


DAVID Y. IGE
GOVERNOR



DOUGLAS MURDOCK
CHIEF INFORMATION
OFFICER

OFFICE OF ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY SERVICES

P.O. BOX 119, HONOLULU, HAWAII 96810-0119
Ph: (808) 586-6000 | Fax: (808) 586-1922
ETS.HAWAII.GOV

August 11, 2020

The Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi,
President, and
Members of The Senate
Twenty-Ninth State Legislature
Hawaii State Capitol, Room 409
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

The Honorable Scott K. Saiki,
Speaker, and
Members of The House of Representatives
Twenty-Ninth State Legislature
Hawaii State Capitol, Room 431
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear President Kouchi, Speaker Saiki, and Members of the Legislature:

Pursuant to HRS section 27-43.6, which requires the Chief Information Officer to submit applicable independent verification and validation (IV&V) reports to the Legislature within ten days of receiving the report, please find attached the report the Office of Enterprise Technology Services received for the State of Hawaii Department of Health Hawaii BHA Integrated Case Management System Project.

In accordance with HRS section 93-16, this report may be viewed electronically at <http://ets.hawaii.gov> (see "Reports").

Sincerely,


Douglas Murdock (Aug 11, 2020 10:36 PST)

DOUGLAS MURDOCK
Chief Information Officer
State of Hawai'i

Attachment (2)



Hawaii BHA Integrated Case Management System Project – *Phase 3*

*Final IV&V Report for the period of
July 1 – July 31, 2020*

Final submitted: August 10, 2020

Agenda

Executive Summary

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Appendices

- A – Rating Scales
- B – Inputs
- C – Project Trends












Executive Summary



















The Project deployed two mid sprint releases with critical fixes to production in July. Issues continue to persist with release deployments and the need for a single test environment to effectively perform end-to-end testing of code being promoted to production.

The RSM Team continued to provide essential support during July alleviating some of the bandwidth concerns expressed by DOH to meet crucial project needs. However, due to the ongoing deployment and technical issues, DOH should consider adding additional technical resources to help support on-going development work.

The Project continues to make good progress on the planning and execution of the Help Desk and Semantic Layer/Business Intelligence workstreams, and Release 3.10 and remains on track with scheduled tasks/activities despite the current COVID-19 pandemic.


May	Jun	Jul	Category	IV&V Observations
			Sprint Planning	IV&V has changed the rating for this category from Green to Yellow (medium) for the July reporting period. This aligns to the increased risk rating specific to IV&V concerns regarding the BHA Technical Team's bandwidth. Despite the continued support from the RSM Team, IV&V recommends BHA to continue their pursuit of additional skilled technical MS Dynamics resources to permanently fill their technical support needs and increased volume of work.
			User Story (US) Validation	IV&V reports no active findings for User Story (US) Validation category which remains Green (low) for the July reporting period. IV&V will continue to monitor the US development and validation process in upcoming reporting periods.
			Test Practice Validation	The Test Practice Validation category remains Green (low) for the July reporting period. The Project plans on setting up automated regression testing during R3.10 which will be utilized for R3.11 forward. IV&V will continue to monitor progress in this area.

Executive Summary

May	Jun	Jul	Category	IV&V Observations
			Release / Deployment Planning	The Project has observed continued deployment challenges as evident with the mid-sprint production deployments on 7/17/2020 and 7/31/2020. These challenges include the lack of a single test environment in which to perform pre-production testing, as well as the unintentional promotion of incomplete code to production resulting in new production issues. The rating for this category remains Yellow (medium) for the July reporting period.
			OJT and KT Sessions	The rating for the OJT and KT Sessions category remains Green (low) for the July reporting period with no active findings.
			Targeted KT	Although the last planned Calculator 3.0 Knowledge Transfer session concluded on 7/17/2020, IV&V will continue to track the related finding to provide BHA ample time to identify additional KT gaps. The Targeted KT category rating will remain a Green (low) for the July reporting period.
			Project Performance Metrics	IV&V continues to rate the Project Performance Metrics category Green (low) for the July reporting period as we monitor performance metrics for R3.10 during the August reporting period.
			Organizational Maturity Assessment	The rating for the Organization Maturity Assessment (OMA) will remain at Green (low) up through the completion of R3.11 when the next agile release will be implemented.
			Project Management	IV&V closed the lack of design reviews preliminary concern for this category as additional time has been spent by the project on design of complex user stories during July. IV&V has opened a new preliminary concern to address the DDD project staffing shortage to have a resource(s) available to assist with user adoption, training, and overall OCM activities. The COVID-19 risk remains a Yellow (medium risk) for the July reporting period.

Executive Summary

As of the July 2020 reporting period, there are a total of five open findings – one Low Issue, one High Risk, two Medium Risks, one Low Risk, and one new Preliminary Concern spread across the Sprint Planning, Release / Deployment Planning, Test Practice Validation, Targeted Knowledge Transfer, and Project Management assessment areas.



The background is a solid blue gradient. It is decorated with several abstract geometric elements: white-outlined squares of various sizes, some of which are slightly offset from each other, and solid blue squares in different shades. These shapes are scattered across the slide, with a higher concentration in the upper-left and lower-right areas.

