequate release management processes could lead to significant rework and schedule delays.	Low
Management	Risk	12	Insufficient testing strategy and planning could lead to poor test quality, including incomplete and invalid test results.	Closed
	lssue	7	Oracle Financials environment constraints could lead to schedule delays and leave the project unable to meet development, testing, and training objectives.	Medium
System Architecture &	Issue	9	User provisioning and security model complexities could lead to unmet user expectations, unfulfilled business objectives, and schedule delays.	Medium
Design	Issue	13	Integration with older (antiquated technology) systems could be unexpectedly complicated and lead to schedule delays.	Low



Cost & Schedule Management

Key Findings

3

Issue - Adoption of an aggressive schedule could lead to poor system quality, user frustration, stretch DOE resources beyond their capacity, and bad press: In October of 2018, the aging DOE FMS failed, was offline for several weeks, and led to significant disruption of critical operations. As a result, the DOE quickly procured and launched this project with the goal of replacing their FMS as quickly as possible to avoid a similar event. The project is currently executing an aggressive, accelerated timeline with a January 2021 go-live date. This accelerated schedule incurs risks that the DOE has deemed acceptable given the potential larger risks associated with another legacy FMS failure. In order to speed implementation, the project has elected to implement a cloud-based Oracle Software-as-a-Service platform based on a pre-configured template, leverage Agile SDLC methods, limit the amount of new or improved functionality, and scaled back some project documentation and early analysis. The accelerated schedule could lead to:

- Lack of thorough consideration of required business process changes resulting from the new system
 - User confusion and frustration due to the added burden of learning a new system with new processes, unmet expectations for improvements, and significant disruption to their daily duties

Medium

Criticality

Rating

- · Over allocation of project resources and users
- · Significant OCM and Training efforts with limited time to plan and execute
- · Project decisions to cut corners to meet milestones and DOE expectation
- · Unproductive working sessions due to insufficient analysis efforts
- · Limited time to react to or resolve issues that may arise
- Poor system design
- A flurry of chaotic stakeholder activity as the project progresses closer to go-live.

If this risk is realized, negative user feedback could lead to inflammatory media coverage which could negatively impact legislative, board of education, and public support. The project has stated they will only go live if the system sufficiently supports DOE operations and users are able to do their jobs.

L

Cost & Schedule Management (cont'd)

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
4	Issue - Delayed finalization of the Project Management Plan (PMP) and schedule could lead to stakeholder confusion and less than informed planning and ultimately lead to reduced productivity and project delays.: The project is currently operating under a draft Project Management Plan (PMP) and project schedule. The PMP was due 3/12/20 but, as of this reporting period, both have not been finalized. DOE project leadership has indicated that existing drafts appear to lack sufficient details. The projects accelerated schedule leaves little room for any impact to project productivity. Lack of a finalized PMP could lead to uncertainty around project scope and uncertainty around how the project will be executed or managed, which can reduce overall project cadence and productivity. Delays in establishing a clear, detailed baselined schedule could lead to project delays and leave the project unable to effectively monitor project progress. Further, the lack of a clear critical path could leave the project with little time to respond to critical path activities that may have already impacted the project go- live date.	Closed



Cost & Schedule Management (cont'd)

Recommendations	Progress
 Project leadership closely monitor project productivity and meet regularly to perform continuous process improvement (continuously reach out for feedback and move quickly to improve unproductive project elements and processes). 	In progress
 Leadership take steps to closely monitor project team capacity and assure resources are not overallocated. 	In progress
 Request that the SI address issues with their project team that place an unnecessary burden on overtaxed DOE SMEs. 	In progress
 DOE make extensive efforts to manage user expectations with regard to system limitations and work arounds. 	In progress
 DOE executive leadership clearly communicate to project stakeholders how they should prioritize project activities appropriately. 	In progress



м

Human Resource Management

Key Findings

Issue - Over reliance on a few skilled and overtaxed DOE project resources could lead to significant project disruption: There are currently 3-4 DOE team members who are relied on to a greater extent than others. Each of these individuals have significant standing critical operational responsibilities and most have managerial responsibilities as well. While each of these team members have indicated a strong commitment to project success, each has multiple competing priorities, and most will be constrained with operational tasks between now and go-live. It remains unclear if DOE staffing levels committed to in the original Statement of Work (SOW) have been met.

Over reliance on key resources can not only overtax and thereby reduce the effectiveness of these key individuals, but also presents a risk of significant project disruption in the event of their departure. While most projects have this risk, the risk impact for this project, from IV&V's perspective, is higher than most, and while

the project could be impacted by the loss of any DOE team members, there are 3-4 individuals who are relied on to a greater extent than others. Loss of these individuals could lead to significant project disruption. Failure to transfer standing daily operational and managerial responsibilities from these individuals to other DOE resources could stretch them beyond their capacity and lead to a lack of job satisfaction, decreased productivity, decrease in quality, and increases the probably they could make critical mistakes that could negatively impact the project. Several of these key resources have indicated they have significant operational responsibilities and projects between now and go-live (e.g., year-end close, audit, the Time & Leave project, preparations for the new school year, etc.) and may simply lack the capacity to meet all current expectations. Further, if the SI is not able to resolve some staffing challenges (see *Risk #5*), the project may increase their reliance on these individuals and may have to work harder to ensure system designs are accurate, project milestones are met, and overall project activities remain productive.

Medium



м

5

Human Resource Management (cont'd)

Key Findings

Issue - SI staffing challenges could reduce project productivity and system design quality, and lead to schedule delays: Since soon after project launch, the DOE project leadership has raised several concerns with regards to the SI project team. DOE stakeholders have reported that working session productivity has, at times, been hindered by the apparent lack of sufficient knowledge, capabilities, and expertise of some SI team members. While some appear to have some strong capabilities and financial system knowledge, others appear to lack the capability to drive productive discussions, quickly solution implementation issues, and accelerate the Software Development Lifecyle (SDLC). The SI has recently responded to DOE leadership concerns that the SI PM lacked sufficient capabilities, experience, and the temperament to perform effectively as the project PM. The SI has responded to these concerns and the engagement manager has temporarily taken over PM responsibilities and augmented their team with a project coordinator resource. DOE leadership has raised concerns with other SI leads as well and the SI appears to be making efforts to augment their staffing model to address each concern.

Due to the accelerated project schedule, the project can ill afford to tolerate a lack of productivity given go-live is in 6 months. One of the primary factors of project success is establishing a skilled, experienced, productive, highly available and high-functioning team. If the SI is not able to quickly implement a staffing model that can establish this kind of team, the project schedule could be at risk. Further, the lack of sufficiently capable SI resources could weigh heavily on already constrained DOE SMEs as they attempt to compensate and extend additional efforts to ensure project milestones are met. The addition of highly capable and experienced SI resources could reduce the burden on DOE SMEs. This risk is likely to be exacerbated by the significant time zone difference between the project team (HST and PST) and the SI technical team who reside in India.

The SI teams' apparent lack of deep, expert-level Oracle Financials (OF) cloud expertise could continue to reduce the productivity of work sessions and/or lead to poor design decisions that could require significant rework once a better design or solution is discovered.



