DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR



TODD NACAPUY CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER

OFFICE OF ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY SERVICES

P.O. BOX 119, HONOLULU, HAWAI'I 96810-0119 Ph: (808) 586-6000 | Fax: (808) 586-1922 ETS.HAWAII.GOV

November 28, 2018

The Honorable Ronald D. Kouchi, President, and Members of The Senate Twenty-Ninth State Legislature Hawaii State Capitol, Room 409 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 The Honorable Scott K. Saiki, Speaker, and Members of The House of Representatives Twenty-Ninth State Legislature Hawaii State Capitol, Room 431 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Dear President Kouchi, Speaker Saiki, and Members of the Legislature:

Pursuant to HRS section 27-43.6, which requires the Chief Information Officer to submit applicable independent verification and validation (IV&V) reports to the Legislature within ten days of receiving the report, please find attached the IV&V report the Office of Enterprise Technology Services (ETS) received for the State of Hawaii Department of Accounting and General Services (DAGS) and ETS' HawaiiPay Project.

In accordance with HRS section 93-16, this report may be viewed electronically at <u>http://ets.hawaii.gov</u> (see "Reports").

Sincerely,

TODD NACAPUY Chief Information Officer State of Hawai'i

Attachment (2)



HawaiiPay Project Department of Accounting and General Services (DAGS)

IV&V Monthly Status Report - **Final** For Reporting Period: October 1 – 31, 2018

> Draft submitted: November 7, 2018 Final submitted: November 19, 2018



Overview

- Executive Summary
- IV&V Findings and Recommendations
- IV&V Status
- Appendices
 - A IV&V Findings Log & Priority Ratings
 - B Standard IV&V Inputs
 - C IV&V Details



Executive Summary

The project is making good progress towards a successful Group 3 go-live as Round 2 parallel test results have proven positive and the project has successfully leveraged the extended project schedule to improve data quality and mitigate several risks. The project is expected to execute an additional previously unplanned parallel test cycle that may include up to 100% of Group 3 records which may help identify any remaining previously unknown data quality concerns. Therefore, IV&V is reducing the current state of risk from Red to Yellow. However, IV&V noted continuing concerns regarding the increased complexity of combining legacy and HIP data for year-end processing, potential challenges related to new end of year processing requirements, user provisioning concerns, resource availability during the holiday season, and possible DOE/UH internal challenges that could jeopardize a smooth December Group 3 go-live transition.

Aug	Sept	Oct	Category	IV&V Observations
M M M Communications Management Management Management Angle to be broadly distributed despite a December go-live. This category rating remains Medius project has less quality control over Group 3 communications. Failure to properly prepare their em		The project has made some progress in ensuring accurate UH/DOE communications prior to go-live. DOE/HawaiiPay have reached agreement that HawaiiPay resources will utilize a train-the-trainer model to assist DOE with enrollment drive activities which should increase the effectiveness and accuracy of communications to their population. DOE has begun submitting pre-go-live communication material for project review, though they have not always followed project guidance. IV&V remains concerned with UH lack of responsiveness to project communications and that UH pre-go-live preparedness communications have yet to be broadly distributed despite a December go-live. This category rating remains Medium as the project has less quality control over Group 3 communications. Failure to properly prepare their employees could result in employees not taking necessary steps in preparation for the change, negatively impacting the Group 3 rollout.		
L	Contract Management While a December Group 3 go-live seems likely, as a contingency IV&V recommends the project plan for possible necessity of a schedule and contract extension. IV&V has been unable to determine requirement tracking status as there seems to be communication challenges between the project and the DAGS Con- division as the Contracts division has been unresponsive to some project communications.			



Executive Summary (cont'd)

Aug	Sept	Oct	Category	IV&V Observations	
H	×	M	Cost and Schedule Management	Project efforts to closely monitor and increase communications around UH/DOE readiness activities has proven successful as Group 3 go-live seems on track. However, this risk remains a "Medium" due to UH/DOE missed outbound interface testing deadlines and UH lack of responsiveness to project communications. IV&V also remains concerned that the increased activities at year-end could quickly overwhelm the project team given the increased complexity of combining legacy and HIP data for year-end processing, potential challenges related to new end of year processing requirements, and limited resource availability during the holiday season.	
		L	Human Resources Management	IV&V remains concerned that project resources could be quickly overwhelmed as they are being asked to perform both year-end and Group 3 go-live activities. The project has added additional help desk staff in anticipation of increased volume of Group 3 support calls, but IV&V is not aware of plans to increase staff to manage the high number of year-end activities. Still, the project team has accepted the risk of limited resources and have proven adept at managing their limited project resources in the past, so IV&V will keep this category risk rating as "low".	
L	Ø	L	Knowledge Transfer	Project has made good progress to mitigate the risk of a less than optimal turnover including stepped u turnover and knowledge transfer sessions as well as updates to technical architecture documentation checklists, and plans for additional turnover training sessions. Still, the project functional team and interface support still need additional SI knowledge transfer. IV&V continues to monitor risks related to the lack of comprehensive turnover plan but has lowered this category risk rating to "low".	
	L	L	Operational Preparedness	IV&V noted that the project continues to leverage the additional time made available due to the delayed Group 3 go live. The project prioritized its open risks and made key decisions to add additional cutover automation, improve data cleansing and work more closely with Group 3 departments to better understand their outstanding concerns and challenges. These efforts have aided the project in being more prepared for cutover activities.	



Executive Summary (cont'd)

Aug	Sept	Oct	Category	IV&V Observations	
L	Corganizational Change Management The project continues to be proactive with their OCM communications and is preparing to the DOE trainers to assist with their OCM efforts. However, it remains unclear whether UH will ut the same OCM techniques (Townhall Meetings, Enrollment Drives) that proved successful Groups 1 & 2. Ineffective execution of OCM by departments could lead to customer/employ frustration, excessive help desk calls, and ultimately reflect negatively on the project.				
M	M	M	Project Management and Organizational	IV&V continues to monitor project progress with regard to managing the increase of activities at year-end through comprehensive planning activities. The project has drafted plans for establishment of a cross-departmental Enterprise Change Management Board which could assist with coordinated system changes (especially changes that impact multiple departments) as well as overall HIP enterprise governance.	
M	M	M	Quality Management	as overall HIP enterprise governance. IV&V noted an additional and previously unplanned Parallel Testing cycle will be executed. This testing cycle will include up to 100% of the employee population of Group 3 departments. The results of this testing cycle should help to identify any remaining unidentified data quality or completeness issues. Additionally, the project may have the opportunity to automate additional data cleansing and configuration tasks which could reduce both the risk and the level of effort required at cutover. IV&V also noted that there are continuing concerns related to the end to end testing of outbound interfaces which may cause unexpected manual data cleanup efforts at go-live.	



Executive Summary (cont'd)

Aug	Sept	Oct	Category	IV&V Observations
	L	L	Requirements Management	While functional requirements seem to be tracked, tracking of non-functional requirements remains unclear. IV&V has been unable to attain status on requirements tracking as there seems to be communication challenges between the project and the DAGS Contracts office as the Contracts office has been unresponsive to some project communications.
	E	E	Risk Management	The project continues to actively mitigate risks identified across project implementation process areas. However, though individual departments will not be able to access other departments data, IV&V remains concerned that DOE user permission requests seem excessive and not in keeping with segregation of duties and the principle of least privilege. The project has made some progress in raising user awareness of security and privacy concerns by including segregation of duties policy guidance in their security access request form. However, IV&V noted that it is unclear if adequate and appropriate controls, related to the segregation of duties, the protection of assets as well as the prevention of fraud, are in place for the HawaiiPay solution. The lack of formal security controls has apparently left the project powerless to deny requests for excessive permissions that could expose private data (PII) and increase the risk of fraud and identity theft. Therefore, IV&V has raised this category risk to "high". IV&V recommends that controls be implemented that are designed to prevent end users from completing systems transactions that are not in the best interest of the State.
L	L	L	Systems Architecture and Design	IV&V noted that knowledge transfer between key project resources and other resources that are expected to provide ongoing maintenance and support of the HawaiiPay solution have begun. This knowledge transfer should assist the Maintenance and Operations (M&O) group in responding to production issues including those where new functions related to year-end processing will be executed. IV&V did not note any key support issues that were unresolved during this reporting period.



