State IT Strategic Plan Overview

State IT Vision Statement
Transformative information and technology-enriched government that serves all the people of Hawai‘i and the ‘āina*

Governor Ige’s Priorities
Effective Government
Efficient Government
Open Government
Economy

State’s IT Strategic Priorities
Optimize Enterprise Systems
Expand Statewide Cyber Security Strategy
Digital Workforce Development
Partner for Successful Outcomes
Enhance Value of State Data
Optimize Dynamic & Sustainable IT Operations
Extend IT Portfolio Governance
Partner for Successful Outcomes

*The ‘āina (land) is not just soil, sand or dirt. The ‘āina is a heart issue for the people of Hawai‘i. The very word ‘āina brings forth deep emotion evolved from ancestral times when people lived in nature as an integral part of it. We chose to incorporate the ethical, philosophical, and spiritual aspects not only present in Governor Ige’s vision and mission statements, but also that are present in the culture that make Hawai‘i Hawai‘i.
Background

- HRS 27-43 requires Strategic Plan
- CIO started new planning process in 2018
- Four facilitated sessions with stakeholders
- Plan approved by IT Steering Committee in April 2019
- New bill requires update every four years
Purpose

- Clearly articulate the State Information Technology future vision, mission, strategic priorities, expected outcomes, major initiatives to achieve those priorities, and responsible owners for key plan elements.

- Establish a system for implementation of the plan over the first year and next four years.

- Provide guidance to ETS and department IT organizations to help with alignment throughout the state.

- Create an instrument to support awareness and accountability for all parties to the strategic plan.

- Fulfill the requirements of Hawaii Revised Statutes 27-43 and House Concurrent Resolution 94
HRS 27-43(a)

• ETS shall:
  – (2) Develop, implement, and manage the state information technology **strategic plans**;
  – (6) **Report annually** to the governor and the legislature on the status and implementation of the state information technology strategic plan;
Vision

- Transformative information and technology enriched government that serves all the people of Hawai‘i and the ‘āina
Mission Statement

• Seamlessly blend innovative Information Technology with well-engineered business processes to deliver and support sustainable systems that empower our workforce to accelerate excellent outcomes for business, citizens and the aina in support of the State’s policies, decisions, operations and services
Hawaii IT Strategic Priorities

**State IT Vision Statement**
Transformative technology-driven government that serves all the people of Hawai’i and the ‘āina

**Strategy**
Extend state IT Governance Model to better align the state’s functions with resources and ensure the State follows industry best practices and garners the full benefits of its investments.

**Strategy**
Shape the partnership between government lines of business and IT by creating a standard framework to ensure successful outcomes.

**Strategy**
Maximize the value of State data by designing, implementing and governing State systems for data stewardship, sharing, and public use.

**Strategy**
Extend the State IT Governance Model to better align the state’s functions with resources and ensure the State follows industry best practices and garners the full benefits of its investments.

**Strategy**
Extend the statewide cyber security strategy to protect the State’s IT infrastructure and constituent data through adoption of cyber security industry best practices across the State’s IT systems.

**Strategy**
Optimize ETS enterprise systems to leverage the state’s investment in centralized IT services.

**Strategy**
Optimize ETS enterprise systems to leverage the state’s investment in centralized IT services.

**Strategy**
Implement sustainable IT operations to ensure business systems are up-to-date and ready to support the current and future needs of business users and citizens at all times.

**Digital Workforce Development**
Establish a continuous learning culture and growth mindset to modernize how we work and enable the state to develop and sustain the digital workforce needed in a constantly evolving IT world.
Expand Statewide Cyber-Security Strategy

- Strategy
- Expand the statewide cyber security strategy to protect the State’s IT infrastructure and constituent data through adoption of cyber security industry best practices across the State’s IT systems

- Team Lead: ETS Chief Information Security Officer
HRS 27-43.5

• Additional duties of the chief information officer relating to security of government information.