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Assessment Categories

Throughout this project, IV&V will verify and validate activities performed in the following process areas:

- Sprint Planning
- User Story Validation
- Test Practice Validation
- Release / Deployment Planning
- On-the-Job Training (OJT) and Knowledge Transfer (KT) Sessions
- Targeted Knowledge Transfer (KT)
- Project Performance Metrics
- Organizational Maturity Assessment
- Project Management

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Sprint Planning

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
8	<i>Escalated Risk from Low to Medium</i> – The BHA Technical Team bandwidth may not be adequate to meet the needs of the project, which could compromise project productivity. For Release 3.10, BHA continues to rely on the RSM Technical Team for Solution Architect and Technical Team support. While this is clearly a positive move to increase bandwidth of the BHA Technical Architect and team for the short term, the volume of work for this team continues to exceed existing capacity and may be contributing to ongoing deployment and code promotion issues. IV&V is raising the rating for this risk from low to medium and will continue to monitor this finding for the month of August.	M

Recommendations	Status
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Project should investigate mechanisms of increasing the bandwidth of the BHA Technical Team, either by adding resources through new hires, or existing vendor contracts. 	In progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Project should assess, where possible, if there are responsibilities of the BHA Technical Team that can be reassigned to other project staff. 	In progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Project should evaluate where process inefficiencies can be addressed and streamlined to possibly free up the BHA Technical Team's availability. 	In progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Project should consider the formation of a first level M&O resource team to triage/address production defects in a timely manner, without disrupting new development work. 	New

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Test Practice Validation

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
2	<p>Low Issue – As a result of regression testing not being consistently performed, production releases are breaking and/or deprecating existing functionality in the production environment.</p> <p>IV&V has re-opened previously closed recommendations as highlighted below. IV&V learned that end-to-end regression testing was not performed for the two mid-sprint deployments in July, and the “hard” code freeze dates were not adhered to. The automation of regression testing is still planned for Release 3.10 and then will be utilized for Release 3.11 forward. Due to the State hiring freeze, staffing may not be available to support this plan. IV&V will continue to monitor progress.</p>	L

Recommendations	Status
• Updating the regression test scripts to ensure consistency with system configuration.	Completed
• Modifying release schedules to allow for regression testing timeframes.	Open
• Planning releases by functionality type to avoid unnecessary repetitive work being performed in any given section of the solution.	Completed
• Performing regression testing after every release, or possibly every other release, or potentially tying regression tests to full solution upgrade releases. In addition, consider alternating the DDD and CAMHD scripts every other release.	Completed

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Test Practice Validation (cont'd)

Recommendations	Status
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Modifying regression test scripts to start AFTER case creation, limiting the time it takes to execute.	Completed
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify the deployment scripts based on information gained from previous release deployments.	Completed
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Pursuing additional formal training in AzureDevOps for test automation at the earliest possible juncture and commence efforts to automate at least the 2 primary regression test scripts.	Open
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Consulting with RSM to determine how the 'interim testing processes' can be improved until such time that automated testing is available for repeatable and complete industry standard regression testing.	Completed
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Implementing 'hard' code freeze dates as well as test environment deployment dates to ensure that testing and deployment activities are not rushed.	<i>Open</i>

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Release / Deployment Planning

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
5	<i>Escalated Risk from Medium to High</i> - Due to the project not following a detailed deployment script, the project may continue to experience defects related to deployment activities. With the assistance of RSM, the Team completed an M&O mid-sprint production deployment on 7/17/2020 which consisted largely of ISP Cloning and Calculator 3.0 functionality. While the targeted functionality is performing well, a few deployment challenges were observed before and after deployment. A single test environment is still not available for staff to test all functionality of all releases. After deployment, CAMHD staff discovered that unfinished code for future functionality was unintentionally deployed to production. In addition, a new issue with Medicare and private insurance plans was discovered post-deployment while changes were implemented in the workflow which necessitated another mid-sprint deployment on 7/31/2020. IV&V has increased the priority for this finding from Medium to High during this reporting period due to ongoing release and deployment issues.	H

Recommendations	Status
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IVV recommends documenting deployment scripts for both the patch method and the full solution upgrade method. The script(s) should be in the form of a step-by-step procedure that walks through from start to finish of the deployment process and involve several technical staff to ensure staff availability to accomplish these tasks when primary deployment personnel are unavailable. 	Completed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Standardize the content of all developer deployment task notes, with a goal of eliminating any potential ambiguity to the Deployment Team, resulting in a cleaner and more repeatable set of deployment processes and instructions. 	Completed

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Release / Deployment Planning (cont'd)

Recommendations	Status
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As appropriate, consult with RSM on best practices that BHA could employ to support deployment. 	In Progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Look at implementing 'hard' code freeze dates as well as test environment deployment dates to ensure that testing and deployment activities are not rushed. 	<i>Open</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request the assistance of the RSM Solution Architect in reviewing and correcting issues associated with consistency of the configurations across environments, ensuring that the test environment is capable of testing ALL functions of any given release without the need for using multiple test environments. 	In Progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request the assistance of the RSM Solution Architect in review of deployment scripts as a double check for accuracy and completeness prior to commencing deployment activities. 	In Progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure an operational and fully functional test environment is available to effectively conduct end-to-end regression testing prior to deploying a release to production. 	In Progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Project Team should consider evaluating potential changes to improve/enhance existing processes and communications to address current release/deployment shortfalls. 	<i>New</i>