Criticality

Rating

Medium

M

Human Resource Management (cont'd)

Recommendations	Progress
• Executive leadership regularly monitor the workload and job satisfaction of key individuals as well as assist with workload management, clarification of priorities, and establishment of a sustainable pace.	In progress
Temporarily re-allocate operational/managerial responsibilities from key overtaxed resources.	In progress
 Consider temporary staff augmentation options (e.g., temps or 89-day hires) to both augment the existing project team and augment the operations staff to offload operational responsibilities from key resources. 	In progress
 Request the SI explore augmenting their team with highly capable, expert-level resources that can provide technical leadership that could potentially accelerate the project and reduce the burden on constrained DOE SMEs. 	In progress
 Request the SI make efforts to ensure solutions they have provided, and key decision documents are properly vetted by industry experts to ensure the best options are being presented to DOE SMEs. 	Not started



15

Project Management & Organization

Key Findings

<NEW> Issue - Training challenges have led to some confusion, user frustration, and overwhelmed support personnel: DOE leadership, including the Superintendent, has indicated that the quality, effectiveness, and comprehensiveness of training is a top priority. However, SI implementation of training have not met DOE expectations as most SI trainers were brought in late into the project and did not have comprehensive knowledge of the system and refused to crosswalk existing legacy FMS functionality to new Aukahi functionality. Further, some SI instructors simply read though the slides without offering much context. Attendees questioned the value of these sessions over simply reading slides on their own. Post go-live, users complained that training should have included mappings between legacy FMS and Aukahi. Post go-live feedback has indicated that some users, despite attending training, remain unable to do their work without assistance. This has led to an elevated number of support tickets for basic system tasks. The bulk of support tickets appear to be related to purchasing. For the most part, DOE only has a single DOE SME responsible for responding to tickets related to purchasing. The project has also identified a significant purchase order related bug. Purchase order (PO) functionality is the most used feature in Aukahi FMS, therefore, any problems with PO's or user confusion over PO functionality will affect the largest number of users. Training challenges appear to have led to user frustration, users unable to do their job, an elevated number of

user support tickets, and reduced user buy in. Because DOE currently has a single DOE SME responsible for responding to tickets related to purchasing, ticket response and resolution times will likely be delayed.

Medium

Criticality

Rating

М

Project Management & Organization (cont'd)

Criticality # **Key Findings** Rating Risk - Inefficient project management practices could lead to overall lack of productive project activities and ultimately schedule delays: This project is scoped to be staffed by both a DOE PM and an SI PM with the SI PM managing the bulk of SDLC activities with the DOE PM assisting in managing DOE assigned project activities. The DOE struggled to adequately staff the DOE PM position during the initial months of the project, until they were able to acquire a capable consultant to fill the role, April 2020. The project reported some early insufficient and inefficient project management processes, including: Insufficient action item tracking and follow-up Insufficient attention to risk management Inefficient meetings · Lack of clear meeting objectives and late delivery of meeting agenda's · Lack of preparation and planning for meetings and work sessions Insufficient guidance on attendee management and vetting of attendees 8 Low Previous SI project manager (PM) had not met project expectations for project leadership, strategic direction, communication, and organization. The SI has recently responded to DOE leadership concerns by removing the SI PM and adding a project coordinator to their team, and the SI engagement manager has taken over as the PM and is now making some progress in addressing the above concerns. Lack of good project management processes can lead to an overall lack of project productivity, and ultimately lead to schedule delays and stakeholder frustration and reduced user buy-in. The SI appears to be making good progress in addressing DOE project management concerns. However, the impacts of operating the project under poor project management processes for the initial 5 months of the project remain unclear. Further, the current SI PM could be quickly overwhelmed as they attempt to fulfill both the PM and engagement manager roles, in addition to other responsibilities in their role as Vice President of Operations and senior CherryRoad executive (principle/partner). The recently added SI project coordinator appears to have had a positive impact on PM processes.



M

11

Project Management & Organization (cont'd)

Key Findings

Issue - Insufficient knowledge transfer and M&O planning prior to go-live could lead to project delays and diminished quality of post go-live support.: There appears to be a lack of clarity around post go-live support responsibilities and the level of SI support. Apparently, some contractual post go-live support requirements have yet to be clarified and agreed to between the SI and DOE. Further, DOE expectations for the SI to train their IT staff have not been met. The DOE IT group currently has some interface development project responsibilities and DOE's expectation was that the SI would provide sufficient knowledge transfer (KT) on Oracle Financials (OF) and Oracle Integration Cloud (OIC) in order to perform these tasks in a timely manner as well as meet expectations for DOE post go-live support responsibilities. DOE has stated their expectation that DOE IT staff would work alongside the SI technical team for KT throughout project implementation, however, the level of KT has not met DOE expectations thus far.

If the DOE IT staff are not sufficiently trained to effectively implement their project tasks this could lead to a reduction of efficient execution and quality of the technical components they have been assigned and, ultimately, to schedule slippage. Lack of clarity or sufficient planning around post go-live support could lead to diminished quality of post go-live support. Failure to adequately augment the existing DOE IT group with OF skillsets could leave DOE unable to adequately support the new OF system post go-live and lead to an over-reliance on costly vendor resources and impact the project budget.

High

Criticality

Rating

м

Project Management & Organization (cont'd)

Key Findings

Risk - Training material development may be extensive and could lead to project delays or reduce the effectiveness of training.: DOE leadership, including the Superintendent, has indicated that the quality, effectiveness, and comprehensiveness of training is a top priority. Early indications are that both the number and degree of changes may be significant. The project is currently tracking, via the projects Change Impact Analysis (CIA) spreadsheet, impactful changes to users and daily operations with the implementation of the new system. Training material will need to effectively address these changes and prepare users for work arounds, process changes, and new system concepts.

14 The SI has indicated that much of the system has maintained out of the box Oracle Financials functionality which should accelerate training material development. However, integrating CIA items into the training material could require a significant level of effort for both the SI and DOE. Because of the high priority given to the effectiveness of training, DOE review cycles may be unexpectedly extended in order to ensure quality. Given tight timelines and an aggressive go-live date, the project may elect to accept training material that does not fully meet their expectations, or they may elect to extend the schedule in order to resolve training material issues. The SI is in the process assessing whether increased resources or additional time needs to be allotted to this effort to ensure timely delivery of training materials.

P

Criticality

Rating

Closed

Project Management & Organization (cont'd)

Recommendations	Progress
DOE clarify the plan for training for newly onboarded system users.	In progress
 Consider establishing a policy that requires new users complete a training regime prior to gaining access to the system in order to assure system data integrity. 	Not started
 DOE work quickly to allocate additional resources to support the single DOE resource responsible for responding to tickets related to purchasing. 	In progress
 Train Tier 2 help desk staff to increase their ticket resolution rate and lessen the burden on overtaxed Tier 3 and 4 resources. For example, Tier 2 could be better trained to understand available training resources, guides, and other self service support resources so they can effectively direct users to find the training/information they need. 	In progress
DOE revise their support plan to effectively address instances where SMEs are overwhelmed with tickets.	Not started
 Monitor and provide regular feedback on PM processes and implement continuous process improvement processes to assure consistent and effective project management. 	In progress
 Document and execute detailed risk mitigation steps for tasks that appear to be slipping that include offering additional resources to support project team members who are falling behind on critical path tasks. 	In progress