  – (a) The chief information officer shall provide for periodic security audits of all executive branch departments and agencies regarding the protection of government information and data communication infrastructure.
Expand the statewide cyber security strategy to protect the State’s IT infrastructure and constituent data through adoption of cyber security industry best practices across the State’s IT systems

**Desired Outcomes**
- Safeguard state and constituent information
- Reduce vulnerability to external threats
- Immediate System-wide threat response
- Security efficiency through use of AI/ML
- Minimize storage of sensitive data

**Expected Benefits**
- Increased public trust in systems, state government
- Reduced/eliminated breaches
- Cost savings
- Safer data, applications, systems
- Increased system up-time (True 24/7 availability)

**Expected Challenges**
- Change Management – new systems, role, processes, relationships, behavior expectations
- Adequate, skilled staffing
- Adequate funding (CISO, staffing, Data Officer, training, technology)
- Legacy infrastructure & applications
- Evolving nature of threats

**Key Strategic Stakeholders**
- Cyber security specialists
- State IT Directors, leaders/management
- Employees (buy-in, good security hygiene)
- Legislature (funding & resource commitment)
- IT product and service providers and industry associations
- Federal government

**Near-Term Objectives (12 months)**
- Establish a strategy governance process, executive sponsor, charter, program lead, staff, working group and user groups
- Develop a high-level prioritized reference model for best practices in tactics, techniques and procedures and begin measurement
- Establish a high-level Capability Maturity Model measurement framework and begin measurement
- Plan & begin implementing change management efforts – early communications: Threats, benefits, timing, current action

**Longer-Term Objectives (2-4 years)**
- Capability Maturity Model: Increase level attained and granularity in for state, departments and agencies
- Reference Model: Increase progress in prioritized reference model and adjust as necessary
- Identify & drive next-tier legislative changes/additions

**METRICS**
- # of verified cyber security incidents/year
- Training participation
- CIS Reference Model Scorings
- CMM level score
**CIS Controls™**

**Basic**
1. Inventory and Control of Hardware Assets
2. Inventory and Control of Software Assets
3. Continuous Vulnerability Management
4. Controlled Use of Administrative Privileges
5. Secure Configuration for Hardware and Software on Mobile Devices, Laptops, Workstations and Servers
6. Maintenance, Monitoring and Analysis of Audit Logs

**Foundational**
7. Email and Web Browser Protections
8. Malware Defenses
9. Limitation and Control of Network Ports, Protocols, and Services
10. Data Recovery Capabilities
11. Secure Configuration for Network Devices, such as Firewalls, Routers and Switches
12. Boundary Defense
13. Data Protection
14. Controlled Access Based on the Need to Know
15. Wireless Access Control
16. Account Monitoring and Control

**Organizational**
17. Implement a Security Awareness and Training Program
18. Application Software Security
19. Incident Response and Management
20. Penetration Tests and Red Team Exercises
Extend IT Portfolio Governance

• **Strategy**

• Extend the State IT Governance Model to better align the state’s functions with resources and ensure the State follows industry best practices and garners the full benefits of its investments.

• Team Lead: ETS Enterprise Architect
HRS 27-43(a)

• ETS shall:
  
  – (1) Develop, implement, and manage statewide information technology governance;

  – (3) Develop and implement **statewide technology standards**;

  – (4) Work with each **executive branch department** and agency to develop and maintain its respective multi-year information technology strategic and tactical plans and **road maps** that are part of the State's overall information technology strategic plans, road maps, and directions;
ETS shall:

- (5) Coordinate each executive branch department and agency's information technology budget request, forecast, and procurement purchase to ensure compliance with the department or agency's strategic plan and road map and with the office of enterprise technology services' information technology governance processes and enterprise architecture policies and standards, including policies and standards for systems, services, hardware, software, and security management;
**Strategy**

Extend the State IT Governance Model to better align the state’s functions with resources and ensure the State follows industry best practices and garners the full benefits of its investments.