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Targeted KT

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
3	Low Risk – Usage of open source JavaScript framework for development and ongoing maintenance of Calculator 3.0 requires BHA to take a proactive approach to support and security. The Project resolved the majority of the outstanding Calculator 3.0 defects during July which was implemented in the mid-sprint release on 7/17/2020. The final Calculator 3.0 Knowledge Transfer session was conducted on 7/17/2020. IV&V recommends BHA to identify and communicate any additional KT gaps to RSM during the month of August. If no additional needs are identified, IV&V will close this finding.	L
Recommendations		Status
• IV&V recommends BHA work to establish a reliable and repeatable process for keeping abreast of communal updates and support opportunities for a new suite of tools.		Completed
• IV&V recommends BHA continue to hold targeted KT sessions with RSM on maintaining Calculator 3.0 via a suite of tools, and determine what additional skillsets, training, and/or resources are needed to support BHA's long-term ownership of Calculator 3.0.		In Progress

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Project Management

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
10	Medium Risk -The COVID-19 pandemic and the related "stay at home" order could hinder project activities and negatively impact the project schedule and budget. The project continues to move forward with staff working remotely. While completed user story points came short of planned expectations for Iteration 1 of R3.10, it is expected that pace will pick back up for Iterations 2 and 3. IV&V will continue to monitor in August.	M

Recommendations	Status
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IV&V recommends BHA to ramp up efforts to setup, train, and assist stakeholders on remote work devices and tools and make every effort to help them to become highly functional with remote access technology (e.g. MS Teams/Skype). 	Completed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IV&V recommends the project and DOH create a detailed, documented risk mitigation strategy and plan that is reviewed regularly and revised to address the current state of the COVID-19 threat and related impacts over the next 6 to 12 months. 	In Progress
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IV&V recommends updates to the OCM Plan to include any new activities or updates to planned activities to aid the organization through this COVID-19 situation in the short and long term. 	Open

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Project Management (cont'd)

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
11	<i>Closed Preliminary Concern</i> - The lack of design reviews for complex user stories has resulted in the promotion of incomplete solutions into production. The Project continued to spend additional time on the designs of complex user stories during July to ensure requirements and user story components were fully captured in design sessions. This process change has proven successful, and as such, IV&V recommends closing this concern.	Closed

Recommendations	Status
<ul style="list-style-type: none">IV&V recommends (and DOH has commenced) conducting technical design reviews for complex user stories prior to development to ensure designs are complete and all requirements associated with the design are met. IV&V observed this activity has been implemented by DOH in the month of June.	Completed

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Project Management (cont'd)

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
12	<i>New Preliminary Concern</i> – DDD Project staffing shortages may have a continued negative effect on user adoption, training, and overall OCM activities. User adoption and training are hampered based on staffing shortages. DDD has discovered that some users are not utilizing the system to its fullest extent such as not leveraging existing system workflows. Also, users are not provided a forum to provide their insights and feedback on the system. Although DDD identified the need for a full-time resource to coordinate these activities, the designated position for this was cut in the budget.	N/A

Recommendations	Status
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IV&V recommends that DOH pursue the addition of an OCM resource(s) to re-enforce and manage the effect of new business processes on staff members and who would facilitate with user adoption and training on the system to ensure it is being utilized as intended. 	<i>New</i>

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Project Performance Metrics

Metric	Description	IV&V Observations	IV&V Updates
Velocity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review and validate the velocity data as reported by the project Verify the project is on pace to hit the total target number of US/USP for Phase 3 	<p>July - Available velocity was estimated at 215 User Story Points (USPs) for Iteration 1 of Release 3.10. A total of 138 USPs were completed at the end of Iteration 1, and Iteration 2 development is currently in progress.</p> <p>IV&V also notes that there were 24 additional USPs released to production via M&O Mid-Sprint Deployment.</p>	<p>July – BHA resources continue to work in sequential order to estimate stories tagged with Release 3.10 Iterations 1, 2, and 3.</p>

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Project Performance Metrics (cont'd.)

Metric	Description	IV&V Observations	IV&V Updates
US Point Estimates vs Actuals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Verify the project is tracking US Point estimates and actual data • Determine the delta between estimates and actuals • Based on the delta (if any) investigate the potential root cause for the delta 	<p>July - The Project Scrum Master closely tracks the USP on a daily basis. Determining the delta between USP estimates and actuals is a work in progress.</p>	<p>July – No change from last month - DDD and CAMHD have standardized a format for resources to track hours. The recording of resource hours is not completed by all team members making it difficult to calculate the variance between actual vs. projected hours.</p>

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Project Performance Metrics (cont'd.)

Metric	Description	IV&V Observations	IV&V Updates
Defect Metrics	<p>Understand and track the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defects by category (bug fixes) US Points assigned to defects in a release vs US Points assigned to planned US in a release 	<p>July – In the Water-Scrum-Fall methodology, the Project is not tracking defects separately from the core 3.10 Iteration development efforts. When defects are identified in an Iteration, the goal is to resolve them during that iteration.</p>	<p>July – In addition to the R3.10 Iteration 2 efforts, the project completed deployment of an M&O Release on 07/17/2020, which primarily addressed issues in the ISP Cloning and Calculator 3.0 functionality.</p> <p>The project is also working on planning and executing two additional work streams:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Semantic Layer and BI Reporting effort, which is currently in the discovery phase), and - The Help Desk functionality effort, which is currently scheduled for completion in September 2020.