Quality Management

Μ

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
10	 Issue – Inadequate release management processes could lead to significant rework and schedule delays: Due to existing Oracle Financials cloud limitations, upload of data is often difficult to back out. Errors made during data uploads can either require manual data entry corrections or an environment refresh that will likely take 3 weeks. During initial uploads to the development environment, the wrong version of a file use mistakenly uploaded which created some disruption of development activities. Due to limitations of the OF cloud limitations, back out of bad data or configurations is not always automated and therefore can require manual correction of data. Alternatively, if the data corruption is significant, the project may elect to refresh the environment to a previous state, however, an OF refresh will likely take 3 weeks, which may not be feasible given the tight deadlines. If comprehensive quality controls are not implemented as an integral part of release management processes, mistakes that are made by both DOE and the SI can be difficult to back out. Lack of clear upload file versioning and other controls could lead to wrong files being uploaded which could lead to disruption of development efforts and, if not caught, could lead to disruption of testing phases and ultimately, schedule slippage. If release management procedures are unclear or if the execution of release procedures lack sufficient rigor, the likelihood of missteps may increase. Missteps during testing or go-live could lead to user confusion, reduced user buy-in, costly schedule delays, reduced executive stakeholder project support, and a negative public perception that could be picked up by the local media (aka "bad press"). 	Low



Quality Management (cont'd)

Criticality **Key Findings** Rating Risk – Insufficient testing strategy and planning could lead to poor test guality, including incomplete and invalid test results: IV&V has observed some unproductive test preparation work sessions and some confusion among the project team members as some elements of the test strategy and plan are unclear or not well defined. At times, it appears the SI is asking DOE test leads to perform activities they lack expertise to perform. DOE test leads have also stated that SI led testing preparation efforts have not always been productive and have not met their expectations that the SI would provide sufficient testing preparation guidance. The SI appears to have responded by replacing the SI Test Lead, and the SI PM has taken over as the SI 12 Closed Test Lead, despite concerns that the SI PM may be overallocated. It is unclear whether the SI PM has capacity to effectively lead the testing effort and provide DOE test leads with sufficient guidance for them to adequately prepare for testing. The SI reports that they are making efforts to find a permanent replacement. Additionally, IV&V has concerns with the proposed testing strategy. The SI has stated they intend to begin System Integration Testing (SIT) without some system components being fully operational which could, A) result in incomplete testing and, B) invalidate test results for functionality that has been previously tested.



▲ Quality Management (cont'd)

Recommendations	Progress
 Implement comprehensive and rigorous release management processes and quality controls (checks and double-checks). 	In progress
• Institute rigorous checklists and code freeze communications to assure quality release management processes.	In progress



System Architecture & Design

Key Findings

7

7	Issue – Oracle Financials environment constraints could lead to schedule delays and leave the project unable to meet development, testing, and training objectives: The project has planned for a total of 4 environments, currently slated for development, testing, training, and production. Oracle Financials cloud service level agreements for environment refresh is reportedly 3 weeks. The SI has indicated they are working on a strategy for accomplishing project objectives with the limited environments and the DOE is reportedly making efforts to increase the number of environments. Typically, projects of this size, complexity, and pace rely on quick environment refreshes in order to effectively meet development, testing, and training objectives. Most will plan for an abundance of environments in order to avoid the need to repurpose environments, avoid project delays, and provide flexibility to "freeze" environments to improve testing and training quality. If the project is unable to quickly refresh environments and is has only a limited number of environments.	Medium



Criticality

Rating

System Architecture & Design (cont'd)

Key Findings

9

Issue – User provisioning and security model complexities could lead to unmet user expectations, unfulfilled business objectives, and schedule delays: Initial security discussions have revealed some complexities and challenges with implementing a security model that fully meets DOE business objectives including segregation of duties, principle of least privilege. The project has elected to implement a single Business Unit (BU) for all of DOE, which could create system implementation challenges given Oracle Financials security is optimally implemented for multiple BU's. The SI is making efforts to ensure DOE business objectives are met and can be implemented so as not to put an undue burden on user provisioning staff. Implementation of a security model that does not meet user expectations and fully support end user provisioning and segregation of duties controls can lead to user frustration that:

- · Security is too restrictive and hinders their ability to be productive and do their job
- Security is overly permissive and privileged information is visible to other groups that do not have a business need for the data
- · User provisioning maintenance is overly complex and/or labor intensive
- The security model has made testing overly complex due to tester user provisioning challenges

The security model is currently being developed by a single SI resource. Failure to fully vet the proposed security model with multiple Oracle Financials cloud security experts and fully address DOE business objectives, could lead to project disruption in the event that a significant change to the model is needed as go-live approaches and as a result of mounting user complaints.



Criticality

Rating

Medium

System Architecture & Design (cont'd)

Key Findings

Issue – Integration with older (antiquated technology) systems could be unexpectedly complicated and lead to schedule delays: The project currently has requirements to integrate with older systems that often lack sufficient documentation and/or system expertise. A number of systems that the new FMS must interface with are based on older technology that may be incompatible with new technology and can be difficult to integrate with. Many systems have accumulated a significant amount (decades in some instances) of technical debt, reportedly due to lack of funding and technical team capacity. For example, it has been reported that patching for many systems are severely out of date and may run on Operating Systems or other software technology/tools that are no longer supported by the vendor. Many of these systems no longer have system experts because support staff have moved on or retired, and documentation and/or knowledge transfer upon their departure may not have been sufficient. Documentation for many older systems is reportedly missing or incomplete.

13

Unexpected complications that arise in attempts to integrate with antiquated systems can lead to project delays or unexpected costs for tools to compensate for limitations of antiquated systems. Interface development efforts can also be delayed when expected system documentation, expertise, or vendor support is no longer available. Given the amount of technical debt these systems have accumulated over the years and the lack of system patching, the system could open the FMS replacement system, other connected systems, and the DOE to undue system failure risks. If any of these antiquated DOE systems fail during project execution, project resources (who are already at capacity) will likely have to be reallocated towards repair and recovery of these systems and lead to schedule delays.

Low

Criticality

Rating



System Architecture & Design (cont'd)

Recommendations	Progress
• Establish clear controls with regard to fraud, segregation of duties, and least privilege permissions.	In progress
 DOE leadership escalate to Oracle executive leadership and insist efforts be made to comprehensively repair an obvious bug that likely affects a broad customer base, not just DOE. 	In progress



IV&V Status

- IV&V activities performed during the reporting period:
 - Attended Project Management meetings
 - Attended Weekly Managers & Leads meetings
 - Attended various Working Group sessions
 - Review relevant project documentation
 - Led IV&V Risk Review sessions with project leadership and the SI
 - Interviewed DOE and SI project team members
 - Produced IV&V Monthly Status Report
- IV&V next steps in the coming reporting period:
 - Attend key project meetings
 - Interview additional key project stakeholders
 - Deliver next IV&V Monthly Status Report

Appendix A – IV&V Criticality Ratings

This appendix provides the details of each finding and recommendation identified by IV&V. Project stakeholders are encouraged to review the findings and recommendations log details as needed.

See definitions of Criticality Ratings below:

Criticality Rating	Definition
Н	A high rating is assigned if there is a possibility of substantial impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. A major disruption is likely, and the consequences would be unacceptable. A different approach is required. Mitigation strategies should be evaluated and acted upon immediately.
M	A medium rating is assigned if there is a possibility of moderate impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. Some disruption is likely, and a different approach may be required. Mitigation strategies should be implemented as soon as feasible.
L	A low rating is assigned if there is a possibility of slight impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. Minimal disruption is likely, and some oversight is most likely needed to ensure that the risk remains low. Mitigation strategies should be considered for implementation when possible.