**Desired Outcomes**
- Proactive and transparent portfolio planning and management though system lifecycle
- Transparency into cost, schedule and performance and re-baselining of projects
- Sharing and reuse of existing hardware and software
- IT systems are well-engineered and appropriately designed for their intended use

**Expected Challenges**
- Gathering, organizing and analyzing portfolio data from across the enterprise
- Resource constraints – funding, limited skillsets
- Buy-in to adopt required standards, shared services, common platforms vs. customized habits, systems
- Organizational commitment to share data
- Selecting appropriate performance indicators & best practices

**Key Strategic Stakeholders**
- State departments, agencies – IT and business partners
- ITSC
- Legislature
- Public/constituents/interest groups
- Vendors

**METRICS**
- # of systems monitored
- % systems with complete information
- # of re-baselines
- Reference Model & CMM Scores

**Expected Benefits**
- Transparency into system investment, performance and lifecycle including planning, investments, system health, modernization, end of service and system replacement
- Better planning by ETS and departments Resource leveling to avoid spikes in budget and staff levels
- A more effective accountability framework

**Near-Term Objectives (12 months)**
- Establish a strategy governance process, executive sponsor, charter, program lead, staff, working group and user groups
- Develop a high-level prioritized reference model for best practices in tactics, techniques and procedures and begin measurement
- Establish a high-level Capability Maturity Model measurement framework and begin measurement
- Plan & begin implementing change management efforts – early communications: Threats, benefits, timing, current action

**Longer-Term Objectives (2-4 years)**
- Capability Maturity Model: Increase level attained and granularity in for state, departments and agencies
- Reference Model: Increase progress in prioritized reference model and adjust as necessary
- Complete inventory that informs plan & funding for modernizing/replacing legacy systems across the enterprise
Assessment Center Management supports all major functions for planning, organizing and managing our assessment center. This is usable for external and internal applicants.
For Applications that are End-Of-Life Successors need to be planned
View: Functional vs. Technical Fit

Type: Application
Lifecycle: Active

Focus investment on Mission Critical Applications with poor Technical Fit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>IT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>Service Desk A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Service Desk B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMEA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Service Desk C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Does it make sense to agree on global Standards for these Business Capabilities?

Why is the HR Capability not supported by Applications in EMEA?
AC Management
Application

Information

Name & Description
AC Management
Assessment Center Management supports all major functions for planning, organizing and managing our assessment center. This is usable for external and internal applicants. more...

Lifecycle

- Plan  Phase in  Active  Phase out
2018  2019  2020  2021

Business Support

Business Criticality

Functional Fit

42%
Welcome to the LeanIX Academy!
Get all our expert training — at your own pace.

We will be adding more content over time, so please check back regularly.
— Your LeanIX Customer Success Management Team.

Start with one of our courses...

- **ONBOARDING**
  LeanIX Onboarding
  These courses are for every admin who starts working with LeanIX.
  3 Courses

- **BASICS**
  LeanIX Basics
  These courses provide a general overview about the most important use cases and functionality.
  6 Courses

- **ADVANCED**
  LeanIX Advanced
  These courses provide insight on more advanced LeanIX functionality.
  3 Courses

- **WEBINARS & VIDEOS**
  LeanIX Webinars & Videos
  This section contains some webinars and general videos.
  1 Course
Partner for Successful Outcomes

• **Strategy**

• *Shape the partnership between government lines of business and IT by creating a standard framework to ensure successful outcomes.*

• Team Lead: ETS Enterprise Programs Manager
ETS shall:

- (4) Work with each executive branch department and agency to develop and maintain its respective multi-year information technology strategic and tactical plans and road maps that are part of the State's overall information technology strategic plans, road maps, and directions;

- (5) Coordinate each executive branch department and agency's information technology budget request, forecast, and procurement purchase to ensure compliance with the department or agency's strategic plan and road map and with the office of enterprise technology services' information technology governance processes and enterprise architecture policies and standards, including policies and standards for systems, services, hardware, software, and security management;
HRS 27-43.6

• [§27-43.6] Additional duties of the chief information officer relating to independent verification and validation of information technology projects of the executive branch.