IV&V Findings & Recommendations

Organizational Maturity Assessment

Measure	IV&V Observations
The Organizational Maturity Assessment (OMA) is updated on a bi-monthly basis. The next OMA will be planned for the next agile release which is after the R3.10 & R3.11 scrum-waterfall releases.	

The background is a solid blue color. It is decorated with several abstract geometric shapes, including squares and rectangles of various sizes and shades of blue. Some shapes have white outlines, while others are solid. They are scattered across the page, with a higher concentration on the left side and a few on the right.

Appendix A: IV&V Rating Scales

Appendix A

IV&V Rating Scales

This appendix provides the details of each finding and recommendation identified by IV&V. Project stakeholders are encouraged to review the findings and recommendations log details as needed.

- See Findings and Recommendations Log (provided under separate cover)
- IV&V Assessment Category Rating Definitions

G

The assessment category is under control and the current scope can be delivered within the current schedule.

The assessment category's risks and issues have been identified, and mitigation activities are effective. The overall impact of risk and issues is minimal.

The assessment category is proceeding according to plan (< 30 days late).

Y

The assessment category is under control but also actively addressing resource, schedule or scope challenges that have arisen. There is a clear plan to get back on track.

The assessment category's risk and/or issues have been identified, and further mitigation is required to facilitate forward progress. The known impact of potential risks and known issues are likely to jeopardize the assessment category.

Schedule issues are emerging (> 30 days but < 60 days late).

Project Leadership attention is required to ensure the assessment category is under control.

R

The assessment category is not under control as there are serious problems with resources, schedule, or scope. A plan to get back on track is needed.




The assessment category's risks and issues pose significant challenges and require immediate mitigation and/or escalation. The project's ability to complete critical tasks and/or meet the project's objectives is compromised and is preventing the project from progressing forward.

Significant schedule issues exist (> 60 days late). Milestone and task completion dates will need to be re-planned.

Executive management and/or project sponsorship attention is required to bring the assessment category under control.

Appendix A

Criticality Ratings

Criticality Rating	Definition
	A high rating is assigned if there is a possibility of substantial impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. A major disruption is likely, and the consequences would be unacceptable. A different approach is required. Mitigation strategies should be evaluated and acted upon immediately.
	A medium rating is assigned if there is a possibility of moderate impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. Some disruption is likely, and a different approach may be required. Mitigation strategies should be implemented as soon as feasible.
	A low rating is assigned if there is a possibility of slight impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. Minimal disruption is likely, and some oversight is most likely needed to ensure that the risk remains low. Mitigation strategies should be considered for implementation when possible.

The background is a solid blue color. It features several abstract geometric elements: a cluster of overlapping squares and rectangles in the top-left corner, some with white outlines and others as solid blue shapes; a single square with a white outline in the center-left; and a few more squares and rectangles in the bottom-right area, some connected by thin white lines.

Appendix B: Inputs

Appendix B

Inputs

This appendix identifies the artifacts and activities that serve as the basis for the IV&V observations.

Meetings attended during the July 2020 reporting period:

1. Daily Scrum Meetings (selected)
2. Twice Weekly RSM Issues Meeting
3. Weekly BHA-ITS Project Status Meeting
4. Bi-Weekly Check-in: CAMHD
5. Bi-Weekly Check-in: DDD
6. BHA IAPDU Planning Meeting
7. IV&V Draft IV&V Status Review Meeting with DOH
8. IV&V Draft IV&V Status Review Meeting with RSM and DOH
9. Final Calculator 3.0 - DOH Knowledge Transfer Session
10. BHA Help Desk Discovery Sessions
11. INSPIRE Release 3.10 Iteration 1 Demo

Artifacts reviewed during the July 2020 reporting period:

1. Daily Scrum Notes (selected)
2. Twice Weekly Issues Meeting Notes (selected)
3. Mid-Sprint Deployment Planning – Release Notes

Eclipse IV&V® Base Standards and Checklists



Document

The background is a solid blue color. It is decorated with several abstract geometric elements: white-outlined squares of various sizes, some of which are slightly offset from each other, and solid blue squares of various sizes. Some of these squares are connected by thin white lines, creating a sense of movement or flow. The overall aesthetic is modern and minimalist.

Appendix C: Project Trends

Appendix C

Project Trends

Trend: Overall Project Health										
Process Area	2019		2020							
	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Sep
User Story Validation	N/A									
Test Practice Validation	N/A									
Sprint Planning	N/A									
Release / Deployment Planning	N/A									
OJT and KT Sessions	N/A									
Targeted KT	N/A									
Project Performance Metrics	N/A									
Organizational Maturity Metrics	N/A									
General Project Management	N/A	N/A								
Total Open Findings	N/A	3	7	5	6	6	6	6	6	
Issue - high	N/A	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Issue - medium	N/A	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	
Issue - low	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	
Risk - high	N/A	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	
Risk - medium	N/A	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	
Risk - low	N/A	0	1	1	3	4	3	2	1	
Preliminary Concern	N/A	3	5	2	0	0	1	1	1	