IV&V Findings and Recommendations

As of this reporting period, PCG has identified a total of 13 open findings (4 issues, 9 risks). Of the open findings, 4 are related to Quality Management. IV&V closed issue #28 (Lack of sufficient resources) due to project acceptance. The following graphs breakdown the risks by status, priority, and category.



Open Risks/Issues by Category/Priority

7



Summary of IV&V Open Risks/Issues Criticality

Category		Finding Title	Criticality
Communications	Risk	27 – Communications to external entities may be ineffectual	Med
Contract	Risk	2 - Non-functional contract requirements not tracked	Low
Oracle Oracia dada	Issue	22 – Lack of departmental readiness could impact project budget/schedule	Med
Cost & Schedule	Risk	4 - Group 2 and 3 planning and execution activities overlap	Med
Knowledge Transfer	Issue	23 - Lack of detailed turnover plan	Med
Operational Readiness	Issue	7 – High number of manual processes at cutover	Low
Risk Management	Risk	31 - Lack of adequate formal controls related to user access and segregation of duties	Hi
Project Organization &	Risk	30 - Strategy for data management not finalized	Low
Management	Risk	32 - End of year processing complexity	Med
	Risk	18 - Increasing parallel testing defect resolution scope	Low
	Risk	19 - Inadequate interface development and testing coordination	Med
Quality Management	Issue	25 - Insufficient data validation, checks and balances	Low
	Risk	26 - DHRD users' access to shared tables could result in corrupt payroll data	Low



Communications Management



#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
27	Risk - Communications to external entities may be ineffectual: While IV&V has observed good efforts by the project to provide reasonable levels of communications to external entities (departments, TPA, banks, etc.), some communication have been misinterpreted or mishandled and have not produced their intended result.	Medium

Recommendations	Progress
 Enact overt and persistent efforts to address communications that have proven to be ineffective and with organizations that have known communication challenges. 	In progress
 Over-communicate important messages as well as messages that are likely to be missed. For example, multiple emails can be sent to reiterate important messages or restate them in increasingly simple or overt terms. 	In progress
 Reassess existing communications and provide further clarification to external entities to ensure clear understanding and provide guidance on future communications. 	In progress
 Request external entities forward all of their HawaiiPay related state employee communications to HawaiiPay for review prior to sending. 	Complete



Contracts Management



#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
2	Risk - Non-functional contract requirements not tracked: When non-functional requirements are not proactively monitored as the project progresses, there is increased potential that contract performance gaps may be identified too late in the project's timeline resulting in schedule delays or unmet contract requirements. The Requirements Traceability Matrix (RTM) does not include non-functional requirements and the project does not regularly report on contract performance metrics.	Low

Recommendations	Progress
Create a checklist of non-functional contract requirements to be satisfied in order to actively monitor and measure progress, and close-out the contract	Not started
Escalate communications issues between DAGS Contracts office and HawaiiPay	Not started



Cost and Schedule Management

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
4	Risk - Concurrent execution and production support activities for Group Implementations: Executing implementation and support tasks for multiple deployment Groups running in parallel may result in less efficient use of project resources and cause an overall delay if new tasks are introduced later in the project.	Low
22	Risk - Lack of departmental readiness could impact project budget/schedule: Departments transitioning to the Hawaii Information Portal (HIP) as part of the HawaiiPay project are expected to perform readiness activities and meet specified milestone deadlines. If any department does not transition to HIP by their designated rollout date, the HawaiiPay project schedule and budget could be negatively impacted.	Medium

Recommendations	Progress
 Ensure readiness deadlines/milestones are clearly communicated to appropriate stakeholders on a regular	In
basis.	progress
• Document missed readiness deadlines, communicate the possible consequences of missed deadlines clearly to department leaders in a timely manner to help ensure leadership is not surprised and has ample opportunity to respond and manage the risks.	In progress
 Consider implementing a strategy of over-communication for departments that may have communication	In
challenges.	progress
 Coordinate regular readiness discussions between HawaiiPay and departments that may have readiness	In
challenges.	progress



Knowledge Transfer



#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
23	Issue - Lack of detailed turnover plan: The lack of a transition plan can lead to poor transition planning, important turnover activities can get missed, and can lead to stakeholder confusion since they are left ill-equipped to effectively maintain the system once the vendor has left the project.	Medium

Recommendations	Progress
 Request the SI utilize detailed checklists for turnover to ensure an effective turnover to the state and that nothing is overlooked. 	In progress
 The state immediately draft and take ownership of a turnover plan and request the SI review and offer guidance. 	Not started
 Assign turnover tasks to individuals and require task signoff by task owners once they validate tasks have been effectively completed. 	In progress
 Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving expected results. 	In progress
Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	In progress



Operational Preparedness



#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
7	Risk - High volume of manual processes at cutover: The number of manual processes that need to be executed during the cutover window and post implementation for future Group deployments may grow to a level of effort that cannot be accomplished during the designated timeframes thereby causing a delay in the implementation schedule. The project is reaching out to Agencies 60 days before go live and providing them instructions for required data cleanup prior to go live (e.g., social security number mismatches in Central Payroll). It is unknown if the time provided will be enough for all Agencies to complete within the implementation schedule.	Low

Recommendations	Progress
 Append the cutover checklist with detailed descriptions of how to execute the task (as if for a back-up resource) and ensure that all dependencies between cutover tasks are identified and have designated contacts 	In progress
Automate manual processes where possible	In progress



Risk Management



#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
31	Risk - Lack of adequate formal controls related to end user provisioning and segregation of duties: The project currently lacks sufficient project security policies to guide, among other things, departmental user permissions. Controls currently exist to ensure departments only have access to their employee's data and the project has made efforts to warn departments about the risks of granting excessive permissions to their users. However, since there is no enforced PoLP policy, the project is currently granting all departmental access requests.	High

Recommendations	Progress
 Create/implement a HIP administrative user agreement for administrative users who are responsible for determining permissions for departmental users. The agreement should assure that administrative users clearly understand their additional responsibilities, security best practices, guidelines, PoLP, segregation of duties, and risks involved with giving users excessive permissions. Formally notify department leadership of requests that appear to be excessive and assure clear understanding of the risks involved; request departments rollback permissions that seem excessive Recommend implementation of controls designed to prevent end users from completing systems transactions that are not in the best interest of the State (see detailed recommendations for risk #31 in the Findings Log) 	In progress



Project Management & Organization



#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating
30	Risk - Strategy for data management not finalized: Without a finalized data management strategy, data policies and inter-agency agreements may not adequately address the needs of all entities with responsibilities for governing data which may result in ineffective data management and remediation processes.	Low
32	Risk - End of year processing complexity: Payroll related end of year processing typically involves a significant number of activities to close out the year. Now that group 3 rollout has been moved to December, the project will be faced with performing unforeseen end of year processes that include combining legacy and HIP data to produce W2 and other reports. Project resources will be further constrained by the additional burden of a major Group 3 release that has already proven to be time consuming and problematic. Project will implement a combined CRT/state project plan going forward.	Medium
Rec	ommendations	Progress
• D	Define and execute a Pilot run of end of year activities	Not started
• E	arly extensive detailed planning utilizing a consolidated schedule that includes CRT and state activities	In Progress
	Vork with appropriate DAGS governance processes to develop an over-arching strategy for data nanagement across the departments	Not started
	Vork with impacted departments to codevelop and implement data management policies in support of the lawaiiPay solution	Not started



Μ

Quality Management

#	Key Findings	Criticality Rating					
18	Risk - Increasing parallel testing defect resolution scope: An increasing number of manual workarounds to resolve defects discovered during parallel testing may cause delays during the production cutover or confusion for end users who require supplemental training regarding work around functionality. It is unclear if all the workarounds are documented in the cutover plan and schedule.	Low					
19 Risk - Inadequate interface development and testing coordination: The lack of a functioning process and signoff to coordinate both parties regarding the development and comprehensive end to end testing of interfaces may cause unnecessary risk. IV&V has observed many process improvements for coordinating and tracking interfaces in Group 2.							
25	Issue - Insufficient data validation, checks and balances: Data validation processes and procedures to ensure data accuracy are insufficient and have resulted in data errors during payroll processing.	Low					
26	Risk - DHRD users' access to shared tables could result in corrupt payroll data: Inadequate controls to manage access to update payroll data by both DHRD and Payroll Division users could result in payroll data corruption.	Low					
Rec	ommendations	Progress					
	stablish a communications plan and signoff procedure that ensure all parties clearly understand interface testing expectations and gnoff that they have the capacity to complete the testing, document defects, re-test and signoff that the interface is fully functional.	In progress					
sy	stablish enhanced validation processes to ensure interface updates are thoroughly validated prior to applying updates to production stem data. Validations could include queries to validate all the business rules have been met, i.e. all key data is present, all required pendent data elements are present and contain valid values, etc.	In progress					
• E>	plore methods to secure critical payroll data that DHRD does not need permissions to edit.	In progress					
re	here possible, add automated resolutions to defects/issues discovered during Parallel Testing. Ensure any additional manual solutions steps are documented in the cutover plan and assessed for expected level of effort, dependencies and overall effect on the tover timeline.	In progress					



IV&V Status

The activities that PCG performed to inform the IV&V report for the current period are listed below. Upcoming activities are also included. For specifics, see Appendix B – IV&V Standard Inputs.