  – (a) The chief information officer shall identify the information technology projects of the executive branch, including those of the department of education and the University of Hawaii, that shall be subject to independent verification and validation.
**Strategy**

Shape the partnership between government lines of business and IT by creating a standard framework to ensure successful outcomes.

**Partner for Successful Outcomes**

**Desired Outcomes**

- Successful business process implementation
- IT systems are well-engineered and appropriately designed for their intended use
- Effective partnership between IT and business
- Procurement efficiency and cost savings
- Standard governance, business process re-engineering, program management, organizational change management and procurement systems followed

**Expected Benefits**

- Business process outcome improvement
- Confidence in state’s ability to implement systems
- ETS/CIO are broker of technology solutions
- Successful procurement, design and implementation of department and agency IT projects

**Expected Challenges**

- IT may not have “consultant” skills to aid business
- Culture shift – both IT and business will need to see the value and initiate partnership
- Trust & understanding may be lacking between business & IT
- Time & re-prioritization – using consultants vs. State IT

**Key Strategic Stakeholders**

- Functional business owner/decision-maker
- IT leaders and next-tier teams tasked with the work
- Governance Groups
- Procurement
- Cabinet – buy-in to drive culture/process changes

**Near-Term Objectives (12 months)**

- Establish a strategy governance process, executive sponsor, charter, program lead, staff, working group and user groups
- Develop a high-level prioritized reference model for best practices in tactics, techniques and procedures and begin measurement
- Establish a high-level Capability Maturity Model measurement framework and begin measurement
- Plan & begin implementing change management efforts – early communications: Threats, benefits, timing, current action
- Research and implement IT tools to standardize processes

**METRICS**

- Cost, schedule, and performance on development
- # of re-baselines
- CMM and Reference model score

**Longer-Term Objectives (2-4 years)**

- Capability Maturity Model: Increase level attained and granularity in for state, departments and agencies
- Reference Model: Increase progress in prioritized reference model and adjust as necessary
- Identify & drive next-tier legislative changes/additions
- Enhance/expand IT governance model to ensure modernization success
- Standardize to include SPO at onset of all modernization efforts
Enhance the Value of State Data

• **Strategy**
  
  • Maximize the value of State data by designing, implementing and governing State systems for data stewardship, sharing, and public use

• Team Lead: ETS Chief Data Officer
ETS shall:

- [§27-44.3](10) Establish, coordinate, and manage a program to provide a means for **public access to public information** and develop and operate an information network in conjunction with overall plans for establishing a communication backbone for state government; (see also 27-44)

- [§27-44.3] Data set policies and procedures. (a) The chief information officer, in consultation with the office of information practices, shall develop policies and procedures to implement section 27-44
Enhance the Value of State Data

**Desired Outcomes**
- Data Usage: State data is more valuable for economic and public purposes
- Transparency & Accessibility: All appropriate State-stored/managed data is available to the public and to other State departments, agencies, and users
- Increased awareness – all stakeholders know what is accessible and why specific data classes are not

**Expected Benefits**
- Increased constituent trust in government and civic engagement
- Improved cross-department, cross-agency, cross-sector collaboration that benefits Hawai‘i
- Broader data visibility leads to problem identification & solutioning
- Increased data interoperability & sharing – more opportunity for informed decision-making
- Better service delivery & client experience
- Decreased redundancy – greater efficiency in gov’t

**Expected Challenges**
- Change Management – new systems, processes, relationships, expectations (Culture of Sharing)
- Inconsistency across agencies – resistance to standardization
- Culture – public interest vs. sole client focus
- Adequate funding
- State & federal law – inter-agency sharing, confidentiality rules
- Fear of data integrity, quality, security, ownership/governance