Solutions that Matter

ID No	Identified Date	Title	Updates	Recommendation	Observation	Significance	Process Area	Finding Type	Priority	Status	Closure Reason	Risk Owner
1.000	12/31/2019	Detailed investigation into the project's sprint planning processes and adoption of generally accepted agile best practices.	01/31/2020 - IV&V is closing this concern, and will be tracking items related to Definition of Done, roadmap, resourcing, and backlog grooming in new, separate findings.	As much as possible, the project should identify what feature enhancements will be targeted for each Release and should clearly map out the roadmap out through the last Phase 3 release in September 2020. Additionally, the project should clearly define "Done" to ensure the project team is working towards the same goal and increase opportunities for success. Having a clear "definition of done" will help provide the project with guidance on how to stay within scope.	IV&V is currently investigating the following topics as they relate to Sprint Planning and the project's overall approach to agile software development: - IV&V acknowledges that the project is now planning three sprints out, however, it appears that only a "high-level" sprint planning roadmap has been produced, and it is unclear exactly how the project is targeting features for each release through the end of Mod 4. - IV&V is not aware of how the project is currently defining "done" for Mod 3 and Mod 4 Additionally, in the January 2020 reporting period, IV&V will seek additional information and visibility into the project's processes specific to prioritizing requests and how user stories in TFS are tagged to features, as well as the realignment of project development and configuration resources to complete the scope of Release 3.5.	While having a high level roadmap is good, the project should be able to identify what feature enhancements will be targeted for each planned release. This roadmap will help the project manage scope and productivity. Relatedly, the "definition done" is an important artifact to ensure that the project is successful. Otherwise, the project will struggle to prioritize requests/enhancements and manage scope.	Sprint Planning	Concern	N/A	Closed	Due to multiple new items being tracked at a more granular level, this item has been closed.	V Ramesh

2.000	12/31/2019	As a result of regression testing not being consistently performed, production releases are both breaking and deprecating existing functionality in the production environment.	<p>07/31/2020 - IV&V has re-opened previously closed recommendations as highlighted below. IV&V learned that end-to-end regression testing was not performed for the two mid-sprint deployments in July, and the “hard” code freeze dates were not adhered to. The automation of regression testing is still planned for Release 3.10 and then will be utilized for Release 3.11 forward. Due to the State hiring freeze, staffing may not be available to support this plan. IV&V will continue to monitor progress.</p> <p>06/30/2020 - No changes were noted during the June reporting period. The Project Team used its' DDD and CAMHD regression script assets for Release 3.9 in June. The automation of regression testing is still outstanding and is planned to be developed during Release 3.10 and executed for Release 3.11. IV&V will continue to monitor progress.</p> <p>05/31/2020 - CAMHD has invested resources to develop end-to-end regression test scripts, which reduces the time necessary to execute this testing on a</p>	<p>To address regression testing, the Project should continue to pursue and/or consider:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Updating the regression test scripts to ensure consistency with system configuration. 2. Modifying release schedules to allow for regression testing timeframes 3. Grouping releasees by functionality type to avoid unnecessary repetitive work being performed in any given section of the solution. 4. Performing regression testing after every release, or possibly every other release, or potentially tying regression tests to full solution upgrade releases. In addition, consider alternating the DDD and CAMHD scripts every other release. 5. Modifying regression test scripts to start AFTER case creation, limiting the time it takes to execute. 6. Potentially modifying the deployment scripts based on 	R3.3 introduced a defect that deprecated features in production specific to Integrated Support and Life Trajectory functionality. DDD has informed IV&V that there are other examples of functionality being deprecated after a release, some of which are still being investigated. As of this report, IV&V has not evaluated the project’s root cause analysis (RCA) process used to determine why such functionality was deprecated but will discuss further with BHA in January 2020.	Thorough vetting and validation of regression test cases is necessary to prevent both defects and the deprecation of production functionality when a release is pushed live. When defects occur in production, the project should follow a defined and repeatable process for determining the root cause of the problem.	Test Practice Validation	Issue	Low	Open		D Macdonald
-------	------------	---	--	---	---	--	--------------------------	-------	-----	------	--	-------------