Appendix B – IV&V Standard Inputs

To keep abreast of status throughout the project, IV&V regularly:

- Attends the project meetings
- Reviews the project documentation
- Utilizes Eclipse IV&V® Base Standards and Checklists

w	
	=
	=

PCG Eclipse IVV Checklists



Appendix C – IV&V Details

- What is Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V)?
 - Oversight by an independent third party that assesses the project against industry standards to provide an unbiased view to stakeholders
 - The goal of IV&V is to help the State get the solution they want based on requirements and have it built according to best practices
 - IV&V helps improve design visibility and traceability and identifies (potential) problems early
 - IV&V objectively identifies risks and communicates to project leadership for risk management

PCG IV&V Methodology

- Consists of a 4-part process made up of the following areas:
 - 1. **Discovery** Discovery consists of reviewing documentation, work products and deliverables, interviewing project team members, and determining applicable standards, best practices and tools
 - 2. Research and Analysis Research and analysis is conducted in order to form an objective opinion.
 - **3.** Clarification Clarification from project team members is sought to ensure agreement and concurrence of facts between the State, the Vendor, and PCG.
 - 4. Delivery of Findings Findings, observations, and risk assessments are documented in this monthly report and the accompanying Findings and Recommendations log. These documents are then shared with project leadership on both the State and Vendor side for them to consider and take appropriate action on.

Note: This report is a point-in-time document with findings accurate as of the last day in the reporting period.





Solutions that Matter

Id Short Desc	Title / Summary	Finding Description	Analysis and Significance	Recommendation	Updates	Category	Туре	Priority	Status	Closure Reason	Closed Date	Identified Date
2. DOE capacity - overreliance	Over reliance on a few skilled and overtaxed DOE project resources has led to significant project disruption.	There are currently 3-4 DOE team members who are relied on to a greater extent than others. Each of these individuals have significant standing critical operational responsibilities and most have managerial responsibilities and most have managerial project stacess, such has multiple competing priorities, between now and golve. It remains unclear if DOE staffing levels committed to in the original Statement of Work (SOW) have been met (see SOW, page 3).	Over reliance on key resources can not only overtax and therebry reduce the effectiveness of these key individuals, but also presents a risk of significant project disruption in the event of their departure. Will we not project share with risk, the risk impact for this project, from V&V's perspective, is higher than most, and which the project could be impacted by the loss of any DOE team members, site of the strength of the strength of the strength start. Than others: Loss of these individuals could lead to significant project disruption. Failure to transfer standing daily operational and managerial responsibilities from these individuals to other DOE resources could stretch them beyond their capacity and lead to a lake (of job satification, decreased productivity, decrease in quality, and increases the probabit they could make critical test takes that could negatively impact the project. Several of these key resources have indicated hey have againflant operational responsibilities and projects between now and goine (e.g., preparations for the new skhool year, etc.) and may simply if the S in no table to resolve some starting challenges (or related risk), the project may increase their reliance on these individuals and may have to work hader to ensure system designs are accurate, project milestones are met, and overall project activities remain productive.	 Executive leadership regularly monitor the workload and job astifaction of these key individuals as well as assitu this workload management, datafication of priorities, and establishment of a sustainable pace. Temporarily realized assitu this workload management, datafication of priorities, and establishment of a sustainable pace. Consider temporarily realized assist the workload page tables from key resources until project trapport, sidd augmentation options to both augment the existing project team and augment the operations staff so official operational responsibilities from key resources in project and operational activities at the expected pace of they resources and they resources. Propare a resource management plan that addressis current and project eff resource constraints and clarify identifies additional resource needs, including post go-live needs. Request that the S1 addressis sub their project team that place an unnecessary burden on overtaxed DDE SMEs. 	07/15/21 - DOE has indicated their intention to extend the contract for the Gartner PM project resources beyond the original 72/2022 completion date to sometime in October 2021. While this should help with management of the significant number of post go-live tasks and planning activities, the division of responsibilities between the SIP M and the DDE (Gartner) PM remains unclear. Effective management of remaining tasks could be critical to ensure DDE SME capacity is managed well and preventing them from becoming overwhelmed with support and other tasks. There are early indications that the single DDE direct is no plann inplac to manage the potential works with the single DDE enter is no plann inplac to manage the potential works. It aposs the project is accepting the risk that licket a saigned to this resource could go unanswered for estimated with submitted tickets, the more teachers could be hindered from preparing for the new subol year and lead to significant user frustration. Failure to address resource constraints could lead to further desity in paying DDE vendors. Failure to address resource constraints could lead to further desity in paying DDE vendors. Failure to address resource constraints could lead to further desity on desimme SMIs transming workload and whether they will be able to complete saisgined tasks protor tog orive. The DDE M will have be en pushed out closer tog c-live, could result in further sacrifice of quality or schedule slippage. There is currently no objective way to closer than to compensate. However, the Earther contract for PM resources to the project tasks protot tog tow. The DDE M will have be out dilloward PM resource to the project tasks to point. The volume will fail to DDE SMIss. Many SMIs continue to work at a high level of intensity on project tasks, fungent 10.12 JUNN test statis for DCE SMIss becoming overwhelmed with user support travests is increasing. IVW examines the DDE support infrastruct rete address this concern in their plans. and work t	Humane Resource Management	Issue	Medium	Open			6/30/2020
3 Accelerated	Adoption of an	In October of 2018. the aging DOE FMS failed, was	The accelerated schedule could lead to:	Take steps to assure sufficient OCM planning and activities are performed to inform users	05/15/2021 - The replacement DOE PM appears to be making progress towards matching the same support levels provided by the recently departed PM. Key DOE project 07/15/21 - The project was able to achieve their July 19 go-live date. While go-live is no	Cost & Schedule	Issue	Medium	Onen			6/30/2020
s Accested	Jappino a al Jappino de la concentrativa la la la la concentrativa la la la la concentrativa la la la presa.	offline for several weeks, and led to significant discuption of critical operation. As a retuik the DOG quickly procured and launched this project with the goal of reglacing ther FMA sa quickly a possible to avoid a similar event. The project is currently executing an agressive, accelerated timeline with a January 2021 go-live date. This accelerated schedule incur risks that the DOG has deened acceptable given the potential larger risk associated with another legacy FMS failure. In order to speed implementation, the project has elected to implement a cloud-based Oracle Software-as- a-Service platformolianity, and scaled back some project documentation. The SI has stated that they had scaled back early analysis efforts in order to need OE expectations for an accelerated schedule. The SI also stated that initial anysiss would not be needed because the project will be adopting a preconfigured oralized and adopt processes supported by the platform	 Back of thorough consideration of required business process changes resulting from the new system Bier confusion and fusuration due to the added burden of learning a new system with new processes, unnet expectations for improvements, and significant disruption to their daily due of the sources and users Boer allocation of project resources and users Bier allocation of project resources and users Bier allocation of project resources and and and training efforts with limited time to plan and execute Binghicant COA and Training efforts with limited time to allocation of second to comes to meet milestones and DOE expectation Bimmed time to react to or resolve issues that may arise Bioory of chaotic stakeholder activity as the project progresses closer to go-live. This risk could be accentated by other IV&V identified 	 Index tapps to assure sufficient Outry painting and activities are performined to inform tages is work aroands, how bags, and process tranges as a result of their agreesses schedule. Intervent products process improvement (continuously wach out for feedback and move quickly to improve unproductive project elements and processes). Laadenhip take steps to closely monitor project team capacity and assure resources are not overallocated. Implement a plan for broad validation of system functionality with clear channels of communication for user feedback ta assure all users are able to perform their dutes. Prepare and implement a public relations plan to avoid inflammatory media coverage which could negatively impart tigesistive, board of ducation, and public support. Consider employing the role of a Scrum Master whose prime directive is to remove raablocks to portion planning to avoid schedule singpart. Si clearly and ofthe convolution to void schedule in planning environmentions environ and block to portioning the noise on the project with regard to monitoring critical path offer min kingstom planning to avoid schedule singpart. Cansid poc PM vs. Si PM roles on the project with regard to monitoring critical path to rise offer the singestom environs environs environs. Cansid poc PM vs. Si PM roles on the project with regard to monitoring critical path to readending the project with a dedicated room writes that could be trained on the new reporting tools and offer long-term (post go-live) report writing support to system isakeholder. ODE make extensive efforts to manage user expectations with regard to system limitations and work arounds. 	0/12/32/11: The project was and to achieve their unity 32 glowie data; wrining glowies in to degree at risk, the projective ark Mills exhibing exhibiting the project processing of the activities. Also, some SME's capacity to support lisker resolution could be constrained as they work to resolve system and new procedural issues. Further, edgives in planning and implementing their post ga-live support infrastructure could still lead to user frustration and ada press. This sue could be compounded by the flow of back to school activities (that often trigger up to 1000 tickets per day during this time) that will likely ta support personnel and text the patience of ourse when they attempt to use the system for the first time to the project continues to accept risks associated with the aggressive schedule, including multiple readiness risks, in outdoe to quickly move off their falling legacy FMA system. VAX ; DOC FMO, and DOS support personnel remain concerned that few details correct efforts to device and transfer accomprehensive exportation are not begressing well. YMX is concerned that a comprehensive expansion build appears to be growers back. IVXX is not provide that a comprehensive expansion schecklist havy est to be developed, managed, and tracked. This checklist can help bring order to the number of help desk tickets in the weeks following ga-live. (%/13/211 - The project continues to accept risks associated with the aggressive schedule number of help desk tickets in the weeks following ga-live. (%/13/211 - The project continues to accept risks associated with the aggressive schedule number of help desk tickets in the weeks following ga-live. (%/13/211 - The project continues to accept risks associated with the aggressive schedule number of help desk tickets in the weaks following ga-live. (%/13/211 - The project continues to accept risk associated with the aggressive schedule nucleand multiple readiness risks including:)) Hora all pinned system fortunes will be available approve.	Cost a schedule Management	E		(spel)			., ., 2020
4 Delayed PMP 8 schedule	Delayed finalization of the Project Management Plan (PAMP) and schedule could lead to stateholder confusion and less than informed planning and utilimately lead to reduced productivity and project delays.	The project is corrently operating under a draft Project Hanagement Tain (PMI) and orgoinst Schechia. The PMP deliverable was drue 21/2/20 but, as of this reporting period, both have not been finalled. DOE project leadership has indicated that existing drafts appear to lack sufficient details.	The projects excelented chordule levels Title room for any project appoints optication, it and on a finalial Physic could lead to uncertainty around project scope and uncertainty around how the project tube les excurcied or managed, which can reduce overall project cadence and productivity. Delays in establishing a clear, detailed baselined schedule could lead to project delays and leave the project mailer effectively monitor project progress. Further, the lack of a clear ritical path culd leave the project with little time the project goal with the project project and the project goal we date.	 Request the 3C dearly define the project schedule critical path, months and clearly memory clear critical path activities that are sponschedules page, and formalises risk melliptions strategies to address critical path activities that are failing behind. Request the 31st schedules that engagement with key DOE SMEs to increase communication of priorities and clarify communications. 	J one counting introl values to states within yields to utiling its data does in the intro- J (J S122) - The project was alse to successfully meet three gaive data despite some that commaining risks related to the lisk of a thorough pint to manage the remaining work to be done as part of O&M in finding #11. OG (J S12) - The point of DAM in finding #11. OG (J S12) - The point of DAM in finding #11. Commands and the second secon	Cost & Schedule Management	Issue	Medium	Closing	The project was able to a concessfully meet their po-live dath despite some challenges with the project plan/schedule.	7/15/2021	6/30/2020