IV&V Project Milestones

Milestone / Deliverable Description	Baseline Due Date	Draft Submitted	Final Submitted	Approvals / Notes
IV&V Management Plan (IVVP)	4/6/18	3/18/18	3/29/18	Approved
IV&V Work Plan (Schedule)	4/6/18	3/18/18	3/29/18	Approved
Initial IV&V Assessment	5/9/18	5/18/18	6/8/18	Approved
June IV&V Monthly Status Report (MSR)	5/30/18	7/10/18	7/31/18	Approved
Deployment Audit Report – Grp 2	7/20/18	8/5/18	8/23/18	Approved
IV&V Management Plan (IVVP) Update (v. 3.0)	n/a	8/15/18	8/22/18	Approved
July IV&V Monthly Status Report (MSR)	8/10/18	8/17/18	9/4/18	Approved
End of Go Live Implementation Milestone Report – Grp 2	8/24/18	9/28/18	10/31/18	Approved
August IV&V Monthly Status Report (MSR)	10/5/18	9/7/18	9/10/18	Approved
September IV&V Monthly Status Report (MSR)	10/5/18	10/5/18	10/9/18	Approved
IV&V Management Plan (IVVP) Update (v. 4.0)	TBD			
Deployment Audit Report – Grp 3	TBD			May roll up into monthly report
End of Go Live Implementation Milestone Report - Grp 3	TBD			May roll up into monthly report
Final IV&V Monthly Status Report	02/19/19			
Lessons Learned & Final Recommendations Report	TBD			



IV&V Status (cont'd)

- IV&V activities performed during the reporting period:
 - Attended Monthly Payroll & TLM Modernization Project Executive meeting
 - Attended PCAB meeting
 - Attended Daily Scrums
 - Attended RIO-D meeting
 - Attended HawaiiPay State/CRT Project meeting
 - Project Team Risk Review session
 - September IV&V Monthly Status report deliverable and review
 - Attended UH/HawaiiPay meeting
 - Attended DOE/HawaiiPay meetings
- IV&V next steps in the coming reporting period:
 - IV&V Monthly Status Report



Appendix A – IV&V Criticality Ratings

This appendix provides the details of each finding and recommendation identified by IV&V. Project stakeholders are encouraged to review the findings and recommendations log details as needed.

See definitions of Criticality Ratings below:

Criticality Rating	Definition
Н	A high rating is assigned if there is a possibility of substantial impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. A major disruption is likely and the consequences would be unacceptable. A different approach is required. Mitigation strategies should be evaluated and acted upon immediately.
M	A medium rating is assigned if there is a possibility of moderate impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. Some disruption is likely and a different approach may be required. Mitigation strategies should be implemented as soon as feasible.
L	A low rating is assigned if there is a possibility of slight impact to product quality, scope, cost, or schedule. Minimal disruption is likely and some oversight is most likely needed to ensure that the risk remains low. Mitigation strategies should be considered for implementation when possible.



Appendix B – IV&V Standard Inputs

This appendix identifies the artifacts and activities that serve as the basis for the IV&V observations.

To keep abreast of status throughout the HawaiiPay project, IV&V regularly:

Attends the following meetings

- Daily Scrum
- Weekly State/CRT (Joint) Project Meeting
- Weekly Risks-Issues-Opportunities-Decisions (RIOD) Meeting
- Bi-Weekly Project Change Advisory Board (PCAB)
- Monthly Payroll & TLM Modernization Project Executive Meeting

Reviews the following documentation

- HawaiiPay Executive Committee Agendas
- State/CRT (Joint) Meeting Notes
- State Project Schedule (in Smartsheet)
- Risks-Issues-Opportunities-Decisions (RIOD) Workbook
- CherryRoad BAFO and Contract

• Utilizes Eclipse IV&V® Base Standards and Checklists



PCG Eclipse IVV Checklists



Appendix C – IV&V Details

- What is Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V)?
 - Oversight by an independent third party that assesses the project against industry standards to provide an unbiased view to stakeholders
 - The goal of IV&V is to help the State get the solution they want based on requirements and have it built according to best practices
 - IV&V helps improve design visibility and traceability and identifies (potential) problems early
 - IV&V objectively identifies risks and communicates to project leadership for risk management

PCG IV&V Methodology

- Consists of a 4-part process made up of the following areas:
 - 1. **Discovery** Discovery consists of reviewing documentation, work products and deliverables, interviewing project team members, and determining applicable standards, best practices and tools
 - 2. Research and Analysis Research and analysis is conducted in order to form an objective opinion.
 - **3.** Clarification Clarification from project team members is sought to ensure agreement and concurrence of facts between the State, the Vendor, and PCG.
 - 4. Delivery of Findings Findings, observations, and risk assessments are documented in this monthly report and the accompanying Findings and Recommendations log. These documents are then shared with project leadership on both the State and Vendor side for them to consider and take appropriate action on.

Note: This report is a point-in-time document with findings accurate as of the last day in the reporting period.