3.000	12/31/2019	Usage of open source JavaScript framework for development and ongoing maintenance of Calculator 3.0 requires BHA to take a proactive approach to support and security.	<p>07/31/2020 - The Project resolved the majority of the outstanding Calculator 3.0 defects during July which was implemented in the mid-sprint release on 7/17/2020. The final Calculator 3.0 Knowledge Transfer session was conducted on 7/17/2020. IV&V recommends BHA to identify and communicate any additional KT gaps to RSM during the month of August. If no additional needs are identified, IV&V will close this finding.</p> <p>06/30/2020 - The project continued to focus attention on the Calculator 3.0 defects during the month of June. RSM conducted another Calculator 3.0 Knowledge Transfer session on 6/26/2020 and scheduled a final session for 7/17/2020.</p> <p>05/31/2020 - The project continued to focus attention on the Calculator 3.0 user stories and defects during the month of May. RSM conducted another Calculator 3.0 Knowledge Transfer session on 5/29/2020 which IV&V attended. This session included the new C3.0 year-end</p>	IV&V recommends BHA research and determine the long-term sustainability of Vue.JS for Calculator 3.0 and work to establish a reliable and repeatable process for keeping abreast of communal updates and support opportunities. Additionally, IV&V recommends BHA continue to hold targeted KT sessions with RSM on maintaining Calculator 3.0 using Vue.JS, and determine what additional skillsets, training, and/or resources are needed to support BHA's long-term ownership of Calculator 3.0.	<p>IV&V learned in December 2019 that the Calculator 3.0 functionality is built in Vue.JS, an open source JavaScript framework. As this framework is relatively new, the open source support community is small. IV&V's concerns include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The availability of reliable support for Vue.JS - The project's understanding of any known vulnerabilities with Vue.JS, and development of a process to remain current on vulnerabilities discovered by the support community. - The RSM lead for Calculator 3.0 went on extended parental leave in December 2019 <p>IV&V acknowledges that BHA has downloaded Vue.JS to start getting familiar with it.</p>	Open source tools and frameworks offer organizations great opportunities to build and configure customized solutions at lower costs than through software purchase or licensing. However, open source tools and frameworks often do not come with support models, other than those offered through the tool's community. Because of this, the organization bears the weight of seeking out reliable help and support, as well as much take a proactive approach to security and identifying any vulnerabilities in the tools.	Targeted KT	Risk	Low	Open	RSM has successfully held several KT sessions for this technology and functionality, and DOH staff have stated that it was well received.	D Macdonald
-------	------------	--	--	---	--	---	-------------	------	-----	------	---	-------------

5.000	1/31/2020	Due to the project not following a detailed deployment script, the project may continue to experience defects related to deployment activities.	07/31/2020 - With the assistance of RSM, the Team completed an M&O mid-sprint production deployment on 7/17/2020 which consisted largely of ISP Cloning and Calculator 3.0 functionality. While the targeted functionality is performing well, a few deployment challenges were observed before and after deployment. A single test environment is still not available for staff to test all functionality of all releases. After deployment, CAMHD staff discovered that unfinished code for future functionality was unintentionally deployed to production. In addition, a new issue with Medicare and private insurance plans was discovered post-deployment while changes were implemented in the workflow which necessitated another mid-sprint deployment on 7/31/2020. IV&V has increased the priority for this finding from Medium to High during this reporting period due to ongoing release and deployment issues. 06/30/2020 - Release 3.9 was promoted to production during the June reporting period. Similar to the mid-sprint push to production on 5/22/2020, ISP cloning	IVV recommends documenting deployment scripts for both the patch method and the full solution upgrade method. The script(s) should be in the form of a step-by-step procedure that walks through from start to finish of the deployment process, and involve several technical staff to ensure staff availability to accomplish these tasks when primary deployment personnel are unavailable. (COMPLETED) Standardize the content of all developer deployment task notes, with a goal of eliminating any potential ambiguity to the Deployment Team, resulting in a cleaner and more repeatable set of deployment processes and instructions. (COMPLETED) As appropriate, consult with RSM on best practices that BHA could employ to support deployment. (In Progress) Allow for complete functionality testing in pre-production environments before deploying	There have been several defects pushed to production with Phase 3 releases. Some of the defects introduced to production have been narrowed down to environmental promotional activities. In these instances, the DEV and TEST environments were not in sync with the production environment (missing business rules, missing components, missing dependencies, etc.). IVV is aware that DOH staff shadowed RSM staff for all deployment releases during Phase 2 with RSM providing instruction and DOH staff physically executing the tasks and activities via keyboard. For Phase 3, it is unclear whether a complete, up to date, and documented deployment script, or deployment notes, are being utilized by BHA for deployment activities.	A complete, up to date, and documented deployment script is needed to ensure the repeatability and stability of DOH's production deployments. Since there are currently two deployment types (patches and full solution upgrades), two scripts may be warranted. An embedded process and documented script will help ensure that mistakes are minimized, and that functionality is not mistakenly deprecated when deployments take place.	Release / Deployment Planning	Risk	High	Open		D Macdonald
6.000	1/31/2020	The project lacks a documented 'Definition of Done', which could challenge the project's ability to fully achieve the goals of Phase 3.	02/29/2020 - The project created and documented the Phase 3 Definition of Done and socialized it in February. IV&V recommends the project continually review and update the Definition of Done, adjusting as/if necessary. IV&V will continue to monitor the project's adherence to Definition of Done and progress against it but is closing out this concern as of the February report.	Project is currently in the process of creating the Definition of Done. IV&V recommends that the project create this document and socialize this with project team before end of Feb 2020 IV&V recommends that the project consider the items from the prioritized list, available resources and define "Done" as a percentage of the identified priority list with room for flexibility to add any other features/enhancements that will be identified during Phase 3.	BHA does not have a documented 'Definition of Done' (DOD) for the BHA Top 100 User Stories of for Phase 3. Because of this, it is unclear how proposed functionality/features are prioritized for each sprint, and throughout Phase 3.	The 'Definition of Done' document guides the Project's vision, business, and technical goals that are important inputs for stakeholders to develop a Roadmap for Phase 3. Without the 'Definition of Done' document, the project is likely to struggle to prioritize proposed functionality/features which may be equally important but are at odds with each other.	Project Management	Concern	N/A	Closed	Definition of Done completed and socialized.	V Ramesh