Short Desc	Title / Summary	Finding Description	Analysis and Significance	Recommendation	Updates	Category	Туре	Priority	Status	Closure Reason	Closed Date	Identifie Date
Staffing	Si tatifing challenges have reduced project productivity and system design quality, and led to schedule delays.	Si PM tacked sufficient capabilities, experience, and the temperament to perform effectively as the project PM. The SI has responded to these concerns and the engagement manager has temporarily taken over PM responsibilities and augmented their team with a project coordinator resource. DOE leadership has raised concerns with other SI sleads as well and the SI	afford to blerate a lack of productivity given go-lwe is in 6 months. One of the primary factors of protect success is establishing a skilled, experienced, productive, highly available and high-functioning team. If the SI is no able to quickly implement a staffing model that can establish this kind of team, the project schedule could be at risk. Further, the lack of sufficiently capable S1 resources could weigh heavily on already constrained DDE SMEs as they attempt to compensate and extend additional diffors to ensure project milestones are met. The addition of highly capable and experienced S1 resources to the the the other on	Request the SI make efforts to address time zone challenges with the off-shore technical team. Request the SI explore augmenting their team with highly capable, expert-level resources that can provide technical leadership that could potentially accelerate the project and reduce	07/13/21 - The team came together in the remaining weeks prior to go live and key DOE SME's demonstrated the ability to mitigen many risks of the ST is kot sidialitied expert development and PM resources. V&V observed DOE SME's stepping in to catch important tasks or problematic bases that could have been missed. It remains under if the existing ST resources will be able to quickly solution systement important system frage. These dealeys could lead to reduced user buy-in and/or negative press. Ob(15/21 - DOE leadership and IV&V remain concerned that the ST has been unable to replace unproductive ST resources which have pot an additional burden on key overtaxed DOE SMEs as they, at times, need to compensate for some lack of productivity at the ST has minored. STIM, IV&V and DOE SMEs mere protonate that the quality controls around ST configurations could still lead to an increase in the number of bugs post golivec. D5/15/2021 - IV&V and DOE SMEs mere protonate that the quality controls around ST configurations could still lead to an increase in the number of bugs post golivec. D5/15/2021 - IV&V and DOE SMEs have post and displate quality control risks and comprehensive or rigorous methodology that could mighte quality control risks and comprehensive or rigorous methodology that could mighte quality control risks and concernet. D0E SME's continue to make extensive independent efforts to review 3 work severibopment practices and go-live planning could lead to revork, schedule delay, and everlipment practices and any proved Successful. The ST has been unable to improve writ quality have not always proved Successful. The ST has been included the product and control during the state and enforce work the schedule delays in improver severing control during the state of right severing and the protoch interpore write quality have proved Successful. The ST has made efforts to address risk associated with the product state control address on the table for grite recound and thing new resources up to papears Global tests task	Human Resource Management	Issue	Medium	Open			6/30/24
limitations	Oracle Financials environment constraints has lead to schedule delays and left the project unable to meet some development, testing, and training objectives.		quick environment refreshes in order to effectively meet development, testing, and training objectives. Most will plan for an abundance of environments in order to avoid the need to repurpose environments, avoid project delays, and provide flexibility to "freeze" environments to improve	Plan sheat to procere or provision additional environments as necessary that would assure calcelerated development cycles as well as standby environments that well speed development in the event a critical environment has become corrupt (e.g., mistakes are made to inverselable fields). Strategically plan to procure or provision additional environments as necessary to assure calcerated development cycles as well as provision standby environments that well speed development in the event a critical environment has become corrupt (e.g., mistakes are made to inverselable fields). "DOE leadership escalate to Oracle executive leadership and insist efforts be made to comprehensively repair an obvious bug that likely affects a broad customer base, not just DOE.	D4/15/2021 - As DOE SME's become more familiar with and explore system configuration options, they have found solutions that even the SI may not have been aware of . 1VAV and 07/15/17 - 100 - RN Support still may response the greatoway reported time zone bug has not available of the support still may response the greatoway reported time zone bug has notice of the support still may response the greatoway report and support outside still the support still may report the greatoway report the support on the support still may report the greatoway report to still not not users and reduced user buy-in. DDE leadership has indicated their fixetation with unreportive drota development on both stall pathots may and have been characterized by Oracle as enhancement requests that have no clear implementation date. '06/15/21 - Oracle has stated that the previously reported time zone bug will be treated as an enhancement and not a bug. Oracle has agreed to, at no cost, repair only one instance of the time zone bug (Oraber Date Foruchase Orders) in the November 2021 quarterly response to the support of the support of the support of the core support and then. User them is construct to be support of the core support of the support of the support of the support of the core support and then user them inaccurate results because queries will continue to contend with which will of the 15 has required DS Misstad of the date user construints of fusion fusions, transfer the support and the support of fusions, inaccurate reporting, and potentially customer/evender confusion. Alos, it remains unclear with order and the 15 has required DS Misstad of the date to note and instanted of the size of the super acconsisted by supper and notice and instanted of support reporting, and potentially customer/evender confusion. Alos, it remains unclear during and attest in HST. NV recommends DC Evadership escalate to Tracke each instanted of support at attest in HST. NV recommends DC Evadership escalate to Tracke eact in suppor	System Architecture & Design	tssue	Medium	Open			6/30/20
	Indficient project management practices could lead to overall lack of productive project activities and ultimately schedule delays.	and an SI PM with the SI PM managing the bulk of SDLC activities with the DOE PM assisting in managing DDE assigned project activities. The DOE struggled to adequately staff the DOE PM position during the initial		 Monitor and provide regular feedback on PM processes and implement continuous process improvement processes to assure consistent and effective project management. Integrate fist management practices time existing processes (e.g. Review important deadlines in weekly working essions). Document and execute dealled rick mitigation steps for tasks that appear to be slipping that include offering additional resources to support project team members who are falling behind on critical path tasks. Project teadership reasess meeting scheduling processes and reach agreement with DOE SMEs on more optimal meeting governance to reduce the number and length of meetings so the project team can focus on and accelerate project tasks. 	default setting is inconsistently applied throughout the system. The SI has requested DOE SME's make efforts to identify each element of the system that is incorrectly defaulting dates to UTC (Coordinated Universal Time) instead of HST. Also, due to limitations on	Project Organization & Management	Risk	Low	Open			6/30/2