**Key Strategic Stakeholders**
- Data Stewards: Jurisdiction, department and program leadership (buy-in, commitment, support, use, reporting)
- State leadership and employees
- Office of Information Practices (OIP) and Attorney General
- Federal agencies
- Legislature (funding, policy changes)
- Open Data advocates and users including businesses

**Near-Term Objectives (12 months)**
- Establish a strategy governance process, executive sponsor, charter, program lead, staff, working group and user groups
- Develop a high-level prioritized reference model for best practices in tactics, techniques and procedures and begin measurement
- Establish a high-level Capability Maturity Model measurement framework and begin measurement
- Plan & begin implementing change management efforts to address culture & gain departmental and employee buy
- Standardize business intelligence tools
- Establish business case analysis model for open data and data sharing

**Longer-Term Objectives (2-4 years)**
- Capability Maturity Model: Increase level attained and granularity in for state, departments and agencies
- Reference Model: Increase progress in prioritized reference model and adjust as necessary
- Identify & drive next-tier legislative changes/additions
- Data drives government and economic decisions
- Sharing data becomes the norm

**METRICS**
- Visits to data.hi.gov site
- # of Data sets inventoried and classified
- % of data sets available on data.Hawaii.gov
- Reference Model & CMM Scores

**Strategy**
Maximize the value of State data by designing, implementing and governing State systems for data stewardship, sharing, and public use
Implement Dynamic & Sustainable IT Operations

• **Strategy**

  • Implement dynamic and sustainable IT operations to ensure business systems are up-to-date and ready to support the current and future needs of business users and citizens at all times.

• Team Lead: ETS Chief Governance Officer
HRS 27-43(a)

- ETS shall:
  - (1) Develop, implement, and manage statewide information technology governance;
  - (3) Develop and implement statewide technology standards;
  - (4) Work with each executive branch department and agency to develop and maintain its respective multi-year information technology strategic and tactical plans and road maps that are part of the State's overall information technology strategic plans, road maps, and directions;
Implement dynamic and sustainable IT operations to ensure business systems are up-to-date and ready to support the current and future needs of business users and citizens at all times.

**Desired Outcomes**
- IT Systems can be quickly configured to meet business needs
- Systems are healthy, stable and upgradeable
- IT systems are well-engineered and appropriately designed for their intended use
- State quickly benefits from new technology
- Legacy systems decommissioned

**Expected Benefits**
- Faster response to changing business needs
- New features available to businesses as soon as added
- System health maximized and down-time reduced
- Reduced risk in cyber security
- Reduced cost of hardware/software development, operation & maintenance

**Key Strategic Stakeholders**
- Business users & leaders
- Tech implementors & operators
- Citizens, Customers
- Legislators, Cabinet & Governor
- Procurement

**Expected Challenges**
- Skills gaps in risk management & Agile methodology
- Procurement feature/process adds/changes needed
- Requires a long-term funding plan
- Differing agency priorities
- ITSM & GRC tools (skills & processes)

**Near-Term Objectives (12 months)**
- Establish a strategy governance process, executive sponsor, charter, program lead, staff, working group and user groups
- Develop a high-level prioritized reference model for best practices in tactics, techniques and procedures and begin measurement
- Establish a high-level Capability Maturity Model measurement framework and begin measurement
- Plan & begin implementing change management efforts – early communications: Threats, benefits, timing, current action
- Define and agree on characteristics for inventories

**Desired Outcomes**
- IT Systems can be quickly configured to meet business needs
- Systems are healthy, stable and upgradeable
- IT systems are well-engineered and appropriately designed for their intended use
- State quickly benefits from new technology
- Legacy systems decommissioned

**METRICS**
- # of systems on legacy /IAAS/PAAS/ SAAS
- Version and patch currency at n-1
- Reference Model & CMM Scores

**Longer-Term Objectives (2-4 years)**
- Capability Maturity Model: Increase level attained and granularity in for state, departments and agencies
- Reference Model: Increase progress in prioritized reference model and adjust as necessary
- Identify & drive next-tier legislative changes/additions
- Implemented lifecycle model showing confidentiality, integrity, availability, and continuous improvement
- Establish our best practices around lifecycle
Digital Workforce Development

• **Strategy**

• *Establish a continuous learning culture and growth mindset to modernize how we work and enable the state to develop and sustain the digital workforce needed in a constantly evolving IT world.*

• Team Lead: ETS Personnel Officer
**Strategy**

Establish a continuous learning culture and growth mindset to modernize how we work and enable the state to develop and sustain the digital workforce needed in a constantly evolving IT world.