Id Title /	Summary	Finding Description	Analysis and Significance	Recommendation	Updates	Category	Туре	Priority	Status	Closure Reason	Closed Date	Risk Owner
2 Non-func contract requirem tracked	nents not	If Chernfords contract is not actively monitored and tracked, specifically for non-functional requirements, as the project progresses, contract performance gaps may be identified too ate in the project's timeline which could result in a schedule delay or unmet contract requirements.		- Create a checklist of non-functional contract requirements that CheryRoad must satisfy in order to close-out the contract and actively monitor progress – perhaps begin with the SI's Attachment 8 – Technical Requirements to identify those non-functional requirements to be validated by the state outside of the project's implementation Tracker. - Escalate communications issues between DAGS Contracts office and HawaiiPay	requirements tracking as there seems to be communication challenges between the project and the Contracts division. Contracts	Contract Management	Risk	Low	Open			Michael
activities	n and on support	Executing implementation and support tasks for multiple deployment Groups running in parallel may result in less efficient use of project resources and cause an overall delay If new tasks are introduced later in the project.	Concurrently planning and executing tasks for both Groups 2 and 3, which are running in parallel, may result in less efficient use of project resources and cause an overall delay if new tasks are introduced later in the project. For example, IXW observed confusion regarding whose responsibility it was/is to monitor production logs. An error occurred and was eventually resources had to resources had to resource shad to react and divert time to research and remediate the production issue.	deliverables are achievable in prescribed timeframes • Identify which tasks are production vs. project and determine the resources and processes	10/24/18 - Activities to mitigate this risk include: project continues to turn over project team activities to payroll operations group who continue to grow more confident in new activities and have proven capable to perform them. 9/26/18 - The recent project extension has allowed additional time for Group 2 stabilization activities. The project mill also leverage the additional time to identify opportunities for process improvement to simplify and reduce the level of effort for both M&O and Group 3 rollout tasks. However, IV&V has opened a related risk #32, <i>End of Year Processing Complexity</i> , that brings attention to the fact that Group 3 rollout tasks. However, IV&V has opened a related risk #32, <i>End of Year Processing Complexity</i> , that brings attention to the fact that Group 3 rollout and end-of-year processing will occur concurrently, which will add more complexity and additional overlap of activities. Therefore, IV&V has raised this to a Medium risk. 8/31/18 - In response to instances of insufficient coordination and validation with production payroll processing (Gro Groups 1 and 2) which caused errors in paychecks that needed to be corrected in future payroll runs, IV&V has boscherd the project narrowing its focus on defining the resources. This risk is related to IV&V risk 23 regarding a Turnover Plan. 8/14/18 - DAGS continues strategize to mitigate this risk. 7/31/18 - DAGS continues strategize to mitigate this risk. 7/31/18 - DAGS met with DDE on July 31 and Parallel Testing for Group 3 has been pushed out (yet to be rescheduled) until after Group 2 Payroll is complete (Friday, August 3) due to concerns and constraints that a key resource would become overwhelmed. 6/8/18 - Development tasks are ongoing and the team continues to identify requirements and/or processes through UAT and OCM activities which need to be re-reviewed or re-addressed. Further Group 2 training begins next week concurrent to Round 2 Parallel testing.	Cost and Schedule Management	Risk	Medium	Open			Ken
7 High volu manual p cutover	processes at	The number of manual processes that need to be executed during the cutover window and posit implementation for future forou pelopyments may grow to a level of effort that cannot be accomplished during the designated timeframes thereby causing a delay in the implementation schedule.	During the cutover and post implementation a number of manual processes are executed to produce the appropriate conversion and configuration of data needed to operate the system. While avoiding manual processes is unavoidable, since some are needed to ensure the proper sequencing of activities and to avoid post implementation pre-notes and paper checks, the timeframes for manual processing are constrained to data conversion dependencies. During Group 1 deployment, the timeframes for additional manual processes have been added to the rollout schedules for future Groups 1 during Group 1 deployment and additional manual processes have been added to the rollout schedules for future Groups 2 and 3. It is unknown at this times ince these groups involve much larger end user communities, whether, in the aggregate, all manual processes will be able to be executed during the cutover and post implementation windows. Further, the project is strategically reaching out to Agencies less than 60 days in advance of go live and providing them instructions for required data cleanup prior to go live (e.g., social security number mismatches in Central Payroll). These reg-golvae activities are not directly under the control of the project since they need to be performed by external project stakeholders and it is unknown it the time provided will be implementation schedule.	 Append the cutover checklist with detailed descriptions of how to execute the task (as if fo a back-up resource) and ensure that all dependencies between cutover tasks are identified and have designated contacts Automate manual processes where possible 	v 10/31/18 - Based on the results or the second Parallel testing cycle for Group 3, the project has been able to identify key issues and is working to resolve them. The delay in the group 3 go live has alded the project in being able to automate more of the data cleanup issues that were previously manual processes. The project has noted that an additionally previously upplicate of all group 3 departments. 9/26/38 - CRT has made good progress towards automating some manual processes and have added 21 additional validation reports. For example, a process was created to better validate UH/DOE libourd HK data that additional validation reports. For example, a process was created to better validate UH/DOE inbound HK data that all cargeted HR flies that CRT processes and sends back error details for UH/DOE to troubleshoot/cleanup. This will likely improve conversion as well as parallel testing results. 9/19/18 - CRT reported additional efforts are underway to reduce then number of manual processes at cutover. 8/31/18 - Until parallel testing has been completed for Group 3 departments, the project is unable to evaluate the known scope of manual processing that may be required to complete for Group 3 departments, the project is unable to evaluate the known scope of Group 3. 7/31/18 - IV&V observed the number of manual processes increase during the cutover priod for Group 2. Recent UPA deduction interface errors have triggered new manual processes in validation. 6/8/18 - Though the project focuses on identifying and sequencing the cutover tasks appropriately, IV&V has not observed progress towards mitigating the risk of cutover tasks not being able to complete during the timeframe.	Operational Preparedness	Issue	Low	Open			Ken

Id Title / Summary	Finding Description	Analysis and Significance	Recommendation	Updates	Category	Туре	Priori	ity Status	s Closure Reason	Closed Date	Risk Owner
18 Increasing parallel testing defect resolution scope (high number of parallel defects)	An increasing number of manual workarounds to resolve defects discovered during parallel testing may cause delays during the production cutover or confusion for end users who require supplemental training regarding work around functionality.	A continuing number of defects discovered during Parallel testing are being rectified with manual workaround. It is unclear if all the workarounds are documented in the cutover plan and schedule. The project should plan to ensure that all defect resolutions are prioritized and tracked in the cutover plan and that manual workarounds are resourced with appropriate staff. Further, as function work arounds are identified for end users, they may or may not be receiving supplemental training in a timely manner.	Where possible, add automated resolutions to defects/issues discovered during Parallel Testing. Ensure any additional manual resolutions steps are documented in the cutover plan and assessed for expected level of effort, dependencies and overall effect on the cutover timeline.	10/21/18 - IV&V noted an additional and previously unplanned Parallel Testing cycle will be executed. This testing cycle will include up to 100% of the employee population of group 3 departments. The results of this testing cycle is bould help to identify any remaining undentified data quality or completeness issues. Additionally, the project may have the opportunity to automate additional data cleansing and configuration tasks which could reduce both the risk and the level of effort required at cutover. IV&V also noted that there are continuing concerns related to the end to end testing of outbound interfaces which may cause unexpected manual data cleansing and configuration tasks which could reduce both the risk and the level of effort required at cutover. IV&V also noted that there are continuing concerns related to the end to end testing of outbound interfaces which may cause unexpected manual data cleanup efforts at go-live. 9/26/182 - CRT has made good progress towards automating some data validation processes that have increased the overall quality of incoming data, thereby reducing the number of manual workarounds needed to address test failures. For example, a process was created to be their validate UH/ODC inbound HK data, that allows them to send targeted HK flies that CRT processes and sot UH/ODE error details so they can troublehood/cleanup. This will likely improve conversion as well as parallel testing error rates as HK data has been the source of many parallel test failures. The project contingency plan for poor round 3 parallel results includes instituting an additional internal parallel test (agencies would not need to be involved). This would also serve to test parallel test (dect resolution. UH efforts to improve HR data quality has increased the projects confidence in a successful final round of UH parallel testing. 8/31/18 IV&V noted that the number of issues discovered during Parallel Testing that require manual resolution may be increasing as the populatino for eact go live group increas	Quality Management	Risk	Low	Open			Ken
19 inadequate interfa development and testing coordination	parties regarding the development and comprehensive end to	end testing of an interface has the capacity and capability to complete detailed testing. There does not appear to be any method for the project to get assurance that the testing is	 Establish a communications plan and signoff procedure that ensure all parties clearly understand the expectation related to interface testing and signoff that they have the capacity to complete the testing, document defects, re-test and signoff that the interface is fully functional. Establish enhanced validation processes to ensure interface updates are thoroughly validated prior to applying updates to production system data. 	10/31/18 - A number of issues regarding key interfaces for group 3 remain open. The project noted that DOE has passed functional tests on some of the inbound interfaces, but further testing remains necessary for others. 9/30/18 - CRT has sought to increase the quality of interfaces through full volume in/outbound interface testing, improved interface mechanics, and created sandbox environment for testing, so departments don't have to wait for the next parallel to retest. 9/26/13 - Interface specifications, testing, validation, and defect resolution continues to improve. However, a limited number interface issues continue to crop up. For example, the FAMIS interface has proven to be especially problematic with recurring failures; recent failures stem from missing LAC codes. The project is working with LGT to manage these problems and resolve FAMIS interface issues. Other interfaces have been problematic due to their inherent complexity. For example, HHSC Interfaces are run through multiple systems (HIP, ETS mainfram, and DOH) before they are finally consumed by HHSC. CHT has had difficulty immicking mainframe processing that to produce output the mimic legacy data, but has made recent progress to resolve these issues. Still other interfaces, like UTF, have proven problematic due to UTFs limited ability to correct their SNs due to limitations of their antiquated systems. Finally, ther ole of interface problem reporting, escalation of defects to CRT, and loging of defects to ServiceCloud (help desk ticketing system) continues to performed HawaiiPay PM which is not typically a PM responsibility. 8/31/18 - N&V noted that additional resources have been assigned to assist with interface development and testing for DOE and UH. The deployment of these resources appears to have had a positive effect on the process, but it remains unclear if the interfaces can be completed in the to ensure through testing protor Group 3 go ile. 7/31/18 - Although IV&V observed significant improvement in interface development and testing p	Quality Management	Risk	Mediun	m Open			Ken