7.000	1/31/2020	The lack of consistent backlog grooming could result in the project backlog having obsolete, non-estimated, and poorly defined user stories.	<p>06/30/2020 - IV&V has observed for another month that the project continues to groom the backlog. As a result, we are closing this finding.</p> <p>05/31/2020 - The project team has determined the backlog grooming effort has caught up, as details for release 3.9 are completed and 3.10 is near completion. IV&V will keep this finding open for an additional month to validate that the backlog continues to be groomed as new stories and defects are identified. Efforts to keep the backlog groomed should take place each month so that release planning efforts are not delayed.</p> <p>04/30/2020 - The project reported that 97% of the original backlog has been estimated at this time. That said, CAMHD has determined that there are several older, and possibly no longer necessary user stories in the backlog that are candidates for deletion. IV&V will keep this finding open and will re-assess it for impact during the month of May.</p> <p>03/31/2020 - IV&V validates that the backlog grooming effort has made great</p>	<p>IVV recommends that CAMHD and DDD groom the backlog to bring it as current and accurate as possible. This should include deprecating items no longer needed, and fully defining those items that are not yet fully defined, readying them for configuration or developer resources. To the extent feasible, BHA should involve RSM SMEs in this process, if only for the interim to get "caught up" on grooming efforts. (Closed)</p> <p>VV recommends that the project consider utilizing an age-based methodology for the deprecation of 'older' user stories in the backlog that may no longer be applicable or needed by the Product Owners and developing a process for periodic cleansing of the backlog using the same methodology. (Closed)</p>	The backlog of defects, requests, and user stories continues to grow. Currently, there are approximately 429 defects and requests, of which almost 200 have a status of 'New'. IVV notes that a large percentage of the backlogged work items do not have user story points estimated. Many of the items listed in the backlog are old, and some may have had needs or requirements change over time or may now be obsolete. IV&V notes that DDD and CAMHD have initiated this effort and agreed to prioritize grooming the backlog by the end of February.	A comprehensive, up-to-date backlog is a primary tenet of agile software development. If a backlog is carrying work items that may not ever be completed for any reason, they should be removed from the backlog to ensure its viability.	Project Management	Risk	Low	Closed	The project has demonstrated they are consistently grooming the backlog.	D Macdonald
-------	-----------	--	---	--	---	---	--------------------	------	-----	--------	--	-------------

8.000	1/31/2020	The BHA Technical Team bandwidth may not be sufficient to meet the needs of the project, which could compromise project productivity.	<p>07/31/2020 - For Release 3.10, BHA continues to rely on the RSM Technical Team for Solution Architect and Technical Team support. While this is clearly a positive move to increase bandwidth of the BHA Technical Architect and team for the short term, the volume of work for this team continues to exceed existing capacity and may be contributing to ongoing deployment and code promotion issues. IV&V is raising the rating for this risk from low to medium and will continue to monitor this finding for the month of August.</p> <p>06/30/2020 - No change during this reporting period. Release 3.10 is being managed by RSM as a water-scrum-fall release, which is helping to limit the impact of minimal bandwidth for the DOH Solution Architect. IV&V will continue to monitor this risk in July.</p> <p>05/31/2020 - The RSM Team continued to assist the DOH Team with tasks and activities during May, which continued to help alleviate some of the bandwidth concerns for the DOH staff. Release 3.10</p>	IVV recommends the Project investigate mechanisms of increasing the bandwidth of the Solution Architect, either by bringing in additional resources through new hires, or through existing vendor contracts. Additionally, the project should assess, where possible, if there are responsibilities of the Solution Architect that can be reassigned to other project staff. Further, the Project should evaluate where process inefficiencies can be addressed and streamlined to possibly free up the Solution Architect's availability.	The BHA Technical Team plays a role that is critical to project success. Currently, the responsibilities associated with the BHA Technical Team have expanded beyond their collective available bandwidth. IV&V notes that BHA began addressing this bandwidth issue, which includes increased collaboration with key RSM resources, and are working to potentially change the structure of the Technical Team.	The BHA Technical Team leads the efforts of all technical DOH staff on the project. As such, the Team's time is both extremely valuable and scarce. If the Team's expected workload exceeds bandwidth, the project could experience bottlenecks. Optimization of the BHA Technical Team's time is to the benefit of the project and plays a critical role in the project's ability to hit performance targets and achieve the Phase 3 definition of done.	Sprint Planning	Risk	Med	Open		D Macdonald
9.000	1/31/2020	The lack of a Product Roadmap that provides direction on the top product features to be delivered in the near term (next 3 months), midterm, and long term could have an adverse impact on the Project's scope and schedule.	02/29/2020 - In February, the project further defined the Phase 3 Product Roadmap and aligned it with the Phase 3 Definition of Done. IV&V recommends the project continually review and update both the Product Roadmap and Definition of Done, adjusting as necessary. IV&V will continue to monitor the project's adherence to the Product Roadmap and progress against it but is closing out this concern as of the February report.	IV&V understands that the Project is in the process of creating a Short Term; Mid Term, and Long Term Roadmap. IV&V recommends the Project complete this by the end of February 2020 to understand if there are any constraints (resources, budget) that may hinder the project from releasing the needed functionalities/features targeted for Phase 3 as documented in the Definition of Done.	BHA does not have a single, definitive Product Roadmap for Phase 3 that addresses the functionalities, features, and / or enhancements to be built in order to fully address BHA's business needs. IV&V met with RSM and BHA on this topic in January, and notes that the project is actively working to address this concern.	A Roadmap is a tool that guides an agile project on what functionalities/features are targeted to be built and released and when users can have access to these functionalities. Without a defined Roadmap the project may not be able to effectively scope the top features to be released within Phase 3 and ensure the correct resources are available to accomplish the goal of each sprint and the Phase.	Project Management	Concern	N/A	Closed	Product Roadmap aligned with Definition of Done	V Ramesh