**Desired Outcomes**
- State government consistently attracts high quality candidates for all IT job openings
- Culture and work environment that promotes/encourages remote work and flexibility
- Re-branding of gov’t workforce as an Innovation Center with a culture that embraces digital tools/tech, flexible/remote work environment

**Expected Challenges**
- Retention/turnover – pay, upward mobility issues
- Skillsets – need to be able to deal with legacy & new tech
- Competition with private sector
- Antiquated banding/hiring processes & rules
- Current climate, lack of learning/growing opportunity

**Key Strategic Stakeholders**
- Current & potential employees
- Unions (legislative change support)
- CIO & IT leadership
- Legislature

**Expected Benefits**
- Build recruitment, hiring, training, assignment and staffing models
- Qualified talent at all levels (apprenticeship, entry, senior, enterprise-level)
- Expanded learning and cross-training to have some level of “generalists” depending on job class/type
- In-house development of IT talent

**Near-Term Objectives (12 months)**
- Establish a strategy governance process, executive sponsor, charter, program lead, staff, working group and user groups
- Develop a high-level prioritized reference model for best practices in tactics, techniques and procedures and begin measurement
- Establish a high-level Capability Maturity Model measurement framework and begin measurement
- Plan & begin implementing change management efforts – early communications: Threats, benefits, timing, current action

**METRICS**
- Vacancy aging
- Reference Model & CMM Scores
- Training completed
- Internal Promotions

**Longer-Term Objectives (2-4 years)**
- Capability Maturity Model: Increase level attained and granularity in for state, departments and agencies
- Reference Model: Increase progress in prioritized reference model and adjust as necessary
- Identify & drive next-tier legislative changes/additions

**Desired Outcomes**
- State government consistently attracts high quality candidates for all IT job openings
- Culture and work environment that promotes/encourages remote work and flexibility
- Re-branding of gov’t workforce as an Innovation Center with a culture that embraces digital tools/tech, flexible/remote work environment

**Expected Challenges**
- Retention/turnover – pay, upward mobility issues
- Skillsets – need to be able to deal with legacy & new tech
- Competition with private sector
- Antiquated banding/hiring processes & rules
- Current climate, lack of learning/growing opportunity

**Key Strategic Stakeholders**
- Current & potential employees
- Unions (legislative change support)
- CIO & IT leadership
- Legislature

**Expected Benefits**
- Build recruitment, hiring, training, assignment and staffing models
- Qualified talent at all levels (apprenticeship, entry, senior, enterprise-level)
- Expanded learning and cross-training to have some level of “generalists” depending on job class/type
- In-house development of IT talent

**Near-Term Objectives (12 months)**
- Establish a strategy governance process, executive sponsor, charter, program lead, staff, working group and user groups
- Develop a high-level prioritized reference model for best practices in tactics, techniques and procedures and begin measurement
- Establish a high-level Capability Maturity Model measurement framework and begin measurement
- Plan & begin implementing change management efforts – early communications: Threats, benefits, timing, current action

**METRICS**
- Vacancy aging
- Reference Model & CMM Scores
- Training completed
- Internal Promotions

**Longer-Term Objectives (2-4 years)**
- Capability Maturity Model: Increase level attained and granularity in for state, departments and agencies
- Reference Model: Increase progress in prioritized reference model and adjust as necessary
- Identify & drive next-tier legislative changes/additions
Optimize Enterprise Systems