ld Title	e / Summary	Finding Description	Analysis and Significance	Recommendation	Updates	Category	Туре	Priority	Status	Closure Reason	Closed Date	Risk Owner
22 Lack of	of departmental	Departments transitioning to the Hawaii Information Portal	Departments transitioning to HawaiiPay have each been	• Ensure readiness deadlines/milestones are clearly communicated to department leaders.	10/31/18: The project has made good efforts to implement IVV recommendations, still, UH/DOE continues to have challenges with complying with project	Cost and Schedule	Issue	Medium	Open			Michael
readine	ness could	(HIP) as part of the HawaiiPay project are expected to perform	assigned to one of three rollout groups and the project's	· Provide clear expectations regarding readiness activity deadlines and important milestones	instructions. UH/DOE do not fall under the authority of the SOH Executive branch and therefore cannot be compelled to follow project directives. The	Management						
	t project	readiness activities and meet specified milestone deadlines. If	budget and planned coordination activities allow for little to	to each department.	project remains concerned with UH lack of responsiveness to project communications and that UH pre-go-live employee payroll communications have yet to be broadly distributed. Unclear why UH system interface concerns have only recently been communicated to the project, leaving the project little time							
budget,		any department does not transition to HIP by their designated	no flexibility in group rollout dates. The HawaiiPay project	 Document missed readiness deadlines, communicate the possible consequences of missed 	to assist with resolving their issues before go-live in December . While DOE/DAGS communications have improved, DOE continues to make requests of the							
		rollout date, the HawaiiPay project schedule and budget could	contract and budget is currently limited to the three rollout	deadlines clearly to department leaders in a timely manner to help ensure leadership is not	project that seem to distract from go-live activities; DAGS has now set clear boundaries with DOE on what the project can and cannot assist with given their							
		be negatively impacted.	groups, departments who have not transitioned by the final	surprised and has ample opportunity to respond and manage the risks.	constrained capacity due to go-live activities.							
			rollout group will need to find alternative means for	Consider implementing a strategy of over-communication for departments that may have								
			producing payroll outside of HIP.	communication challenges.	10/17/18 - UH and DOE not able to provide a functional pass for all integration testing. The project has made multiple attempts to clarify functional pass criteria for both UH and DOE. Contingency plan to mitigate the risk of lack of departmental outbound interface testing/validation is for the project to							
			While details of the impact of any department not	Coordinate regular readiness discussions between HawaiiPay and departments that may	perfor+G23m their own detailed logic review and to treat all post-go-live outbound interface problems as defects and troubleshoot as time permits.							
			transitioning to HawaiiPay in their planned group is unclear, there will likely be a negative impact to DAGS and the	 have readiness challenges. Request the SI offer departments that are struggling to provide prerequisite files for 	perior or strain draw de anec a reger revert ana concortan por 50 are outdoard internet production as derects and notation reverse and portion of an electronic strain for mild.							
			HawaiiPay project schedule and budget.	UAT/Parallel testing, a technical resource to offer in-person guidance and assistance to their	9/26/18 - Some UH single sign on (SSO) issues remain unresolved. The project has reported that UH continues to be unresponsiveness at times to project							
			nawali ay project schedule and budget.	technical staff.	communications. IV&V will continue to monitor.							
			Any department unable to transition to HIP would likely									
			either request extended use of the existing DAGS mainframe		9/26/18 - Despite project requests to review all HawailPay related DOE employee communications before distribution, DOE has not always done so. DOE employees have reported some of these inaccurate communications to HawaiiPay help desk. DOE has stated they have corrected these communications.							
			or seek non-DAGS payroll alternatives. If departments are		improves have reported some or unsering communications or navairely negrous. But has saced using have concerned unser communications and the provided on the second s							
			allowed to continue on the mainframe payroll system, the		and to submitting future employee communication for project review prior to distribution. Departments that fail to provide accurate HawaiiPay							
			planned benefits of moving off this antiquated and		information to their employees could negatively impact readiness.							
			problematic system may not be fully realized. DAGS would									
			then be faced with having to plan for and acquire additional		9/24/18 - The project has created a more detailed, web accessible project plan to track UH/DOE required activities and instituted escalation procedures for							
			resources for maintaining two payroll systems (HIP and the		due dates that are not met. Good progress has been made towards Group 3 interface testing/validation, all but 2 have received a testing "pass" status. CRT resources continue to be embedded (now remotely) with DOE/UH technical staff which continues to improve productivity and communication for							
			mainframe system). Departments that opt out of DAGS		Exit resources continue to be embedded (now remotely) with DOE/OH technical stati which continues to improve productivity and communication for erroup 3 ecolive preparation activities.							
			payroll services altogether would have little time to plan for,		0 0							
			procure and implement their own payroll system. Further,		9/20/18 - There seems to be some confusion over the reason Group 3 had to be pushed to December. DOE has reported to their board that it was the							
			DAGS, and/or the HawaiiPay project team, will likely have		projects choice; however, the project maintains that it was due to DOE multiple missed deadlines and communication challenges.							
			limited time and resources to assist departments with any									
			alternative as they will be in the midst of HawaiiPay group		9/14/18 - DDE seems more engaged with HawaiiPay activities and communications seem to have improved. DDE has agreed to standing bi-monthly calls with HawaiiPay oroiect team that includes their technical staff. As DDE December rollout draws near they will institute daily 30-minute calls.							
			implementation. IV&V was informed that additional funding		with hawain ay project team that includes their technical staff. As DOE becember follout draws hear they will institute daily so-minute cars.							
			for the project will likely not be approved by the state		9/10/18 - This risk has been realized, as the project has officially pushed Group 3 rollout to December and will execute a contingency plan (this is actually							
			legislature, therefore expansion of HawaiiPay contract scope		the 2nd contingency plan executed by the project). Funding for the additional costs will come from execution of an option in their existing CRT contract.							
			to accommodate departments that are unable to meet		IV&V has upgrade this finding from a risk to an issue.							
			readiness deadlines may not be possible.		8/29/18 - The project has informed IV&V that due to delays in DOE/UH activities and other issues, DOE round 2 parallel test and UH round 1 parallel have							
23 Lack of	of detailed	The lack of a detailed turnover plan may lead to insufficient	Turnover plans typically describe the detailed activities	 Request the SI utilize detailed checklists for turnover to ensure an effective turnover to the 		Knowledge Transfer	Issue	Medium	Open			Michael
	ver plan	planning and execution of important turnover activities which	involved in transitioning a new system to the new owners,	state and that nothing is overlooked.	library with technical architecture documentation and plan for additional turnover training sessions. Architecture has been documented							
		could lead to stakeholder confusion and cause a delay in project		 The state immediately draft and take ownership of a turnover plan and request the SI 	and knowledge transfer has begun. The project acknowledges that functional team and interface support need additional knowledge							
		closure or transitioning of system support responsibilities to	accountability to individuals responsible for ensuring	review and offer guidance.	transfer from the SI. Project currently has an end of year activity checklist as well as production payroll checklist.							
		appropriate state staff.	activities get done and are validated. Turnover plans are	 Assign turnover tasks to individuals and require task signoff by task owners once they 								
					advantage of the second s							
			typically utilized to ensure that important transition details	validate tasks have been effectively completed.	9/30/18 - As the number of activities required for end-of-year and group 3 go-live activities mount, turnover activities are more likely to							
			typically utilized to ensure that important transition details are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover		9/30/18 - As the number of activities required for end-of-year and group 3 go-live activities mount, turnover activities are more likely to be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover	 Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up 	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of	 Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving expected results. 	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities; roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to	 Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving expected results. Request the Stupdate relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for 	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation.							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder	 Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving expected results. Request the Stupdate relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for 	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and triggering important discussions, help	 Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving expected results. Request the Stupdate relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for 	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource realisation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and triggering important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource	 Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving expected results. Request the Stupdate relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for 	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project sense to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and triggering important discussions, help	 Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving expected results. Request the Stupdate relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for 	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource realocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on too stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and ruigening important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource planning.	 Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving expected results. Request the Stupdate relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for 	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that cuolid have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the approprise order. NWW will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan and are run in the approprise order. NWW will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan and the run in the approprise order.							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnowe plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnowe activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper avareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholde expectations and triggering important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource planning. Commonly reported system turnover challenges include	 Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving expected results. Request the Stupdate relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for 	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource realocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and rutigeneng important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource planning. Commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakeholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and	 Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving expected results. Request the Stupdate relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for 	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. N&V will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan document created by the state and request the SI include them as updates to the M&O plan deliverable.							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnowe plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnowe activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper avareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholde expectations and triggering important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource planning. Commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakeholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and responsibilities they were expected to perform. Toylcally,	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving espected results. Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the neet RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. TWAV will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan document created by the state and detaile them set. RIOD and deliverable. 8/29/18 - The SI has indicated that key SI resources may no longer be available to the state HIP M&O team. Instead the state will have							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and rutigeneng important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource planning. Commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakeholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving espected results. Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. N&V will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan document created by the state and request the SI include them as updates to the M&O plan deliverable.							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effectively coordinated. Turnover stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and neitigening important discussions, help manage expectations and untigened important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource planning. Commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakeholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and responsibilities involve a multitude of activities carried out	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving espected results. Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, howere, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the mest RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. WWW will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan document reated by the state and request the S1 include them as updates to the M&O plan deliverable. 8/29/18 - The S1 has indicated that key S1 resources may no longer be available to the state HIP M&O team. Instead the state will have torely on CRT Managed Services for ter 3 support once Phase 1 development is complete. IV&V S1 concerned that several critical							