Id Short Desc	Title / Summary	Finding Description	Analysis and Significance	Recommendation	Updates	Category	Туре	Priority	Status	Closure Reason	Closed Date	Identified Date
Security model complex	 User provisioning and security model complexities has led to ummet user expectations, unfulfilled business objectives, and schedule delays 	Business Unit (BU) for all of DDE, which could create system implementation challenges given Oracle Financials security is optimally implemented for multiple BU's. The SI is making efforts to ensure DDE	Implementation of a security model that does not meet user expectations and fully support net user provisioning rand segregation of duties controls can lead to user fixustation hist: "Becurity is too restrictive and hinders their ability to be productive and do their job Escurity is overly permissive and privleged information is visible to other groups that do not have a business need for the data Elduer provisioning maintenance is overly complex and/or Ethe security model has made testing overly complex and/or Ethe security model is currently being developed by a single SI resource. Failure to fully vert the proposed security mode with other Oracle is currently being developed by a single SI resource. Failure to fully vert the proposed security model which other Oracle is currently being developed by a single sets than optimal security model which could lead to unnet user expections as well as project disruption in the event that a significant change to the model is needed as go-live approaches.	 Make consistent OCM efforts to manage expectations based on potential limitations of the security model as they rate to business objectives. DOE establish clear controls with regard to segregation of duties and least privilege permissions. 	or/15/21 1 at activities continues to be delayed due to prioritization of go-live and current user support attributes. The buik of go-live tickets raised to security were special user requests that give users additional permissions beyond their existing auto-provisioned roles. It remains unclear whether the ourrent security configurations mitigate fraud and fully support separation of duties and the principle of least permissions (PoLP). 06(15/21. As DOE SMS's knowledge of system security grows, they are better able to assist the (primarki) regist is security resource and mitigate some of this risk. DOE SMEs continue to report, and V&W has observed, that the SI security resource continues to struggle with effective communications, which has deto DOE SME furstantian and could lead to inaccurate security configurations, which could lead to multiple user security related sizes and go-live averal is increase the potential for fraud. DOE Si concerned that the SI has thus far not been able to produce some requested security related reports [e.g., permissions (PoLP). 05/15/2021 - System testing continues to reveal multiple security configuration bugs and it remains unclear why some security bugs continue to be identified despite test scripts being paped in earlier targe papers 3 security configuration papers the suce that the Si supports and the system integenesa to security foundinguariton papers bus found to durity and the some remainang the size and complexity and it appears the that the size applies to system integenesa Size secury configuration papers to be continues to be relation to the targels scrubity socials concerned that the support of the social size and complexity and it suppears the social to size and complexity and it suppears the continues to make changes to the security model this concerned that the Si continues to be relations to the size and complexity and it suppears the social to size and devices and the size social to social to social sociel social to social sociel and to social the social so	System Architecture & Design	Issue	Medium	Open			7/29/2020
10 Petese management	Insdeguate release management processes have led significant rework and schedule delays	Due to existing Oracle Financials cloud limitations, upload of data is often difficul to back out. Errors made during data uploads can either require manual data entry corrections or an environment fresh that will likely take 3 weeks. During limital uploads to the development environment, the workgo version of a file use mistakenly uploaded which created some disruption of development activities.	The to limitations of the 0F cloud limitations, back out of back data or conflictations is not always submatted and therefore can require manual correction of data. Alternatively, if the data comption is significant, the project may elect to refresh the environment to a previous state, however, and Or frefesh will level that a weeks, which may not be feasible given the tight deadlines. If comprehensive audity controls are environing and other that are made by both DD at dh ets 2: and be difficult to back out. Lack of clear upload file versioning and other local level of obstration of development efforts and, if no caught, could level to disruption of fresting phases and utimately, schedules sippage. If release management procedures are unclear or if the execution of release procedures lack voltidinerit agort itseholder on provide leady, reduced executive stakeholder project support, and an agottive public perception that could be picked up by the local media (aka "bad press").	 implement comprehensive release management processes and quality controls (theks and double-check) to source the right file are upolads with close data. institute rigorous checklists and code freeze communications to assure quality release management processes. Clearly define release management processes for DOE resources to manage releases post go-live. 	07/15/21: 5 resources appear to have implemented more discipline release management procedures prior to goi-lev sus At impacted by violations of this process. DOE has stated their intention to hire a release manager to manage and support Oracle quarterly releases which could help to implant this risk for post goi-lev Conteg quarterly releases that will now need to be supported by DOE personnel. The next quarterly release is lated or 3/6. This release presents an opportunity for DOE to assess their ability to manage these releases with existing DOE resources given that SI resources have managed these releases in this release presents protocol the most state in the state of roles. This release presents protocol the most state of 2/5/32. The project is now seacching the fourth and final (production) build of the system from the ground up. With the multiple build terations, the 3 appears to be increasing the quality of their configuration efforts. While this may reduce the probability that this sus will again negatively impact the project, IV&V will maintain the "high" priority risk ranges is the impact of this sus et a police cold be potentially be probability that this sus will again negatively impact the project, IV&V will maintain the "high" priority risk range and the most property tracked. A multiple environment builds [SIT, UAT, and RST), the quality of the final production build is likely to increase. Index multiple continues to make multiple reactive thanges to see univer outgingurations as multiple moted to be system implicip related to hange to be leaded index build like the system for which system for the site and productions and/or programs and will be available or soft soft wave contexproperty tacked. A multiple environments, and it continues to the avere compropert the bases to be leaded index to be leaded to desting the transmitter and the base of the avere thanges to security configurations as multiple moted some unexplained differences that exist burbees the different envir		Issue	LOW	Open			7/31/2020
11 KT & Long term support	Insufficient knowledge transfer and M&O planning prior to go- live has lead to diminished quality of post go-live support.	support responsibilities and the level of SI support. Apparently, some contractual post go-live support requirements have yet to be clarified and agreed to	Implement their project tasks this could lead to a reduction of efficient execution and quality of the technical components they have been assigned and, ultimately, to schedule slippage, Lack of clarity or sufficient planning around post go-live support. Could lead to diamisible quality of post go-live support. Tailure to adequately aggment the easiling DOE IF group with OF skillests could leave DOE unable to adequately support the new OF system post go-live and lead to an over-liance on costs	 DOC develop a resource management plan to address gaps in their existing IT team to ensure they are able to meet expectations for project post go-live support. Plan may include guarterity updates. Consider preparing return on investment (ROI) data to present to the legislature that could clearly justify the cost of highly compensated OF (possibly exempt) resources that could presentially provide cost swings to the state compared to the cost of equivalent vehicle accurately state to a state compared to the cost of equivalent vehicle accurately SIT, surrantly, and post go-live support contractual obligations to avoid disagreements and lear timute defoits adequarket support the system post go-live. Consider instituting a distributed model/strategy (e.g. "Super SME") to support tier 1 user assistance, on going training, and OCM communications. Optimize help desk reporting in order to better track and improve performance to ensure their processes customer support are optimal. 	04/15/2011 - The SI continues to improve the production cutover plan/checkits which 03/15/21 - With successful g-live, IVA has modified the title of this issue to include only diminished quality of post go-live support is the impact. However, aspects of this support resources have been brought new and matchins that humblicent post go-live support planning has diminished the quality of user support. DOC has reported that support resources and some DOC MSF is do not here the support faile user (of support into support processes and some DOC MSF is do not here the support faile user (of support processes and some DOC MSF is do not here the support faile user (of support processes and some DOC MSF is do not here the support faile user (of support processes and some DOC MSF is do not here were the support faile user (of support processes and some DOC MSF is do not here were have support support support processes and some DOC MSF is do not here been confusion out support processes and some DOC MSF is do not here here and support support support planning them and support support support support support support support support support support support support support support support planning them and support support support support support the system support support support support support support the system support support support support support support the system support support support support support support and support the system support support support support support support and support the system support support support support support support the system support support support support support support support support support the system support support support support support support support support the system and system support support support support support support the system and system support support support support support support support the system and system support support support support support support support the system support support suppares sub some support support the	Project Organization & Management	Issue	High	Open			8/17/2020