• **Strategy**

• Optimize ETS enterprise systems to leverage the state’s investment in centralized IT services

• Team Lead: ETS Operations Officer
ETS shall:

- (9) Provide centralized computer information management and processing services, coordination in the use of all information processing equipment, software, facilities, and services in the executive branch of the State, and consultation and support services in the use of information processing and management technologies to improve the efficiency, effectiveness, and productivity of state government programs;
**Strategy**
Optimize ETS enterprise systems to leverage the state’s investment in centralized IT services

---

**Optimize Enterprise Systems**

**Desired Outcomes**
- Decreased IT costs and redundancy
- Role clarity, increased employee retention
- Streamlined, more effective communication
- Accelerated execution: Procurement, SDLC
- Enterprise systems are well-engineered and appropriately designed for their intended use

**Expected Benefits**
- Seamless operation of enterprise systems
- Expanded service catalogues
- Service level agreement transparency
- Prioritization of investments

**Expected Challenges**
- Large catalogue of systems including NGN, ERP/HRMS/Payroll, FAMIS/DataMart, Office 365, identity management (Active Directory), land mobile radio, GIS, eSign, hosting platforms (Mainframe, GPC), SharpCloud, cybersecurity suite, open data platforms, and Access Hawaii digital government portal
- Adequate skilled staffing and funding
- Change Management – new systems, role, processes, relationships, expectations

**Key Strategic Stakeholders**
- Executive branch department heads (buy-in, commitment, engagement/support, use, reporting)
- Citizens using open data or digital government systems
- DHRD (staffing)
- Legislature (funding)
- Employees (continuity of leadership, engagement)

**Near-Term Objectives (12 months)**
- Establish a strategy governance process, executive sponsor, charter, program lead, staff, working group and user groups
- Develop a high-level prioritized reference model for best practices in tactics, techniques and procedures and begin measurement
- Establish a Capability Maturity Model measurement framework and begin measurement
- Plan & begin implementing change management efforts – early communications: Threats, benefits, timing, current action

**METRICS**
- Reference Model & CMM Scores
- SLA measures for systems

**Longer-Term Objectives (2-4 years)**
- Capability Maturity Model: Increase level attained and granularity in for state, departments and agencies
- Reference Model: Increase progress in prioritized reference model and adjust as necessary
- Identify & drive next-tier legislative changes/additions
Near-Term Objectives
FY 2020: July 2019- June 2020

• For each of the seven Strategic Priorities, the following objectives will be implemented.

  – Establish a strategy governance process, executive sponsor, charter, program lead, working group, and user groups

  – Develop a high-level prioritized reference model for best practices in tactics, techniques, and procedures and begin measurement

  – Establish a high-level Capability Maturity Model measurement framework and begin measurement