			Ire not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and triggering important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource planning. Commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakeholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and responsibilities involve a multitude of activities carried out yn multiple groups and stakeholders. Coordination of these	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving espected results. Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. NW2 will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a trunover plan document created by the state and request the SI include them as updates to the M&O plan deliverable. 8/29/18 - The SI has indicated that key SI resources may no longer be available to the state HIP M&O team. Instead the state will have to rely on CRT Managed Services for tier 3 support once Phase 1 development is complete V&V is concerned that severic artical proteins have be arrived in arge part due to intervention by these - SCT in individues. CRT Managed Services strugged to resolve a							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and neignering important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource planning. Commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakeholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and responsibilities involve a multitude of activities carried ou by multiple groups and stakeholders. Coordination of these activities can be a significant challenge, ensuring turnover	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving espected results. Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource realisation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. W&V will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan document created by the state and request the SI include them as updates to the M&O plan deliverable. 8/29/18 - The SI has indicated that key SI resources may no longer be available to the state HIP M&O team. Instead the state will have to rely on CRT Managed Services for tier 3 support once Phase 1 development is complete. TV&V is concerned that several critical problems have been averted in large part due to intervention by these 4-5 CRT individuals. CRT Managed Services trouged to resolve a recent production by because they lacked knowledge of the HIP system details and has to rely on thesi individuals to troubleshoot.							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and rulegineng important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource planning. Commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakeholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and responsibilities they were expected to perform. Typically, turnover activities involve a multitude of activities carried ou by multiple groups and stakeholders. Coordination of these activities can be a significant challenge, ensuring turnover effectiveness can be even more challenging.	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving espected results. Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more stat details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. NW2 will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan document created by the state and request the SI include them as updates to the M&O plan deliverable. 8/29/18 - The SI has indicated that key SI resources may no longsr be available to the state HIP M&O team. Instead the state will have to rely on CRT Managed Services for tier 3 support once Phase 1 development is complete. IV&V is concerned that several critical problems have been averted in large part due to intervention by these 4-5 CRT individuals. CRT Managed Services struggled to resolve an recent production bug because they lacked knowledge of the HIP system details and hat to rely on these individuals to roleshoot. Lack of good turnover planning for knowledge transfer from these and other SI resources could lead to significant payroll disruptions in the visit of these sources and the site resources the state HIP SMO and the stress currees torout production							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effective communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and nativities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder manage expectations and support effective resource planning. Commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakeholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and tersponsibilities they were expected to perform. Trylically, turnover activities involve a multitude of activities carried ou by multiple groups and stakeholders. Coordination of these activities can be exen more challenging. Ensuring proper effectiveness can be exen more challenging. Ensuring proper	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving espected results. Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more stat details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. NW2 will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan document created by the state and request the SI include them as updates to the M&O plan deliverable. 8/29/18 - The SI has indicated that key SI resources may no longsr be available to the state HIP M&O team. Instead the state will have to rely on CRT Managed Services for tier 3 support once Phase 1 development is complete. IV&V is concerned that several critical problems have been averted in large part due to intervention by these 4-5 CRT individuals. CRT Managed Services struggled to resolve an recent production bug because they lacked knowledge of the HIP system details and hat to rely on these individuals to roleshoot. Lack of good turnover planning for knowledge transfer from these and other SI resources could lead to significant payroll disruptions in the visit of these sources and the site resources the state HIP SMO and the stress currees torout production							
			Ire not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effectively coordinated. Turnover atakholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakholders can go a long way toward managing stakholder expectations and neitigening important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource planning. Commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and responsibilities involve an utilitude of activities carried ou by multiple groups and stakholders. Coordination of these activities can be a significant challenge, Ensuring proper understanding by state personnel of each process the SI has been performing for the past several month/years requires careful planning. Ensuring they are fully equipped to not only maintain and enhance the system but are also full valle to	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving espected results. Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&D activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&D activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. If W&V will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan document created by the state and request the S1 include them as updates to the M&D plan deliverable. 8/29/18 - The S1 has indicated that key S1 resources may no longer be available to the state HIP M&D team. Instead the state will have to rely on CRT Managed Services for 14 3 support once Phase 1 development is complete. IV&V is concerned that several critical problems have been averted in large part due to intervention by these 4-5 CRT individuals. CRT Managed Services truggel to resolve a recent production bug because they lacked knowledge transfer from these and other S1 resources could lead to significant payroll disruptions once they are no longer actively monitoring HIP system operations. 8/14/18 - CRT is working on providing further documentation to assist turnover to state M&D team.							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effectively coordinated. Turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper avareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholde expectations and reigening important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource planning. Commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakeholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and exponsibilities linvolve a multitude of activities carried ou by multiple groups and stakeholders. Coordination of these activities can be a significant challenge, ensuring turnover effectiveness can be even more challenging. Ensuring proper understanding by state personnel of each process the Si has been performing for the past several monthy/ears requires careful planning. Ensuring they are fully equipped to not only	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving espected results. Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&D activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&D activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the neet RIDO meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. N&V will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan document created by the state and request the SI include them as updates to the M&O plan deliverable. 8/29/18 - The SI has indicated that key SI resources may no longer be available to the state HIP M&O team. Instead the state will have torely on CRT Managed Services for ter 3 support once Phase 1 devolpment is complete. IV&V is concerned that several critical problems have been averted in large part due to intervention by these 4-5 CRT individuals. CRT Managed Services for ter 3 support once Phase 1 devolpment is complete. IV&V or concerned that several critical production bug because they lacked knowledge of the HIP system details and had to rely on these individuals to troubleshoot. Lack of good turnover planning for knowledge transfer from these and other 3 resources could lead to significant payroli disruptions once they are no longer actively monitoring HIP sy							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effectively coordinated. Turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and neignering important discussions, help manage expectations and utrylities provided arily on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakeholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and responsibilities involve a multitude of activities carried ou by multiple groups and stakeholders. Coordination of these activities can be a significant challenge, Ensuring proper effectiveness can be even more challenging. Ensuring proper careful planning. Ensuring they are fully equipped to not only maintain and enhance the system but are also full value to troubleshoot problems when critical system incidents correl store system postem our can be an ore more	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving espected results. Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, howere, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the meet RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. TW&V will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan document created by the state and request the S1 include them as updates to the state HIP M&O team. Instead the state will have torely on GRT Managed Services for ter 3 support once Phase 1 development is complete. TV&V is concerned that several critical problems have been averted in large part due to intervention by these 4-5 CRT individuals. CRT Managed Services trougel to resolve a recent production by because they lacked knowledge transfer from these and other S1 resources could lead to significant payroll disruptions once they are no longer actively monitoring HIP system operations. 8/14/18 - CRT is working on providing further documentation to assist turnover to state M&O team. 7/31/18 - A recent production problem (unable to access paystub from mobile device) highlighted the fact that S1 lacks a consolidated detailed architecture/infristructure maps to suppo							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effectively communication tool to stakeholders to ensure there is full understanding of turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and triggering important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource planning. Commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakeholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and turnover activities involve a multitude of activities carried ou yn multigle groups and stakeholders. Coordination of these activities can be a significant challengie. Ensuring proper understanding by state personnel of each process the S1 has been performing for the past several monthy/years requires careful planning. Ensuring they are fully equipped to not only maintian and enhance the system but are also fully able to not only maintian and enhance the system but are also fully able to	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving espected results. Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. IN&V will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan document created by the state and request the S1 include them as updates to the M&O plan deliverable. 8/29/18 - The S1 has indicated that key S1 resources may no longer be available to the state HIP M&O team. Instead the state will have to rely on CRT Managed Services for tier 3 support once Phase 1 development is complete. IV&V is concerned that several critical rocent production bug because they lacked knowledge of the HIP system details and had to rely on these individuals to troubleshoot. Lack of good turnover planning for knowledge transfer from these and other S1 resources could lead to significant payroll disruptions once they are no longer actively monitoring HIP system operations. 8/14/18 - CRT is working on providing further documentation to assist turnover to state M&O team. 7/31/18 - A recent production problem (unable to access paystub from mobile dev							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effectively coordinated. Turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Froper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and nativities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and rutifyeting important discussions, help manage expectations and support effective resource planning. Commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakeholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and responsibilities involve a multitude of activities carried ou by multiple groups and stakeholders. Coordination of these activities can be even more challenge, Ensuring proper effectiveness can be even more challenge, Ensuring proper understanding by state personnel of each process the Si has been performing for the past several months/years requires cardful planning. Ensuring they are fully equipped to not only maintia and enhance the system but are also fully able to troubleshoot problems when critical system incidents occur (ca, when the system goes down) (an be even more challenging without a detailed plan.	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving espected results. Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. W&V will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan document created by the state and request the SI include them as updates to the M&O plan deliverable. 8/29/18 - The SI has indicated that key SI resources may no longer be available to the state HIP M&O team. Instead the state will have torely on CRT Managed Services for tier 3 support once Phase 1 development is complete. IV&V is concerned that several critical problems have been averted in large part due to intervention by these 4-5 CRT individuals. CRT Managed Services strugged to resolve a recent production by gbecause they lacked knowledge of the Hi System details and had to rely on thesi individuals to troubleshoot. Lack of good turnover planning for knowledge transfer from these and other SI resources could lead to significant payroll disruptions once they are no longer actively monitoring HIP system operations. 8/14/18 - CRT is working on providing further							
			are not overlooked and are effectively coordinated. Turnover plans can also be used an effectively coordinated. Turnover activities, roles, and responsibilities. Proper awareness of turnover plans and activities provided early on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder expectations and neignering important discussions, help manage expectations and utrylities provided arily on to stakeholders can go a long way toward managing stakeholder commonly reported system turnover challenges include stakeholders being caught unaware of activities, roles, and responsibilities involve a multitude of activities carried ou by multiple groups and stakeholders. Coordination of these activities can be a significant challenge, Ensuring proper effectiveness can be even more challenging. Ensuring proper careful planning. Ensuring they are fully equipped to not only maintain and enhance the system but are also full value to troubleshoot problems when critical system incidents correl store system postem our can be an ore more	Utilize readiness checkpoints and key performance indicators (KPI's) to monitor readiness effectiveness and report to project leadership. KPI's can be utilized to assure a timely and effective system turnover as well as provide project leadership an opportunity to shore up efforts when turnover efforts are not achieving espected results. Request the SI update relevant documents to ensure an effective turnover to the state for M&O.	be put off, deprioritized, or ignored. Post implementation roles remain unclear, though, the technical track lead is in the process of planning some post-implementation resource reallocation and roles and responsibilities, however, there are currently no plans for documenting them. Further, it is still unclear if current key project resources will be available for M&O activities, including the Functional Track Lead that has played a pivotal role during system implementation. 8/31/18 - The project seems to be realizing more and more that details of M&O activities still need to be worked out. Recently, the project was faced with a production defect that could have been avoided had someone been assigned to monitor the batch file logs and if measures had been in place to ensure batch processes are run in the proper order. The project will address this gap at the next RIOD meeting to clarify this role and define this operational process in more detail to ensure, for example, log files are checked and batch files are run in the appropriate order. IN&V will continue to recommend documenting these processes in detail as part of a turnover plan document created by the state and request the S1 include them as updates to the M&O plan deliverable. 8/29/18 - The S1 has indicated that key S1 resources may no longer be available to the state HIP M&O team. Instead the state will have to rely on CRT Managed Services for tier 3 support once Phase 1 development is complete. IV&V is concerned that several critical rocent production bug because they lacked knowledge of the HIP system details and had to rely on these individuals to troubleshoot. Lack of good turnover planning for knowledge transfer from these and other S1 resources could lead to significant payroll disruptions once they are no longer actively monitoring HIP system operations. 8/14/18 - CRT is working on providing further documentation to assist turnover to state M&O team. 7/31/18 - A recent production problem (unable to access paystub from mobile dev							