Id Short Desc	Title / Summary	Finding Description	Analysis and Significance	Recommendation	Updates	Category	Туре	Priority	Status	Closure Reason	Closed Date	Identified Date
12 Testing	Insufficient testing trattegy and planning could lead to poor test quality, including incomplete and invalid test results	IN&V has observed some upproductive test preparation work sessions and some confusion among the project team members as some elements of the test strategy and plan are unclear or not well defined. At times, it appears the SI is asking OOL test leads to perform activities they lack expertise to perform. DOE test leads have also stated that SI led testing preparation efforts have not always been productive and have not met their expectations that the SI would provide sufficient SIP Ma has class one are SIS and The SI appears to have responded by replacing the SI Test Lead, and the SIP Mh has class provide sufficient lead weighter chest of the Max scapacity to effectively lead the testing efforts to they are making efforts to find a permanent reglacement. Additionally, WAN kas concerns with they are hange trusting strategy. The SI has stated they instead to begin SI' without some system components being fully operational which could. Al result in incomplete testing and, B) invalidies ter results for functionality that has been previously tested.	Delays and unproductive test gregaration escions could lead to schedule delays once the project realises they are not ready for STT and UAT testing phases. If the SI canot effectively leverage their testing explosites to offer guidance to the DOE testing team, DOE testing stakeholders could find themselves unprepared for STT and UAT phases, which could lead to schedule delays. If the project, A) does not clearly define ST or UAT entrance criteria and/or Di enters STT or UAT entrance testing and to excessive bugs, without some system components being fully operational, the value of the project testing, assolution that cannot perform the required or necessary functionality, and ultimately eatend the project schedule.	 Clarity and fully wet the testing strategy and plans for DOE leads and stakeholders: Develop and implement a robust regression test methodology. Develop and implement an efficient process for updating/refining test scripts based on tester. Request the SI make additional exploratory testing (aka., "poke around in the system and tester. Request the SI make additional exploratory testing (aka.," poke around in the system and test restrict. Request the SI make additional exploratory testing (aka.," poke around in the system and test restrict. Request the SI make additional exploratory testing (aka.," poke around in the system and test restrict. OCE leadership send out communications that help DOE staff Cleary understand the priority of project tasks over other duties as critical go-live milestones approach. 	auartery updates and future releases. IV&V will continue to track remaining related risks as part of finding relation and that addresses poor jow support finds. 08/15/21 - IV&V remains concerned that the project continues to advance to subsequent project phases (now the production build) without completing with and entrance criteria. To example, the project elected to move forward with the production build elegite incomplete conversion and interface. Inclinatively will be implemented like in the production build elegite to any other to be the sond witching of the production build elegite incomplete and will be inclinated by the lengthemented like in the production build in order to keep to their checkling alphae data. Some interface have yet to be completed and fully tested and some may be delayed until argo Pine. Late introduction of functionality into the final production build is abd practice and could lead to unexpected bugs or an unsuccessful go-live. 05/15/2021 - Despite delays in the closeout of UAT (due to delays in implementing some data conversions and interface), the project elected to move forward with the next Reheral Shoke Test [TST] testing plass, excepting the risk that the testing of the system may be incomplete. Project delays have now led to alignage of the planned 5/14/21 EST projection taivoinment build year down completed the providue taits plase, despite hung only completed disk of ST esting taits coptas. 04/15/2021 - DESME's and MVV remain concerned that test sing plase, despite hung only completed disk of ST esting the system may be incomplete. Project delays the dedated with disk the site has a signed 04/15/2021 - DESME's and MVV remain concerned that test sing hung, despite herover to create works site and gudded unders trights. This inclinated they will not be creating any new scripts and gudded unders trights. This inclinated here, will not a resource to create works site and gudded unders trights. The project has a sideed a doursere to create worksite and g	Guality Management	Risk	Medium	Closing	DOE stendive efforts with ad-hoc testing and other procedures appear to have been adequate to achieve a successful go-live.	7/15/2021	1 9/15/2020
13 Low	Integration with external system (potentially with antiquieted technology) could be unexpected to complicated and to schedule delays	The project currently has requirements to integrate with older systems that often lack sufficient documentation and/or system expertise. A number of systems that the new IAS must interface with are based on older technology and can be difficult to integrate with new technology and can be difficult to integrate amount (decades in some instance) of technical debt, reportedly due to lack of funding and technical team capacity. For example, it has been reported that patching for many systems are severely out of date and may run on Operating Systems or other software technology/loos buport staf have supported by the wendor. Many of these systems no longer have system experts because support staf have moved on or relied; and documentation and/or knowledge transfer upon their departure may not have been sufficient. Documentation for many older systems is reportedly missing or incomplete.	antiquated DOE systems fail during project execution, project resources (who are already at capacity) will likely have to be reallocated towards repair and recovery of these	Consider petitioning the State leadership for additional funding to resolve technical debt that could be puting the project and the State at risk of potentially embarrassing and costly security breaches and/or critical system failures. Consider prioritizing patching and system upgrades to stabilize boundary systems. Consider prioritizing patching and system upgrades to stabilize boundary systems. Consider prioritizing patching and system upgrades. Consider prioritized of a system habit interfaces with modified or upgraded. Consider informations (and), build proof of concept interfacing with older systems to assure integration is feasible and to set optimal interfaces solutions.	extend UAT by another week due to interface/conversion implementation delays as well a delay related to texter capacity. A simplement project microsis dawn war, VKV 07/15/21 - Despite some challenges, project efforts to address antiquated systems risk appart to have been mostly successful, howers, some interfaces had to be delayed until post ga-live because of unexpected complexity and other potential external stakeholder of 15/121 - Most central interfaces here been complexed and fully totated. Howayh one changes have yet to be applied and totated, MKV and DOE SMGs remain concerned that men interfaces may not have been fully tested and validated which could lead to project disruption just prior to or just after go-live. Disruption project this made progress in resolving unexpected complications with meen external interface, her project has indeprenetation of the polive. However, due to the tight project to implementate joint to go-live. To extern, due to a the business unit will not be late discovery of interface requirements with one buondary system (SV) continues to negatively impact the project. Theremais under if this or obmer interfaces. With build be live the solver of UK15/2021 - Delays related to the late discovery of interface requirements with one buondary system (SV) continues to negatively impact the project. Internation of Disf2/SV2 - To ensolver system interfaces the value solver of Disf or go ray to rego the schedule and system interfaces continue to an interface requirements with one buondary system (SV) continues to negatively impact the project. Internation of Disf2/SV2 - To ensolver interfaces continue to introduce delays in the project sineface schedule, and has metarded to the interface to align with the project sineface schedule, and has metarded and schedule interface development.	System Architecture & Design	Issue	Low	Open			9/15/2020
14 Training material	Training material development may be extensive and could lead to project delay or reduce the effectiveness of training	DOE leadership, including the Superintendent, has indicated that the quality, effectiveness, and comprehensiveness of training is a top priority. Early indications are that both the number and degree of changes may be significant. The project is currently tracking, via the projects Change Impact Analysis (CIA) spreadubet, impact Unchange to users and daily operations with the implementation of the new system. Training material will need to effectively address the changes, and prepare users for work arounds, process changet, and new system concepts.	The SI has indicated that much of the system has maintained out of the box Oracle Financials functionality which should accelerate training material development. However, integrating CA terms into the training material could require a significant level of dering to for both the SI and DOE. Because of the high priority given to the defactiveness of training, DOE review cycles may be unrespectedly extended in order to ensure quality. Given tight timelines and an aggessive gived weak, the project may elect to acceleration, material that does not half any electroness of the training material that does not half to behalf in order to review training material is in the process assessing whether increased resources or additional time needs to be allotted to this effort to ensure timely delivery of training materials.	Request the SI improve their quality assurance processes to ensure project deliverable drafts go through a rigorous quality assurance process prior to submission for DDE review. ODE prepare contingencies and explane allocating additional resources to assure training material and training delivery quality.	seeffoor hallenges]. The project has confirmed that they will be able to dely to 07/5/21 - Project (fors to develop advaulte training materials for pol-Net, adrepts some challenges, appear to have been successful. Therefore, VRV will be closing this risk. However, VRV will continue to monitor related training risks and DE has yet to hire a training support manager that would ensure adequate training informe. DOE personnel. How you housed dependent of verview satisfier training videos and support material to prepare themselves to use the system. VRV has opened a new issue (H15) estate to training estate and VRV managers that the provide training videos and upport material to prepare themselves to use the system. VRV has opened a new issue (H15) estate to training estate and VRV managers and the mainter of the number of users that do training states to training estate and VRV managers and must how relating recorded assistoms and that managers and VRV managers and must how relay on viewing recorded assistoms and that states the system. If a significant number of users are unable to effectively use the science. The system of the system is the system by the planet on thank on that manager science. The system is and must how relay on texes and the origon the system. If a significant number of users are unable to effectively use the system by the planet on the system. The system by the planet manager of the system. If a significant number of users are unable to effectively use the sealons. Further many DGD users have been accustomed to hands on training that better prepares them for system usage, however, the poject was unable to provide comprehensive hands on training. Rively use the societaria and training. But it will now divelocate the initial and outlivitly challenges. However, feedback from some of the and y training statistics have to hand conductivitly challenges. However, feedback from some of any training statistics have to hand howed to have sole manager and the signater and the syst	Project Organization & Management	Risk	Medium	Closing	Project efforts to develop adequate training materials for go-live, despite some challenges, appeare to have been successful.	7/15/2021	1 2/15/2021