  – Plan & begin implementing change management efforts

  – Team Leads begin reporting to ITSC at quarterly meetings
## Mahalo

We would like to extend a very special thanks to everyone who participated in our strategic planning process:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sarah Allen, State Procurement Office</th>
<th>Douglas Murdock, ETS &amp; Department of Human Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tracy Ban, Dept. of Budget and Finance</td>
<td>Todd Nacapuy, ETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwight Bartolome, Dept. of Health</td>
<td>Todd Omura, ETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Della Au Belatti, House of Representatives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaimana Bingham, ETS</td>
<td>Mike Otsuji, ETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Black, Civil Beat Law Center</td>
<td>Jennifer Pegarido, ETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Brooks, Office of Information Practices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Choy, Asst. to Rep. Belatti</td>
<td>Judy Mohr Peterson, Dept. of Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Clemente, Asst. to Rep. Matsumoto</td>
<td>Capsun Poe, Dept. of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brook Conner, Dept. of Education</td>
<td>Amy Saito, Dept. of Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachel Faitau, ETS</td>
<td>Steve Sakamoto, Dept. of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vincent Hoang, ETS</td>
<td>Merissa Sakuda, Dept. of Business, Economic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodi Ito, University of Hawaii</td>
<td>Development &amp; Tourism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Julian-Freitas, ETS</td>
<td>Clay Sato, Office of the Attorney General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leila Kagawa, ETS</td>
<td>Ryan Shimamura, Dept. of Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarett Keohokalole, State Senate</td>
<td>Stuart Shirai, Dept. of Commerce &amp; Consumer Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold Kishi, ETS</td>
<td>Jussi Sipola, ETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiger Li, Office of Hawaiian Affairs</td>
<td>Phan Sirivattha, Dept. of Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauren Matsumoto, House of Representatives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Miyamoto, Employees’ Retirement System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corie Tanida, Common Cause Hawaii (former)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jaren Tengan, Asst. to Sen. Keohokalole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ben Trevino, Common Cause Hawaii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donna Tsuruda-Kashiwabara, State Procurement Office</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| Aryn H. K. Nakaoka, Tri-net Solutions | Kyle Yamashita, House of Representatives |
| Michael Nishida, First Hawaiian Bank  | Marcus Yano, SystemMetrics Corporation |
| Christine Sakuda, Transform Hawaii Government | Garret Yoshimi, University of Hawaii |
SPECIAL THANKS TO

Leslie Mullins, Playbook Consulting for facilitating
and
Transform Hawaii Government for sponsorship
QUESTIONS
BACKUPS
The OFR Maturity Model illustrates the development phases of Organizational Risk Frameworks (OFR) from 2012 to 2014. The model is categorized into four phases:

1. **Foundation (Pre-2012)**
   - Ad-hoc implementation
   - Limited awareness of risks and outcomes
   - Risk averse

2. **Emerging (2012)**
   - Risk tools available but not fully embedded
   - Awareness of OFR objectives
   - Developing risk awareness
   - Risk perceived as process

3. **Established (2013)**
   - Functional Risk Framework implemented
   - Shift in focus – risk viewed positively
   - Key risk behaviours embedded
   - General awareness of risks & outcomes

   - Focus on continuous improvement
   - System facilitates risk versus outcome analysis and response
   - Regulatory delivery is assured
   - Key risk behaviours evidenced within industry

The model also highlights development drivers, capability and capacity, IT enhancement, and embedding stages, each with its own focus areas.
Fact Sheets

The core elements of LeanIX, Fact Sheets represent IT objects such as Applications, Business Capabilities and IT Components.

Relations

Everything in LeanIX is linked according to the Data Model and can be accessed by a simple click. For example, it is possible to navigate from the Application Fact Sheet to the underlying IT Component/s.

Multi-dimensional Tags

Filtering and grouping can be easily done with powerful Tag Groups.
Program Management

Project Setup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUDGET / COSTS</th>
<th>YTD</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Ordered</th>
<th>Actuals</th>
<th>ETC</th>
<th>Delta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OpEx</td>
<td>€ 895,000</td>
<td>€ 1,088,000</td>
<td>€ 1,060,323</td>
<td>€ 27,677</td>
<td>(€ 193,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CapEx</td>
<td>€ 300,000</td>
<td>€ 300,000</td>
<td>€ 288,150</td>
<td>€ 11,850</td>
<td>€ 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROVIDERS
Which Providers work for this Project (on which order)?

acctur
State IT Strategic Priorities

Digital Workforce Development

Enhance Value of State Data

Extend IT Portfolio Governance

Partner for Successful Outcomes

Implement Dynamic & Sustainable IT Operations

Expand Statewide Cyber Security Strategy

Optimize Enterprise Systems

Partner for Successful Outcomes

Enhance Value of State Data

*The ‘āina (land) is not just soil, sand or dirt. The ‘āina is a heart issue for the people of Hawai‘i. The very word ‘āina brings forth deep emotion evolved from ancestral times when people lived in nature as an integral part of it. We chose to incorporate the ethical, philosophical, and spiritual aspects not only present in Governor Ige’s vision and mission statements, but also that are present in the culture that make Hawai‘i Hawai‘i.*