Id Title / Summary	Finding Description	Analysis and Significance	Recommendation	Updates	Category	Туре	Priority	Status	Closure Reason	Closed Date Risk Owner
2.5 Insufficient data validation, checks and balances	Data validation processes and procedures to ensure data accuracy are insufficient and have resulted in data errors during payroll processing.	reports. Recently HawailPay ran (legacy) payroll for two pay periods in a row that included a significant number of incorrect deductions for UH employees. The state reported that already constrained HawailPay mainframe IT staff were in the mixts of preparations for a major software release when the Janus supreme court ruling came down with no allowable timeframe to implement system changes, requiring an immediate update, creating additional activities to make the next payroll run. Extensive efforts were required to manage and resolve the errors and reimburse affected employees. Many validation activities are performed manually with limited or no automated support. Overreliance on manual validation processes not only increase error rates but also increase the risk associated with over-allocating key resources (see risk #5, "impact of project resource attivities overlap", and risk #6, "insufficient project resources").	 Automated data validation support can not only increase data accuracy but also reduce the level of effort of manual processes for already constrained project resources. Explore the feasibility of having the agencies and TPA's to validate the final payroll run data before payroll is run. 	parallel testing error rates as HR data has been the source of many parallel test failures. 8/31/18 - Seems like several recent defects identified this month could have been either prevented or identified early enough in the process through the use of validation techniques (user input validation, onscreen user interface instructions, or validation queries) to minimize negative effects as well as minimize leaguest as minimize leaguest errors. 7/31/18 - Opened as a new issue. To mitigate future UPA interface errors, the project has implemented a manual validation process that must be performed every pay period. This is intended to in place until all employees are migrated off the mainframe (i.e., Group 3 deployment).	Quality Management	Issue	Low	Open		Michael
26 DHRD users' access to shared tables could result in corrupt payroll data	Inadequate controls to manage access to update payroll data by both DHRB and Payroll Division users could result in payroll data corruption.	could have corrupted payroll data since there are no agreed upon controls for managing the shared payroll data. In this instance, the error was caught by Central Payroll before it could impact a production payroll run. Making uncontrolled changes to core payroll data can lead to inaccurate payrolexis, loss of data integrity, and time wasted spent tracing the source of data corruption. The project has already reported an instance where DHRD users modified Job data tables which would have generated inaccurate or	 If securing the data via permissions is not a viable option, recommend engaging DHRD leadership to come up with a plan to effectively train DHRD users to avoid corrupting payroll data. Additionally, explore methods to audit impactful DHRD edits and establish appropriate checks and balances to ensure corrupt data does not impact payroll. Provide documentation to DHRD users (or "chest heets") that provide clear guidance 	10/25/38 - There is still no clear agreement on data governance between DHRD and HawaiiPay (e.g. who can change while). Also, many users have non-standard (custom) profiles which could lead to users indeventivy geting access to data they shouldn't and lead to data corruption. Still, IV&V will reduce this risk status to "Low" as DHRD has demonstrated a better understanding of and better control over changes that could impact payroli and HawaiiPay has made efforts to monitor DHRD changes. Further, the project is cole to standing up a Enterprise Configuration Management Board (ECMB) that will include DHRD as participants, and intends to leverage this group to address data governance and other controls to help further mitigate this risk. 9/26/18 - The project is currently exploring better methods to mitigate including utilizing granular permissions to control access to payroll impacting data. DHRD is currently williong table level audit features to monitor thavail'apy dist to tables that could negatively impact HR data. The project recognizes the need for better governance/agreements in place going forward. Until governance can be developed and agreed to, the project will continue to work to increase communication and informal agreements to avoid negatively impact: Red noters data. 8/31/18 - IV&V opened a new risk with regard to lack of good data governance. Data governance documentation would typically address how the project would managed shared data access and could address DHRD roles/responsibilities/rules around shared data. 7/30/18 - IV&V opened this as new risk with Medium criticality.		Risk	Low	Open		Michae!
27 Communications to external entities may be ineffectual	While IV&V has observed good efforts by the project to provide reasonable levels of communications to external entities (departments, TAP, banks, etc.) some communication have been misinterpreted or mishandled and have not produced their intended result.	sending inaccurate communications to its state employee members. As part of Group 1 preparations, one credit union	Enact overt and persistent efforts to address communications that have proven to be ineffective and with organizations that have known communication challenges. Over communicatie important messages as well as messages that are likely to be missed. For example, multiple emails can be sent to reiterate important messages or restate them in increasingly simple or overt terms. Reassess existing communications and provide further clarification to TPA's to ensure clear understanding and provide guidance on future communications. Provide template letters to TPA's that provide clear communications that TPA's can modify to meet their needs. Obtain agreements with each department on the process for HawaiiPay to review all HawaiiPay related communications sent to employees. Insist departments and banks forward all of their HawaiiPay related state employee communications to HawaiiPay for review prior to sending.	10/31/18 - Unclear if UH will be conducting enrollment drives as they have not been transparent with their OCM/employee go-live communications plans. As the December go-live draws near, the project may be unable to plan for the required level of support to sask UH in preparing for enrollment drives due to lack OU H feedback. UH failure to provide their employees with timely and accurate enrollment and go-live instructions could lead to confusion and increase enrollment errors at go-live, which could reflect negatively on the project. IV&V will continue to monitor. 10/24/18 - The project has reached agreement with DOE for providing train the trainer support to DOE to assist with enrollment drives and accurate communications to DOE employees. Still, the project remains concerned that DOE has either misconstrued or ignored project guidance on DOE employee pre-go-live communications. 10/18/18 - The project plans to assist central payroll with periodic training and Q&A webinars to assist departmental payroll users and ensure through understanding of new processes and system functionality. This should go a long way towards good OCM (reducing confusion and user errors that could impact payroll with periodic training and Q&A webinars to assist departmental payroll users and ensure through understanding of new processes and system functionality. This should go a long way towards good OCM (reducing confusion and user errors that could impact payroll) and should increase user adoption and positive perceptions of HawailPay. 10/3/18 - DOE has requested the project review of DOE communications material they are preparing to send to their employees. 9/26/18 - The project has initiated communications with the DOE Public Information Officer who has since communications with banks continue to improve as the project is working closely with key credit unions and will be review communications with banks continue to improve as the project is working closely with key credit unions and will be review communications to	Communications Management	Risk	Medium	Open		Michael