10.000	3/31/2020	The COVID-19 pandemic and the related "stay at home" order could hinder project activities and negatively impact the project schedule and budget.	<p>07/31/2020 - The project continues to move forward with staff working remotely. While completed user story points came short of planned expectations for Iteration 1 of R3.10, it is expected that pace will pick back up for Iterations 2 and 3. IV&V will continue to monitor in August.</p> <p>06/30/2020 - The State's 'Safer at Home' orders ended on June 30th. IV&V notes that although staff are still remote, the project has continued to manage meeting monthly release schedules. As such, IV&V is reducing this risk to a Medium priority.</p> <p>05/31/2020 - The COVID-19 pandemic continued to require more staff and management time than anticipated in May, as Departmental priorities are focused on providing services to clients. Both the DDD and CAMHD Product Owners have stated that a significant percentage of available managerial and staff time continues to be redirected to the Department's COVID-19 response, as opposed to being able to focus on the project. To date, project activities have not been impacted IV&V will continue to</p>	<p>- IV&V recommends BHA to ramp up efforts to setup, train, and assist stakeholders on remote work devices and tools and make every effort to help them to become highly functional with remote access technology (e.g. MS Teams/Skype).</p> <p>- IV&V recommends the project and DOH create a detailed, documented risk mitigation strategy and plan that is reviewed regularly and revised to address the current state of the COVID-19 threat and related impacts over the next 6 to 12 months.</p> <p>- IV&V recommends updates to the OCM Plan to include any new activities or updates to planned activities to aid the organization through this COVID-19 situation in the short and long term.</p>	On 3/23/2020, the Governor issued a “stay at home, work from home order” that has reduced state departments ability to be fully functional as the large majority of state workers will be required to work from home/remotely at least until the end of April and some offices may be completely shut down until that time as well. Unclear if the order will extend beyond that date.	DOH stakeholder participation in key activities could be significantly hindered, not only by required remote work by DOH and SI staff, but also by the flood of activities that could occur as the department could become overwhelmed processing and providing services due to the resulting economic impacts to citizens. Some stakeholders may be unable (due to stricter shutdown policies) or unwilling to perform these activities during this chaotic time. Planned SI on-site visits will also likely be changed to remote which could reduce the effectiveness of their project activities. Going forward most if not all project activities will more than likely be conducted remotely until this crisis passes.	Project Management	Risk	Med	Open		Darren
11.000	5/31/2020	The lack of design reviews for complex user stories has resulted in the promotion of incomplete solutions into production.	<p>07/31/2020 - The Project continues to to spend additional time on the designs of complex user stories during June to ensure requirements and user story components were fully captured in design sessions. This process change has proven successful, and as such, IV&V recommends closing this concern.</p> <p>06/30/2020 - IV&V acknowledges the Project Team continued to spend additional time on the designs of complex user stories during June to ensure requirements and user story components were fully captured in design sessions. IV&V will continue to monitor in July.</p>	IV&V recommends (and DOH has commenced) conducting technical design reviews for complex user stories prior to development to ensure designs are complete and all requirements associated with the design are met. IV&V observed this activity has been implemented by DOH in the month of May.	There were a couple incidents during May where the code promoted to production did not fully meet all requirements of associated user stories for CAMHD Progress Notes. The components that were developed and implemented were functional, however the effort was complicated by additional requirements being added during development that necessitated additional changes to the design. IV&V will continue to monitor the design review activity for large user stories moving forward	If all requirements within a functional design are not met, users could experience the inability to complete required processes, resulting in incomplete data collection and potential issues in service delivery.		Concern		Closed	This process change to spend extra time on the design of complex user stories to ensure that all requirements are represented has proven to be successful.	

12.000	7/31/2020	DDD Project staffing shortages may have a continued negative effect on user adoption, training, overall OCM activities.		IV&V recommends that DOH pursues the addition of an user adoption, training, and OCM staff person to continually visit all facilities and interview users to determine where variations and gaps exist, and to determine the best methods for increasing user staff knowledge of how the system is intended to be utilized.	User adoption and training are hampered based on staffing shortages. DDD has discovered that some users are not utilizing the system to its fullest extent such as not leveraging existing system workflows. Also, users are not provided a forum to provide their insights and feedback on the system. Although DDD identified the need for a full-time resource to coordinate these activities, the designated position for this was cut in the budget.	The significance and impact of OCM can never be understated. It is clearly a best practice that best that all staff utilize the solution in the same manner. Variations in how the system is utilized by its users is likely to cause variations in data collection and analytical efforts using the data collected.	Project Management	Concern	N/A				
--------	-----------	---	--	---	---	--	--------------------	---------	-----	--	--	--	--