Id Short Desc	Title / Summary	Finding Description	Analysis and Significance	Recommendation	Updates	Category	Туре	Priority	Status	Closure Reason	Closed Date	Identified Date
15 Training	Training challenges	DOE leadership, including the Superintendent, has	Training challenges appear to have led to user frustration,	 DOE clarify the plan for training for newly onboarded system users. 		Project	Issue	Medium	Open			7/15/2021
challenges	have led to some	indicated that the quality, effectiveness, and	users unable to do their job, an elevated number of user	· Consider establishing a policy that requires new users complete a training regime prior to		Organization &						
	confusion, user	comprehensiveness of training is a top priority.	support tickets, and reduced user buy in. Because DOE	gaining access to the system in order to assure system data integrity.		Management						
		However, SI implementation of training have not met	currently has a single DOE SME responsible for responding	· DOE work quickly to allocate additional resources to support the single DOE responsible for								
	overwhelmed support	DOE expectations as most SI trainers were brought in	to tickets related to purchasing, ticket response and	responding to tickets related to purchasing.								
		late into the project and did not have comprehensive	resolution times will likely be delayed.	 Train tier 2 help desk staff to available training resources, guides, and other support 								
		knowledge of the system and refused to crosswalk		resources so they can effectively direct users to find the training/information they need.								
		existing legacy FMS functionality to new Aukahi		 OCM prepare additional communications to users for the most commonly reported trouble 								
		functionality. Further, some SI instructors simply read		tickets.								
		though the slides without offering much context.		 DOE revise their support plan to effectively address instances where SMEs are 								
		Attendees questioned the value of these sessions over		overwhelmed with tickets.								
		simply reading slides on their own. Post go-live, users										
		complained that training should have included										
		mappings between legacy FMS and Aukahi. Post go-										
		live feedback has indicated that some users, despite										
		attending training, remain unable to do their work										
		without assistance. This has led to an elevated number										
		of support tickets for basic system tasks. The bulk of										
		support tickets appear to be related to purchasing. For										
		the most part, DOE only has a single DOE SME										
		responsible for responding to tickets related to										
		purchasing. The project has also identified a significant										
		purchase order relaed bug. Purchase orders currently										
		affect the largest number of users.										
		1	1									
		1	1									
		1	1									
			1									1