Id Title / Summary	Finding Description	Analysis and Significance	Recommendation	Updates	Category	Туре	Priority Statu	s Closure Reason	Closed Date Risk Owner
30 Strategy for data management not finalized	Without a finalized data management strategy, data policies and niter-agency agreements may not adequately address the needs of all entities with responsibilities for governing data which may result in ineffective data management and remediation processes.	The project has received feedback from other agencies, notably DHRD, regarding data permissions and processes that need to be implemented or enforced which may or may not be in line with the project's vision or approach. The implementation of the enterprise payroll solution, HIP, warrants enterprise-wide policies and governance of the system, it's data, and it's outputs.	 Work with appropriate DAGS governance processes to develop an over-arching strategy for data management across the departments Work with impacted departments to codevelop and implement data management policies in support of the HawaiiPay solution. 	10/24/18 - ECMB plans have progressed. This committee is the first step towards change management governance as well as improving data governance. 9/26/18 - The project has initiated plans to create an Enterprise Change Management Board (ECMB) which is intended to shore up governance on many levels including data management. ECMB is awaiting comptroller approval. 8/31/18 - The project initiated a monthly meeting with Payroll and HR SMEs across departments to share information regarding system updates; diently lesons learned, establish best practices, and provide status on project's progress. This forum is likely to help identify data management requirements for inclusion in the project's strategy development efforts with DAGS governance.	Project Organizatior & Management	n Risk	Low Open		Michael
31 Lack of adequate formal controls related to end user provisioning and segregation of duties	The project currently lacks sufficient project security policies to guide, among other things, departmental user permissions. While the HIP URA Access Requests form references and that describes roles and based on user duties, the project seems to lack the authority to deny departmental requests for excess permission requests and permissions that are not in keeping with segregation of duties. Typically, state and/or departmental sequests, and permissions, that are not in keeping to the second permission of duties. Typically, state and/or departmental sequestment that guide system permissions, roles, rules and governance. For example, if state/departmental/system policy supports the principle of teast privilege (role) and segregation of duties, the project would have the basis for denying requests for excess permission requests. Controls currently exist to ensure departments only have access to their employee's data and the project has made efforts to warn departments about the risks of granting accessive permissions to their users. However, since there is no PoLP policy, the project is currently granting all departmental cess requests. The violus this wans on an issue because departments (Group 1/2) aligned with general accepted practices in keeping with PoLP and segregation of duties. Group 3 seems to have challenges complying with generally accepted practices. The project was only recently made aware of a state standard for segregation of duties and has yet to determine changes to their user provisioning process.	procedures, the project could lack sufficient guidance in creating project security policies/procedures. Without documented state/departmenta/project PoLP policies, the project may not have sufficient authority to demy excessive departmental access requests. Departments users could be given higher levels of access than they need, which could lead to unnecessary exposure of PII data as well as identity theft, fraud, unfavorable audit reviews, and inadvertent corruption of data.	Create/implement a HIP administrative user agreement for department administrative users who are responsible for determining permissions for departmental users. The agreement should assive that administrative users clearly understand their additional responsibilities, security best practices, guidelines, PoLP, and risks involved with giving users excessive permissions. For any other states, guidelines, PoLP, and risks involved with giving users excessive permissions that are not in the best interest of the State. These control objectives should include: - Recommend implementation of controls designed to prevent end users from completing systems transactions that are not in the best interest of the State. These control objectives should include: - Controls that, where possible, prevent unauthorized access to system functionality that would violate standards and or policy related to adequate segregation of duties. This would niclude a matrix that outlines HawaiiPay user roles that conflict with the control objective. • A enchanism or process to identify user provisioning requests that include conflicting role. • A secondary detective control approved by agency leadership. • A secondary detective control that could include is process or workflow to ensure the variance is known and approved by agency leadership. • A secondary detective control that could include i.e. reports listing transactions that seem unusual, unnecessary or inappropriate.	memo to DOE to acknowledge understanding of segregation of duties and PoLP. However, the memo does not seen to make it clear that several DOE permission requests seem excessive and seem to violate these principles. The project has made some progress in raising user awareness of security and privacy concerns by adding segretation of duties policy guidance to their security access request form and will consider adding similar language to the systems login/splash page. The project has also drafted an NDA that will require signature from all payroli users. DAGS responsibilities regarding protection of assets or prevention of fraude remain underau- // 9/30/18 - DOE user permission requests seem excessive and not in keeping with segregation of duties and the principle of least	Risk Management	Risk	High Open		Michael
32 End of year processing complexity	be faced with performing unforeseen end of year processes that include combining legacy and HIP data to produce W2 and other reports. Project resources will be further constrained by the additional burden of a major Group 3 release that has	activities occurring in parallel with Group 3 rollout activities	 Introduce extensive resource allocation management into project planning activities - Suptore addition of contracted resources or reallocation of other DAGS divisional resources to support the project team - Carefully track DAGS resource vacation plans and assess/manage impacts to project attivities - Pilot run of year-end activities - Early extensive planning utilizing a consolidated schedule that includes CRT and state activities - Automate relevant year-end activities that currently require manual processing 	10/31/18 - While the project has accepted (and IV&V has subsequently closed) risk #28 (Lack of Sufficient Resources), IV&V will continue to monitor and address this risk as it pertains to this year-end processing risk (#23). IV&V continues to monitor for project progress with regard to detailed plans for year-end processing as well as additional automation of tasks that currently require manual processing. 10/24/18 - Despite their constrained capacity, project mainframe programmers may need to take vacation before end of year (because of use it or loose it vacation policy) which could impact project. The project relies on these 2 programmers for critical year-end project tasks. The project it exploring options that could allow them to take their vacation without loosing it post-go-live. 10/24/18 - DAGS has prepared and will send a memo to departments this week that details and sets expectations for end-of-year processing activities, in an effort to help mititgate this risk. 10/1/18 - Two options are being evaluated by the SI to address combining legacy and HIP data to issue W2s and produce year-end- reporting: 1) close in legacy and import data in HIP or 2) Close both systems. Si is preparing a proof of concept to test options viability. Si will lead process discussions with users to understand their checklist of what needs to be done and will perform multiple test cycles for the chosen model. The project will update production and cutover plans with required year-end activities and associated reporting.	Project Organization & Management	n Risk	Medium Open		Michael